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#### Abstract

\section*{Background}

Smoking, diabetes mellitus, hypertension and dyslipidemia are known as conventional risk factors of coronary artery disease (CAD) and the prevalence of it varies across populations. There is paucity of data in our country about the prevalence of risk factors for acute coronary syndrome (ACS). This study aims to assess the prevalence of these conventional risk factors in patients who were admitted in Nobel medical college, with the diagnosis of ACS. Material \& Methods In this observational study, we enrolled 102 patients diagnosed as ACS with stenosis $\geq 50 \%$ of any epicardial arteries as shown on angiography admitted in Nobel Medical College between September 2015 to March 2017 and evaluate the prevalence of conventional risk factors. In addition, we analyzed the lipid profiles within 24 hour of the event.

\section*{Results}

Mean age of the patients was 59 years. Two third (66.7\%) of the patients were male. Left anterior descending artery ( $43.13 \%$ ) was the most common culprit lesion followed by RCA in $35.29 \%$. Dyslipidemia was present in $73.5 \%$, hypertension in $46.1 \%$, smoking in $38.2 \%$ and diabetes in $37.3 \%$. Prevalence of hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia was similar among male and female. Smoking ( $44.1 \%$ vs $26.5 \%$ ) was more common in male ( $\mathrm{P}=<0.05$ ). TG $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ was seen in $52 \%$ study population and higher level of TG was seen in younger population $\leq 45$ years compared to $\geq 45$ years old ( $p=0.013$ ).

\section*{Conclusion}

Present study showed high prevalence of hypertension, smoking, diabetes and dyslipidemia in patients with ACS, suggesting the need of aggressive risk factor reduction in general population.
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## Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in both developing and developed countries [1]. Epidemiological studies have established cigarette smoking [2], diabetes mellitus
(DM) [3], hypertension (HTN) [4], and dyslipidemia [5] as independent risk factors for CAD and have been labeled as conventional risk factors [6]. Acute coronary syndrome includes unstable angina (UA), Non-ST elevation myocardial
infarction (NSTEMI) and ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), which needs urgent or emergency care to reduce mortality or morbidity. Reduction of these risk factors has been convincingly shown to reduce the risk of future events [2,7]. Prevalence of these risk factors may vary across populations [8]. Our study aims to assess the prevalence of conventional risk factors in patients who were admitted with diagnosis of ACS in Nobel medical college.

## Material \& Methods

It is an observational, cross-sectional, single center study conducted in Nobel Medical College Biratnagar Nepal. A total of 102 patients admitted with the diagnosis of ACS (Unstable angina, NSTEMI, and STEMI) were enrolled for the study in between September 2015 to march 2017. Performa was designed to collect patient information, which included; age, gender, diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension and smoking.
Coronary angiography was done in all patients. Significant CAD was defined as the presence of $>50 \%$ stenosis of any of the epicardial vessels. Patients only with significant CAD were included in the study. Patients with normal coronary angiography or mild disease, defined as $<50 \%$ stenosis in any of the epicardial vessels, were excluded, as were patients in whom ACS was considered to be secondary to coronary embolism, arteritis, spontaneous dissection, muscular bridges, or an anomalous origin of the coronary artery. Stable angina patients were also excluded.

## Cardiovascular risk factors were defined as follows

a Smoking: History of cigarette smoking (regularly smokes one or more cigarettes per day)
b Dyslipidemia: any of the following values in fasting sample taken within 24 hours of the event: TC $\geq 200$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$, $\mathrm{LDL}-\mathrm{C} \geq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}, \mathrm{TG} \geq 150$
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dLand} \mathrm{HDL}-\mathrm{C} \leq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ or patient already on medication for dyslipidemia.
c Hypertension: systolic blood pressure $\geq 140 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ or diastolic bloodpressure $\geq 90 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ and/or concomitant use of antihypertensive medications.
d Diabetes Mellitus: fasting plasma glucose $\geq 126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ or postprandial glucose $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ or patient being treated for diabetes.
Statistical analysis:Continuous variables were expressed as mean with range and categorical variables as count with percentage. Groups were compared using Chi Square test (cross tabulation method) for categorical variables. $P$ value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant with $95 \%$ confidence interval. Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Illinois, and USA).

