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Abstract

Introduction

Skull has cranial vault and base. The cranial vault is called as calvarium which roofs the cranial cavity (brain box). Cranial vault consists of frontal, parietal and occipital bones. Total thickness of calvarial bones includes outer table, diploe and inner table. Diploe is made up of spongy bone whereas outer and inner table made up of compact bone.

Objective

To measure the thickness of Nepalese calvarial bones i.e. Frontal, Parietal and Occipital.

Methods

It is a hospital base cross-sectional study. CT records of one hundred and fifty adult people were studied. Nine various points on frontal bone, seven on parietal and six on occipital were located and their thickness were measured bilaterally with help of CT scan.

Results

The present study showed that Mean thickness + SD of frontal bone were 6.1 + 1.8mm; parietal 4.6 + 2.2 and occipital 9.5 + 3.4. The study also showed that frontal bone had 2.4 + 0.8 mm thick outer table; 1.4 + 0.6mm inner table and 3.5 + 1.3mm diploe. Similarly parietal bone had 1.9 + 0.6 mm thick outer table; 1.1 + 0.4mm inner table and 0.8 + 0.5mm diploe. The occipital bone had 3.5 + 1.5 mm thick outer table; 2.2 + 0.8mm inner table and 4.3 + 1.8mm diploe. Similarly this present study also calculated the mean thickness + SD of outer table in the calvarium as 2.7 + 1.3mm and that of inner table 1.5 + 0.9 mm. Thus it concluded that outer table was thicker than inner table.
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Introduction

Skull has cranial vault and base. The cranial vault is called as calvarium which roofs the cranial cavity (brain box). Cranial vault consists of frontal, parietal and occipital bones which develops from membranous ossification thus these are called membranous bones. Membranous bones are widely used in bone grafting because of greater acceptability in donor site. While evaluating the donor site, first surgeons should assess the thickness of bone in calvarium with help of Computerized tomography (CT) scan. Knowledge of calvarial thickness is also important for selection of screw length to be used in calvarial bones for fixation. Calvarial bone thickness can be measured with help of CT scan to determine
the length of screw that can be used without risk of penetrating the cranial cavity. Total thickness of calvarial bones includes outer table, diploe and inner table. Diploe is made up of spongy bone whereas outer and inner table made up of compact bone.

**Materials and methods**

A hospital based cross-sectional study was carried out with aim to measure the thickness of Nepalese calvarial bones i.e. Frontal, Parietal and Occipital. The CT records of One hundred and fifty adult people, over 20 years age were randomly selected for the study. However those who had history of trauma to skulls and bony pathology of skull bones were excluded in the study. Thicknesses of calvarial bones at various points were measured with the help of CT scan.

*Thickness of various points on Frontal bone that were measured bilaterally:*

F1=near or on frontal tuberosity; F2=near medial to tuberosities; F3=lateral to frontal tuberosity; F4=Thickness of frontal bone towards pterion; F5= near to bregma; F6=lateral to bregma; F7=medial to frontal sinus; F8=lateral to frontal sinus; F9=cranial to frontal sinus.

*Thickness of various points at Parietal bone that were measured bilaterally:*

P1=near or on Parietal eminence; P2=Parietal bone near to Bregma; P3=Medial to parietal eminence; P4= Lateral to parietal eminence; P5=Parietal bone near to Lamda; P6=Cranial to Pterion; P7=Cranial to Asterion

*Thickness of various points on Occipital bone that were measured bilaterally:*

O1= just posterior to Lamda; O2=postero-lateral to Lamda; O3=just posterior to asterion; O4=medial to asterion towards midline; O5=near to External Occipital protuberance towards midline; O6=near to External Occipital protuberance laterally.

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS version 11.00. The arithmetic mean and standard deviation were calculated and one way ANOVA test was applied to test the significant difference among the mean thickness of Frontal, Parietal and Occipital bone as well as among the mean thickness of outer table, inner table and diploe of frontal, parietal and occipital bone. Student’s unpaired ‘t’test was applied to test the significant difference between the mean thickness of outer table and inner table.

**Result**

CT records of one hundred and fifty adult people were studied. Nine various points on frontal bone, seven on parietal and six on occipital were located and their thickness were measured bilaterally with help of CT scan.

**Table 1: Comparison of mean thickness of Frontal, Parietal and Occipital bones (n=150).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>Frontal bone</th>
<th>Parietal bone</th>
<th>Occipital bone</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean thickness (mm)+_SD</td>
<td>6.1+_1.8 mm</td>
<td>4.6+_2.2 mm</td>
<td>9.5+_3.4 mm</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was significant difference among mean thickness of frontal, parietal and occipital bones (p<0.05).
Table 2: Comparison of mean thickness of outer table, inner table and diploe of Frontal, Parietal and Occipital bones (n=150).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness in mm (Mean+_SD)</th>
<th>Frontal bone</th>
<th>Parietal bone</th>
<th>Occipital bone</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outer table</td>
<td>2.4+_0.8 mm</td>
<td>1.9+_0.6 mm</td>
<td>3.5+_1.5 mm</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner table</td>
<td>1.4+_0.6 mm</td>
<td>1.1+_0.4 mm</td>
<td>2.2+_0.8 mm</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploe</td>
<td>3.5+_1.3 mm</td>
<td>0.8+_0.5 mm</td>
<td>4.3+_1.8 mm</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was significant difference among mean thickness of outer table, inner table and diploe of frontal, parietal and occipital bones (p<0.05).

