Journal of Political Science

(A Peer-Reviewed, Open Access International Journal) ISSN 2362-1273 (Print); ISSN 2773-8132 (Online) Volume 21, February 2021, pp. 9-18 https://: www.ejournal of pncampus.edu.np/journals/ips/

Interprovincial Relations in Formative Phase of Foleral Net

Girdhari Subedi

Department of Political Science Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhar

Corresponding Author: Girdhari Subedi, Email: girdhari, subedi@p DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/jps.v21i0.35259

Received 2 December, 2020, Reviewed 15 December 20, Pub.

February 2021

Abstract

This article discusses several features of incial relations (IPR), paying a particular attention to IPR in federations. A number nds and challenges are identified, and f rec implications are drawn for the analysis of article delves into how IPR has dominated political power relations, in n status can broader certainty and ializa tut protection for sub-national govern nts in o ling th federal government. IPR is more concentrated to the horizontal relations among ovinces.

Keywords: Interprovinci relations, relations, vertical and horizontal relations

Introduction

nt of a country's subdivision into a federal, which A state government i the g shares political pow al or national government. A state government may wif he fed my, or be subject to the direct control of the federal have some level of al auto governm be defined by the constitution. The Constitution of Nepal relati describ ns on cooperative federalism. its rela

Thereference according hotes country's subdivisions which are officially or widely known as "stors", and should not be confused with a "sovereign state". Most federations design, where federal units "state" or the equivalent term in the local language. In Nepal, province is used on as second layer government of federal structure of Nepal.

Provinces are usually divisions of unitary states but occasionally the designation is also given to the federal units such as the Provinces of Argentina and Canada.

Federalism is, at least, two orders of government, namely one for the entire country and the other for the regions. Each government has its direct relations with the citizens. The regions

Journal of Political Science, Vol. 21, February 2021

have many names: we shall refer to them as the 'constituent units' of the federation. (Anderson: 2008; 4). The most common names of constituent units are 'states' (Australia, Brazil, Ethiopia, India, Malaysia, Mexico, Nigeria and the US) and 'revinces' (Argentina, Canada, Pakistan, South Africa).But other terms are Lander(Austria and Germany) and cantons (Switzerland). There are both regions and communities in Belgium and utonomous communities in Spain. Russia has regions, republics, autonomous and s, territors, and cities of federal significance. Some small federations have islands (Andreson: 2008; -

Provincial governments are known as the sub-national governments, *stat* are even points and governments of autonomous area and regions. Interprovincial obtions dealers the ties among the provinces, and the relations between central government and adeal government. Basically, it is a study of horizontal relations between and among the province.

Taken one step further, the definition could be slightly expande enote tions between governance processes at these different levels. Thus -level e refers not just to negotiated relationships between institutions at d institutional levels but to a vertical 'layering' of governance processes at these differe (Pierre and Stoker, *i* 2000). The important point here is that although we to think of ese institutional levels as vertically ordered, institutional relationships d fot have to perate through intermediary levels but can take place directly between, say, the transnationa nd regional levels, thus by passing the state level (Kohler-Koch, 1996; Ma et al, 19 ; Scharpf, 1997; Puchala, 1999).

Interprovincial relations are known as the intra and inter-states. We can define relati intergovernmental relations (IGR) as and institutions through which the ce governments within a political system untries, whether unitary or federal, All era have IGR of some sort, provided n one level of governments (AJPA; have ore Vol: 3).

According to Opeskin (1998), the terrefintergovernmental relations" is commonly used to refer to relations between untral, region and local governments (as well as between governments within any one space) that fact the attainment of common goals through cooperation. Used in this sense, mean size of for intergovernmental relations may be seen as employing consensual to the mutual benefit of the constituent units of the federation (Van der Waldt and Partoit, $\sqrt{1}$)

Intergovernmental refe to the mutual relations and interactions between governmen horize al and vertical levels. This is in line with Thornhill's stitution (2002)that °1ь rnmental relation is all the actions and transactions of politic is and ficials amongst the national and sub-national units of government and can cooperative model. Intergovernmental relations (IGR) are of the st orga entionally med as important interactions between governmental units of all types cq this regard, intergovernmental relations are defined as an interacting network and at national, provincial and local levels, created and refined to enable various of institu parts of gove not to cohere in a manner more or less appropriate to our institutional evolving system of institutional cooperation that seeks to address the arrangements. It is relations of equality and interdependence as defined by the constitution.