## Result

1. Demographic and study characteristics

During the study period, we identified 158 patients with acute coronary syndrome of which 102 patients were finally selected by excluding patients with (1) no angiographic study or refused to do, (2) with incomplete lipid profile at admission and (3) patients with normal coronaries and/or non-significant lesion. Mean age of the study population was 58.74 ranging from 30-84 years, among which 68 ( $66.7 \%$ ) were male. The participants were further divided into two groups on the basis of their age as $\leq 45$ years and $\geq 45$ years among which $85.3 \%$ were $\geq 45$ years. Mean value for total cholesterol (TC) was $201.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, which ranged from 117 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ to $319 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$.
Mean value for low-density lipoproteincholesterol (LDL-C) was $122.87 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, which ranged from $71 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ to $188 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. Mean value for Triglyceride (TG) was
$167.29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, which ranged from $79 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ to $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. Mean value for High-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) was 39.14 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, which ranged from $19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ to 98 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. Anterior wall myocardium was the most commonly involved (43.13\%) territory followed by inferior wall myocardium (35.29\%)(Table.1).

Table. 1 Demographic and study characteristics

| Demographic and lipid <br> profile | $\mathrm{N}=102$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | $58.74(30-84)$ |
| Less than 45 years | $15 \%$ |
| More than 45 years | $85 \%$ |
| Male | $67 \%$ |
| Female | $33 \%$ |
| Total <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $188 \pm 46.34$ |
| LDL-C $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $119 \pm 30.41$ |
| HDL-C $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $39 \pm 10.57$ |
| Triglyceride $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $167 \pm 71.25$ |

## 2. Prevalence of risk factors according to sex

The prevalence of CVD risk factors among the study population is summarized in Table 2. Hypertension was present in $45.6 \%$ of male and $47.1 \%$ of female was the most frequently observed risk factors in Myocardial infarction and unstable angina groups with ( $\mathrm{P}=0.888$ ), whereasprevalence of smoking was seen in 44.1\% male vs $26.5 \%$ in female ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Among the risk factors T2DM was present in $38.2 \%$ in male vs35.3\% in female with ( $\mathrm{P}=0.77$ ), respectively among 102 study populations.

Table. 2. Prevalence of risk factors according to sex

| Risk <br> factors | All <br> patients <br> $\mathrm{N}=102($ <br> $\%)$ | Male <br> $\mathrm{N}=68(\%)$ | Female <br> $\mathrm{N}=34(\%)$ | P <br> value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Smoking | 39 | $30(44.1)$ | $9(26.5)$ | $<0.0$ <br> 5 |
| Hypertensi <br> on | 47 | $31(45.6)$ | $16(47.1)$ | .888 |
| Diabetes | 38 | $26(38.2)$ | $12(35.6)$ | .077 |

## 3. Prevalence of risk factors by age

The prevalence of CVD risk factors among the study population by age is summarized in Table 3. Similar to the prevalence by sex HTN is by far the most common observed risk factor $49.4 \%$ in age $\geq 45$ vs $26.7 \%$ in age $\leq 45$ years, smoking was seen in $36.8 \%$ age $\geq 45$ years and higher rate of prevalence $46.7 \%$ in age $\leq 45$ years and. Among the risk factors T2DM was present in $36.8 \%$ with age $\geq 45$ years vs as $40.0 \%$ in age $\leq 45$ years, All of the conventional risk factors were non significant with $P$ value $\geq 0.05$ with acute coronary syndrome among 102 study populations.

Table. 3 Prevalence of risk factors by age

| Risk factors | All <br> patient <br> s | Age <br> $>45$ year <br> $\mathrm{N}=10$ <br> 2 | Age <br> $<45$ <br> $\mathrm{~s}=87(\%$ <br> years | P <br> $\mathrm{N}=15($ <br> $\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ealu |  |  |  |  |
| Smoking | 39 | $32(36.8)$ | $7(46.7)$ | 0.46 |
| Hypertensio <br> n | 47 | $43(49.4)$ | $4(26.7)$ | 0.10 |
| Diabetes | 38 | $32(36.8)$ | $6(40.0)$ | 0.81 |

## 4. Lipid profile study

The blood lipid analysis showed that the mean level of total cholesterol was 201.03 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (IQR 117-319mg/dl), LDL-C was $122.87 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (IQR, $71-188 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$, HDL-C was $39.14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (IQR, 19-98) and Triglyceride was $167.29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (IOR, 79-
$400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$. Table 4. TC, LDL-C and TG all three levels were higher in women than men and HDL-C was seen higher in men compared to women though the difference were non-significant ( $p \geq 0.05$ ).
When the lipid profile was differentiated by age, older patient have higher percentage of LDL-C $\leq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, TC $\leq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ as compared to age $\leq 45$ years old.
Table 5. Whereas HDL-C level $\leq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ is decreased in older patients compared to younger. This result could be due to decrease in physical activity and exercise
in older patients as aerobic exercise/physical activity increases HDL-C level (Table.5). TG $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ was seen in $52 \%$ study population. Higher level of $T G$ was seen in younger population $\leq 45$ years compared to $\geq 45$ years old which was statistically significant ( $p=0.01$ ).
As shown in Table 7. 10.7\% are without any conventional risk factors for ACS, which is negligible compared to $89 \%$ patients with at least one or more risk factors for cardiovascular disease.