Table 3: Comparison of overall mean thickness of Outer and Inner tables of calvarium (n=150).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness (mm)+_SD</th>
<th>Outer table</th>
<th>Inner table</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean thickness</td>
<td>2.7+_1.3 mm</td>
<td>1.5+_0.9 mm</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was significant difference (p<0.05) between mean thickness of outer and inner tables.

The present study showed that Mean thickness+_SD of frontal bone was 6.1+_1.8mm; parietal 4.6+_2.2mm and occipital 9.5+_3.4 mm. There was significant difference among mean thickness of frontal, parietal and occipital bones (p<0.05). The present study showed that frontal bone had 2.4+_0.8 mm thick outer table; 1.4+_0.6mm inner table and 3.5+_1.3mm diploe. Similarly parietal bone had 1.9+_0.6 mm thick outer table; 1.1+_0.4mm inner table and 0.8+_0.5mm diploe. The occipital bone had 3.5+_1.5 mm thick outer table; 2.2+_0.8mm inner table and 4.3+_1.8mm diploe. There was significant difference among mean thickness of outer table, inner table and diploe of frontal, parietal and occipital bones (p<0.05).

The present study calculated the mean thickness+_SD of outer table in the calvarium as 2.7+_1.3mm and that of inner table 1.5+_0.9 mm. There was significant difference (p<0.05) between mean thickness of outer and inner tables. It concluded that outer table was thicker than inner table.

Many investigators carried out computed tomographic study of calvarial bones in different perspectives. Gerhard W. Weber et al. carried out thickness mapping of the Occipital bone on CT-data and opined that information about the thickness of cranial bones are not only of great medical interest, particularly for pre-operative surgical planning, but can be useful for investigations of fossil hominid material.1 Kreiborg S et al. described and analyzed Apert and Crouzon skulls from three-dimensional (3-D) reconstructions of CT-scans. 12 Apert patients and 19 with Crouzon syndrome were included in the study. A number of qualitative characteristics of the calvaria and cranial base were recorded and the cranial base angle was measured on the 3-D...
models. Hemmy DC and Tessier P studied CT of dry skulls with craniofacial deformities and assessed accuracy of three-dimensional reconstruction. In this study dry skulls from patients with Crouzon syndrome or orbital neurofibromatosis were studied using three-dimensional reconstruction of computed tomography data. The images were compared with one another and with the actual skulls. It was concluded that the use of dry skulls is helpful in pointing out errors of inclusion or exclusion.

Thinner sections permit more accurate representation. Since reconstructed data do not appear to be significantly enhanced by using overlapping sections, radiation can be reduced by using abutting sections. Deborah R. Smith et al. identified human skeletal remains by comparison of bony details of the cranium using computerized tomographic (CT) scans. A case was described where a cranium from an unknown individual was identified by comparison of antemortem and postmortem computerized tomographic (CT) images of the bony structure of the skull. Bony details of the frontal and sphenoid sinuses, ethmoid and mastoid air cells, sagittal cranial suture, and the internal occipital protuberance were exactly the same on both CT scans, confirming them as the same person.

Ross MD et al. investigated skull thickness of Black and White races and found that White women have the thickest and White men the thinnest skulls. The skulls of women were statistically significant thicker than those of men in both ethnic groups. Ross AH et al. had done research on cranial thickness in American females and males with an objective to examine sex and age variation in cranial thickness in a White sample. An increase in cranial thickness with age was observed and there was no statistical difference in calvarial thickness between male and female. Contrary to the Ross et al finding, Hatipoglu HG et al. found sexual dimorphism in all craniometric data observed positive correlation between body mass index and diploeic thickness. Hwang K et al. carried out thickness mapping of the parietal bone in Korean adults and concluded that the parietal bone tended to be thicker towards the Lamda point than at the coronal suture area. Daniel Novakovie et al. carried out computed tomographic analysis of outer calvarial thickness for osseointegrated bone-anchored hearing system insertion. A total of 195 temporal bones were examined in 100 patients; mean patient age was 60.9 years, of whom 54.4% were males and 45.6% were females. Mean calvarial thickness was greatest at +1 cm above external auditory canal level i.e. 6.3 mm.

**Conclusion**

The present study studied CT records of one hundred and fifty adult people who had no history of trauma and bony pathology of calvarial bones. Nine various points on frontal bone, seven on parietal and six on occipital were located and their thickness were measured bilaterally with help of CT scan.

The present study showed that Mean thickness + SD of frontal bone was 6.1 ± 1.8 mm; parietal 4.6 ± 2.2 mm and occipital 9.5 ± 3.4 mm. The study also showed that frontal bone had 2.4 ± 0.8 mm thick outer table; 1.4 ± 0.6 mm inner table and 3.5 ± 1.3 mm diploe. Similarly parietal bone had 1.9 ± 0.6 mm thick outer table; 1.1 ± 0.4 mm inner table and 0.8 ± 0.5 mm diploe. The occipital bone had 3.5 ± 1.5 mm thick outer table; 2.2 ± 0.8 mm inner table and 4.3 ± 1.8 mm diploe.

The study calculated the mean thickness + SD of outer table in the calvarium as 2.7 ± 1.3 mm and that of inner table 1.5 ± 0.9 mm. Thus it concluded that outer table was thicker than inner table.
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