The nature of intergovernmental relations is itself a complex phenomenon. As David Cameron puts forward, there are at least six different factors that work in a complex

environment of government to shape the relationship amongst federal units: demographic and geographical; social and cultural; historical; constitutional and institutional; political; and circumstantial. From the discussions above, the Nepal's federalism seems to be encountering with all of these factors in a way that every single factor have to be redefined in the changing political context. The current state of poor implementation of deralism in Nepal should be viewed on the same fabric. Definitions certainly impact the inter, stations and hence practices are affected.

Federalism is a system of division of power among different forms of vernmen, such division of power may divide into vertical axis as well as horizontal training.

Relation is known as among the provinces is called interprevention relevan. While the unitary state enters into federal structure, the previous hegenore is always prevailing. Central state is ever strong and powerful than province governments.

The interprovincial relation in Nepal can be traced out relative phases. We can divide time periods into three categories: clearly centralizing, ambiguter clearly provincializing. I choose to use the word provincialization rather that the internalization because the former is less suggestive of an act on the part of the central government to devolve authority to a power level (Pradeep Chhiber, Ken Kollman; 195–102).

Conceptualizing Inter Provincial relati

Contributions of several scholars of fed lism id in national analysis have been drawn to ate the evolution of interprovincial develop a conceptual framework within wh to s red rule have been used to assess relations in Nepal. Concepts of f rule А centralizing and decentralizing amics in the management of public policy between nces) across the dimensions: the political, fiscal and central and sub-national regions (p propert elate to policy making autonomy (political administrative. The sel dimension), devolution of planning, financing and delivery of public nsibility R nd sub-national revenue autonomy (fiscal dimension). services (administrating dimensio The shared rule properties along the ree dimensions involve: participation in public institutions; sharing of centralized tax revenues and joint policy making throug omn responsibility of pla g and delivery of public service. Thus, a process of ling, and on in self-rule properties of the states without a centralization is ma redu be leir sha d rule provisions. compensatory increas

ocuses on intra and interprovincial interactions, particular emphasis is Since pape place in the extent of shared rule across the three dimensions. Where shared on chang rul n, federalism moves into a cooperative direction. In the becomes ature, the term comparative federalism in generally understood to mean that tive l cd en more autonomy to pursue their political, fiscal and policy goals without states e centre (Sharma, swen den; 2018: 56). interference

In this article, two-key conceptual moves have been proposed for explaining emerging dynamics of interprovincial relations after the promulgation of Constitution of Nepal in 2015. The first is putting position of federal province interaction (shared rule) and the second is analyzing the nature and extent of shared rule along each of the three dimensions

while being mindful of the overlap, especially the fact that political actors tend to interact across each of the dimensions simultaneously.

Political Dimension of interprovincial relation

stitutional Primarily, political dimension is driven by the constitution and fits Constitution fails to define the objectives of federalism as well as prov The p mble of the present constitution states that sustainable development, nance development and prosperity are the means of federalism. But it, exercised. Major political parties are expressing their dissatisfaction over the p vince d them, small sev political groups and regional parities are also denying the for ces. It shows ation f pro that there is a lack of political ownership and raising a politic real owner of stion Nepal's federalism. On the other, Madeshi parties cl national state. epal b

The reflection of federal government automatically transits towards the provincial government. Provinces have no intra and inter policital relation one ey are competitively engaged in law making process without any policial relation to each other. Politically, provinces seem weaker rather than the local governments.

Provinces	Registered bills in	h withdr n	Passed bills
	Province Assembly		
Province 1			49
Province 2			42
Bagmati Province			59
Gandaki Province			46
Lumbini Province			59
Karnali Province	51	3	36
Sudur Paschim			42

This table that the parises are creating political spaces by formulating various laws as per to needs a society and provinces.

Refer Maoist processing issue of federalism in Nepal, but some argued that it was the particulated to a particular processing of the movement. Likewise, the Madesh-based political parties were always and d in agitations and the debate on federalism was not advanced in a genuine political matter. Due to a very limited debate on the contents of federalism, political parties put their views profor and against the federalism. Against this backdrop, it is too early to evaluate the federalism in Nepal but people are not prideful of Nepal's federalism and its functioning. A section of political force including former royalist party is opposing the federal political system.