Table 4. Pattern of Lipid profiles in study populations by sex

|  | All patients(n=102) | Men(n=68) | women(n=34) | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| TC (IQR)( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | $201.03(117-319)$ | $199.90(117-319)$ | $203.29(118-302)$ | 0.32 |
| TC $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ | 43.1 | 39.7 | 50 |  |
| TC $\leq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ | 56.9 | 60.3 | 50 |  |
| LDL-C $(\mathrm{IQR})(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | $122.87(71-188)$ | $120.74(44-188)$ | $127.15(47-259)$ | 0.77 |
| LDL-C $\geq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ | 36.3 | 35.3 | 38.2 |  |
| LDL-C $\leq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ | 63.7 | 64.7 | 61.8 |  |
| HDL-C (IQR) $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | $39.14(19-98)$ | $39.97(19-99)$ | $37.47(19-98)$ | 0.67 |
| HDL-C $\geq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ | 47.1 | 48.5 | 44.1 |  |
| HDL-C $\leq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ | 52.9 | 51.5 | 55.9 |  |
| TG (IQR) $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | $167.29(79-400)$ | $166.19(79-340)$ | $169.50(84-400)$ | 0.48 |
| TG $\geq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ | 52.0 | 54.4 | 47.1 |  |
| TG $\leq 150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ | 48.0 | 45.6 | 52.9 |  |

Table 5. Lipid profile characteristics by age

| AGE | LDL-C |  | TC |  | TGL-C |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\geq \mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\leq \mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\leq \mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\geq \mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\geq \mathbf{4 0}$ | $\leq \mathbf{4 0}$ | $\geq \mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\leq \mathbf{1 5 0}$ |
| $\leq 45(\%)$ | 53.3 | 46.7 | 40.0 | 60 | 40.0 | 60 | 53.3 | 46.7 |
| $\geq 45(\%)$ | 33.3 | 66.7 | 59.8 | 40.2 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 51.7 | 48.3 |
| TOTAL(\%) | 36.3 | 63.7 | 56.9 | 43.1 | 47.1 | 52.9 | 52 | 48.0 |
| P value | 0.13 |  | 0.15 |  | 0.55 |  | 0.01 |  |

Table 6. Distribution of dyslipidemia by sex

|  |  | No dyslipidemia | Dyslipidemia | Total | P value |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sex | F | 8 | 26 | 34 |  |
|  |  | $23.5 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |
|  | M | 19 | 49 | 68 | 0.63 |
|  | $27.9 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | 27 | 75 | 102 |  |  |
|  |  | $26.5 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Table 7. Distribution of cardiovascular risk factor burden

| Risk factors | $\mathrm{n}=102$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| None | 11 | 10.78 |
| One | 21 | 20.5 |
| Two | 38 | 37.25 |
| Three | 30 | 29.41 |
| Four | 2 | 1.96 |