Nepal is highly divided on ethnic lines. In the past, social coherence was maintained under the auspices of monarchy but it was dethroned after the movement in 2006, which was also fueled by the royal massacre of 2002. Monarchy seized the power from a coup in between 2002 and 2006. But, the coup remained offered leverage for republic poincel system and federalism in Nepal.

Fiscal dimensions of intergovernmental relations

The financial aspect of the federalism is relatively more crucier factors when it comes to defining, maintaining and sustaining intergovernme al rela here are three ms obvious reasons for this argument. The first is the extent local whi nd provincial governments are equipped with taxation rights. Each level ernme is allowed to impose taxes on the policy areas listed in Schedul 7, he constitution. Furthermore, the constitution requires all the three leve overnment to establish federal (Part 10), provincial (Part 16) and local (Part 19) cons unds. Each level of government is obliged to put money into these co be spent only in the ated fun consent of the relevant federal, provincial and loc sembly. egislative

ng respons lities among the levels of The second aspect is the question of revenue sh government. Obviously, not all provinces and lo overr ents are equally capable in generating internal revenue hence, as the itution isions, the federal government bala the financial strength of sub-national needs to play several roles to, inter al governments. Article 250 forms a high vel n on purce and fiscal commission with asures regarding the distribution of the aim of "... determine extensive g unds nd n revenue from the federal consolidate fund to ie fed al, provincial and local governments, and make recommendations on the stribution alization grants to provincial and local governments" (Article 251).

ion, three different fiscal transfer categories are In accordance with this d tional pr adopted in the financial sp of intergovernmental relations. The first is the generalpurpose transfer which aims to the er v onditional grants to sub-national governments. for hear These include block g education etc.) and discretionary grants (to be second is the specific-purpose transfer which aims to decided by local co e TIS). ce distribute grants for in policy objectives. This scheme also has two major chiev types: matching gra (th recipi t government must contribute certain percentage of -matching (no matching is needed but the grant is still investment be mate and p aps the most important grant is performance-based grant in conditio hird and which cal gov ments are distributed grants based on results. Despite these impressive ons of fi ement, there are transcending ambiguities in some aspects of proy g, distributing and spending revenues across federal units. sing, col

Administrative ension of interprovincial relation

Administration is a key driving factor of provinces. While the provincial boundary was declared, the administration mechanism was not federal political system oriented. Only by Local Government Operation Act 2074 was issued and local government was operated.

Two aspects of public administration reform in recent times showcase important insights into this debate. The foremost is the hardware, i.e. the process of transforming the infrastructure of public administration from traditionally formed unit structure to newly created federal governance. The government has taken actions to diss any ministries and departments at the federal level in order to devolve the roles and of such ministries at the state level. The second aspect of transformation is a ut the tes in software, i.e. reforms that aimed at transforming the roles, sponsibiliti Ind accountability of bureaucrats. Of the 110,000 permanent employees, ab 80.000 pe bnnel were recruited by the Public Service Commission (PSC) in accordance w ble of meritocracy. These officials were supposed to work for the then overnment, which means that their accountability would remain with the (now) t ent. However. ral g frn as the federal government has only a few functions to carr out the v governance landscape, approximately 45,000 officials will be required at t al lev Although the reintegration process of civil servants into federal Joca ncial overnment has already taken a good speed, questions related to career accountability are specifically contested as majority of the bureaucrats are ling to be shifted to lower echelons in the administrative structure.

Weakness of inter provincial relation in Nepalet perspectiv

Lack of ownership of provinces it still receiping in pointcal parties; they are the major agent of ownership taker. They are the charge a support they are not heartily welcoming the provinces. Constitutional provision reguling the point of parties is fully democratic. Part 29, Article 269-272 of the present constantion states in this regard but internal practice of political parties is autocratic.

ant? The political system, ideology, development, law Where the country is stable and ople are governed by the spir of p terview with Prof. Dev Raj Dahal dated on 2076he politic. the judiciary is always conservative. Politics 6-8)Justice always come maintains law and order politics is always changeable but judges are mostly inclined to the particular political eologies. If we use force to execute the law, the and the importance of force will increase. Political system importance of law will performs itself but p d services have to be delivered by the state. There are ic go five public goods th ze and divide: They are -Nature, Air, Water, sunlight cann priv and security (Ibid, D

Intersyvernme al Coordination

In a federal system, various frameworks and mechanisms are needed to keep the interret of the between governments efficient and effective. Relations are established between governments through both formal and informal channels. The constitution and prevailing laws the and direct formal relations while meetings, assemblies and other forms of contact result in informal relations. Also, the relationship between governments in a federal structure is either cooperative or conflicting in nature. A cooperative relationship derives from consent based decision making, coordination and interaction while a conflicting relationship is the result of competition, control and oppression.

Journal of Political Science, Vol. 21, February 2021

The constitution provided some formal structures for the establishment of effective intergovernmental relations. Article 234 envisions an Inter-Province Council to address political conflicts arising between the federal government and province governments, and between two or more provincial governments. Section 105 of the act rel to Operation of Local Government, 2017 allows for the Province Coordination Council rdinate on various issues relating to the Management of Interrelationship and Coor lation b en the Federation, Province and Local Level, 2020, which is expected to prov e greater ch 'on the functional responsibility of three tiers of governments. The impler ation of t law as per the spirit of the constitution would facilitate the healthy relations three tiers of governments.

Inter-Province Council

The Inter-Province Council was formed as providence, the constitution under the chairmanship of the Prime Minister, and has already held the protectings. The council comprises the Home Minister of the federal government, the Final Collinister of the federal government, and the chief ministers of all seven povinces. This council is provided for in the constitution with the aim of resolving conflict that may are between the federal and provincial government, and among provinces.

Provinc Although the main responsibility of the council is to resolve political rect the implementation of federalism. disagreements, its meetings have also be useq At the council's first meeting held on er 18, chief ministers of provincial Decen e of the federal government in governments had complained about he luctar med/ th the federal Home Minister as the implementing federalism. A comm was coordinator to address these com ints and mmittee prepared a 29-point working de array of issues pertaining to the implementation of plan. The working plan included federalism and set an ar for accomplishing all the tasks by mid-April of bitio s dea ne the ena ent of federal civil service commission, police, 2019. The plan included to mission, e.c. Most of those tasks were not accomplished and the formation of the fis within the stipulated timeframe. still have not been accomplished. The federal responsionlities with respect to the tasks included in the government bears mos gained priority in subsequent meetings of the council. working plan. Same i es ha

Decisions taken by t Provir Council are important in institutionalizing federalism. But the perf government in implementation has been disappointing. mance efeder Six out sters attended the meeting just two days before the first en chie officia heeting the Council. They prepared a nine-point demand charter to present before the ne minis Time minister, upset by the chief ministers demands and their ate meet cancened the meeting of the council. Then, only after a delay of three se incil could finally sit for its first meeting. The fact that the prime minister in mon s the chairperson of the council would cancel the meeting indicating that his capa implementation federalism is not his priority. In such a context, questions arise about how vincial Council can be in addressing political conflicts. effective the Inter

Province Coordination Council

Section 105 of the Act in relation to Operation of Local Governmen 2017 provides for a province coordination council in every province under the coordination e chief minister of the province. It comprises provincial ministers, chief secretaries, secreheads and deputy heads of district coordination committees of the province, chai deputy Sons chairpersons of rural municipalities and mayors and deputy mayors of nunicipali rith the secretary of the ministry responsible for local level acts as the me r secretary of the council. The aim of the councils is to synergize the policies of pr local governments create strategic cooperation on project managemen ation ncurrent jurisdiction and coordinate the utilization and sharing of natur Every province resou has held at least two meetings of such council so far. From such eti Province 1, Gandaki Province, Lumbini Province and sudurpashchim Pro ady made the 106 ave al procedures for the council's meetings. Their proced operation and v on cus management of council meetings. Each province ha on commitments o issued through this council.