## Discussion

Mean age of the patients was 58.74 years in our study. Younger patients (age less than 45 years) with ACS event were $14.7 \%$. In a study done by Adhikari et.al [9] similar results were found where mean age of the patients were 57 years and younger patient population was $12.6 \%$. It is a matter of concern that younger patients percentage is increasing for ACS event. In this study one third (33\%) of the patients were female. The incidence of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) is lower in women than men in all age group[10], which is consistent with our study, having lower percentage 33.3\% of total population. The finding that ACS event is more common in male patients is consistent with report from multinational
observational Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE)[11].
We found high prevalence of Dyslipidemia (73.5\%), Hypertension (46.1\%), Smoking (38.2\%), Diabetes (37.3\%) in our study population. In study done by Adhikari et al [9] have lower prevalence of dyslipidemia $45.5 \%$ compared to our $73.5 \%$ which is much higher, it is s because we included TG in the definition of dyslipidemia[12].
Cigarette smoking plays a critical role in the development of CHD (Coronary heart disease). Smoking is considered one of the most important modifiable risk factors for increasing cardiovascular disease. In our study, the prevalence of current smoking was $44.1 \%$ in male and $26.5 \%$ in female. Smoking was significantly higher in male population in overall as well as among all age group in our study. These results are
similar to other recent studies. It was the second most frequently encountered conventional risk factor with acute STEMI living in Turkish study population [13]. Though our study showed non-significant risk estimation with acute coronary Syndrome, it could be cause of smaller sample size of population in our study.DM (Diabetes mellitus) is a major health challenge in many Asian populations. However its prevalence is somewhat lower than that observed in developed countries[14], it is significant among South Asians, having $2 \%$ prevalence in rural South Asia but approaching 20\% prevalence in urban South Asia and amongst immigrant South Asians[15-17].In our study it is $3^{\text {rd }}$ common among the conventional risk factors only after Hypertension and Smoking. Prevalence of DM in INTER HEART study was $26 \%$ in women, $16 \%$ in men[8]. The higher prevalence of diabetes in women than in men is not consistent in our study ( F $35.3 \%$ vs $\mathrm{M} 38.2 \%$ ) with other studies that have shown that diabetes is a powerful risk factors in women, though our study did not show any sex disparity in prevalence which were non-significant and also one of the factors effecting the ratio could be higher number of subject for ACS being male.
Hypertension is one of the main factors leading to atherogenesis and the development of vulnerable plaques whose instability or rupture are responsible for the development of acute coronary syndrome (ACS). In general population, the prevalence of hypertension rises progressively with age in both male and female. In GUSTO -1 trial which enrolled 41021 STEMI patients prevalence of a history of previous hypertension was 38.1 \% (15544 of 41021) [18]. Similarly, InGISSI-2 with 20491STEMI patients, history of HTN was present in about 35\% of the whole population [19].In epidemiological studies performed in N -

STEMI patients, chronic HTN is the most prevalent risk factors [20]. Similar to this studies prevalence of HTN in-patient presented with ACS at our center was $46.1 \%$. From all the registries and the data available up to now [18, 19, 21-23], ACS patients with hypertension are more likely to be female, older agesimilar to that of our study with HTN in Female being 47.1\% with age $\geq 45$ years being $49.4 \%$.
An Observational study has shown untreated dyslipidemia as a strong predictor of in-hospital mortality [24]. Clinically significant changes in lipid occur after an ACS event[25]. From the Time of admission to next morning, TC and LDL-C level can undergo a change of $7 \%$ and $10 \%$ respectively, in patients with MI and $5 \%$ and $6 \%$ in those with unstable angina [29]. Our study showed 73.5\% had at least one alteration in lipid levels. On other hand, these results could be due to an underestimation of the true prevalence of dyslipidemia as risk factors for Nepalese population.
In previous observational study [26], every
$1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ increment in HDL-C was reported to be associated with $2 \%-3 \%$ decrease risk of CVD in adult. In our study $52.9 \%$ of population has HDL-C $\leq 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, which co-relates that HDL-C level is strong biomarker and one of the conventional and important risk factors for ACS.
Elevated levels of TG are independent risk factors for CHD [27]. Even our study demonstrated that TG level $\geq 150$ are statistically significant ( $\mathrm{P}=0.013$ ) risk factors for ACS. For a reduction of $1 \%$ in TC has been shown to reduce the risk for coronary artery disease[28] assuming that reverse is true, our study does not correlates to previous studies as our study $57 \%$ of population have TC level $\leq 200$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, and suffered acute coronary syndrome event. LDL-C $\geq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ is seen in smaller percentage of $36.3 \%$ compared to $63.7 \%$ of LDL-C $\leq 130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$.

This may point out that even lower level of LDL-C can be a risk factor for ACS event [29, 30] and future study needs to validate more accurate event. Our study clearly shows that conventional risk factors occur in most of the ACS patients in cluster. Adhikari CM et.al [9] Study showed that $70 \%$ population had more than 2 risk factors which is same in our study too. All the above data from studies shows that most of the ACS patients have cluster of conventional risk factors and primary prevention against all of the four conventional cardiovascular risk factors should be address by education, diet, exercise and pharmacologically.

## Conclusion

Present study showed high prevalence of hypertension, smoking, diabetes and dyslipidemia in patients with ACS, suggesting the need of aggressive risk factor reduction in general population.

## Limitations

This study has some limitations, such as its observational design and small sample size. Doses of atorvastatin taken by patient vary and many are not documented and Lipid profile was taken at variable time within 24 hours. Factors that can impact the cardiovascular risk (eg, obesity, Inactivity, familial history) were not evaluated separately which might change the results if taken into consideration.
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