These councils passed various decisions such as t larly sha ans and programs on budgets and fiscal management which they have each other. Through these passed wi council meetings local governments also share their exper nces and achievements in policy making to the provincial governments. cal gove ments also called for the attention of the federal government towards condition from the federal government as they had very small budgets and areas mentation, which would make the results of implementing programs under these ective. Among other decisions made ants 1 's jurisdictions while determining by councils were decisions to not inter re in ach` rates and types of taxes to not impose pa llel xes a to make available shares in revenue incial and local governments cannot hitherto not mutually shared etc. re nt m nizing enact laws within areas of concurrent jurisdic antil the federal government passes the necessary laws the councils decide send suggestions to the federal government to make laws that clearly deline sovincial and the local governments within the rights areas of concurrent jurise the decisions taken by various provincial Most coordination councils were smill nature

aspects the councils have taken decisions on issues like With respect to admi contacting provincial commissions to fill unoccupied positions at provincial Ivil ice and local levels requ ting e pr nce to immediately pass the Local Civil Service Act questing the province to facilitate the administration for essential for local go nt and other major decisions were to give District Coordination service d Apart sponsibility of monitoring and regulating development and construction Comm es the e delivery being operated and implemented at the local level resolving proje and ser esources and heritage sites establishing a contact unit at the cts relate cor of the Chief Minister and Council of Ministers to coordinate with the ive of local levels and to appoint contact persons in every provincial ministry. provib

Although a value of decisions were made by councils most elected local representatives questioned the utility of such decisions. Both elected representatives and officials at the local level complained that council meetings were irregular that the meetings were more like crowded fairs that not all elected local representatives received the opportunity to present their concerns at these meetings that often the meetings felt as if representatives were

present there only to listen to the provincial government and that the decisions of the council were seldom implemented.

Local representatives held the opinion that although the councils aim b dinate between the province and its local units to resolve mutual misunderstandings d failed at achieving anything substantial. Some elected local representatives asse chance of concerns being addressed at Province Coordination Council meeting vere minin ace there were no opportunities to openly express issues and that it was early to get wor done at the provincial level through informal meetings and contacts. Pro hation coo councils have become limited to passing decisions they have to issue beer substantial procedures or guidelines on the interrelationship and provincial veen levels. Although District Coordination Committees have been ority through give le à the council decisions to resolve political conflicts arising r more local two governments, many elected local representatives si effective since not DCCs lack legal basis or resources.

Conclusion

To conclude, intergovernmental relations in fede elatively complex but can settings ar be harmonized gradually by adopting effective anizational and procedural measures. The Nepali experiences of perce on institutionalizing federalism omplex offer some aspects of political, administr al conflicts among federal entities but ve a are not serious until now. However, con derin he ple of federalism i.e. cooperative, ach level of government in Nepal is there requires clarification about the ex vhich t to committed to strengthening the ve ration ind horizontal coordination amongst coopolitical units.

Instead of awaiting federal frameworklaws in areas of concurrent jurisdiction, provincial governments can legislate to implement opercordance with the spirit of the Constitution of Nepal. Provincial government would begin enacting and implementing laws pertaining to their jurisdictions with determinant and confidence.

Specific work plans share or reated and implemented through extensive consultations to establish long term parcy level combination with local governments.

Regular meetings out Province Coordination Council must be held; efficient representation sub-conversion order to facilitate nuanced discussions on issues with local government must be established regular discussions of the subcommittee must be held and accisions out be passed through council meetings. Such decisions must be discuminated to accurate governments.

References

Phillimore, J. (2013). Understanding intergovernmental relations: key features and trends. Australian Journal of Public Administration, 72(3), 228–238.

Maheshwari, B.L. (1971, June 12). Centre-state relations-issue awareness and party positions. *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol. 6, No. 24, pp. 1191-1194.

Koch, B. K. (1996) Catching up with change the transformation of vernance in the European Union. *Journal of European Public Policy*.Vol. 3, Issuer 3, 359-380. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501769608407039.

George Anderson. (2008). An Introduction, Forum for Federation.

Pierre, J. (2020). Models of Urban Governance, University of Gothenburg.

Democracy Resource Center Nepal. (2020, October). The increlation ship between three levels of governments in Nepal's federal structure. 2020.

The Constitution of Nepal. (2015). Law Books Mana method

Van der Waldt and Du toit. (1997). *Managing for excerence for the public sector*. Juta and company.

