

Post-Operative Drop in Hemoglobin and Need of Blood Transfusion in Cesarean Section at Dhulikhel Hospital, Kathmandu University Hospital

Singh B,¹ Adhikari N,² Ghimire S,³ Dhital S⁴

¹Department of Nursing

²Department of Community Program

³Department of Pharmacology

⁴Department of Anatomy

Dhulikhel Hospital-Kathmandu University Hospital

Dhulikhel, Kavre

Nepal

Corresponding author

Bishnu Singh

Department of Nursing

Dhulikhel Hospital-Kathmandu University Hospital

Dhulikhel, Kavre, Nepal

Email: bishnu.singh@yahoo.com

Citation

Singh B, Adhikari N, Ghimire S, Dhital S. Post-Operative Drop in Hemoglobin and Need of Blood Transfusion in Cesarean Section at Dhulikhel Hospital, Kathmandu University Hospital. *Kathmandu Univ Med J* 2013;42(2):144-146.

ABSTRACT

Background

Cesarean section has been identified as one of the commonest indication for blood transfusion in obstetric practice because it involves risk of major intra-operative blood loss. Different figures varying from less than 500 ml to more than 1000 ml have been quoted as estimated blood loss associated with caesarean section. There is also a wide variation in blood ordering practices for this surgery.

Objective

The objective of this study is to evaluate the blood ordering practice and transfusion for cesarean sections at our institute, to see post-operative drop in hemoglobin and hematocrit and to correlate those parameters with the duration between uterine incision and repair.

Methods

In this prospective observational study, non-randomised purposive sample was taken from 121 ladies who underwent elective and emergency cesarean section at the department of obstetrics of Dhulikhel Hospital-Kathmandu University Hospital. Post-cesarean drop in hemoglobin and hematocrit and their relation with duration of uterine manipulation was calculated. Cross-match to transfusion (C/T ratio) ratio, transfusion probability (%T) and transfusion index (Ti) were also calculated.

Results

Most frequent blood group was found to be O positive (38%) among those ladies. Average post-cesarean drop in hemoglobin was 1.52 ± 1.27 gm/dl and drop in haematocrit was $5.49 \pm 4.1\%$. Post-operative drop in hemoglobin and haematocrit had weak and positive linear relation with duration between uterine incision and repair. Cross-match to transfusion ratio was 1, transfusion probability 100% and transfusion index was 2.

Conclusion

There is no need of routine cross-matching of blood for cesarean section. Only grouping with confirmation of availability should be done for emergency situation.

KEY WORDS

Blood transfusion, cesarean Section, drop in hemoglobin

INTRODUCTION

Obstetric hemorrhage is among leading causes of direct maternal mortality in obstetric practice.¹ It is major contributor to maternal mortality in developing countries.² Cesarean section has been identified as one of the commonest indication for blood transfusion in obstetric practice because it involves risk of major intra-operative blood loss.³ Different figures varying from less than 500 ml to more than 1000 ml have been quoted as estimated blood loss associated with caesarean section. There is also a wide variation in blood ordering practices for this surgery. Over the last few years there has been growing concern for safety, cost and adequacy of blood utilization.⁴

Evidence-based health policies and programs aiming to reduce maternal deaths need reliable and valid information.² The aim of this study is to enable improvements in patient experience on blood utilization as a result of a successful audit cycle.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the blood ordering practice and transfusion for cesarean sections at our institute, to see post-operative drop in hemoglobin and hematocrit and to correlate the postoperative drop in those parameters with the duration between uterine incision and repair.

METHODS

After getting approval from institutional review committee for this prospective observational study, non-randomised purposive sample of 121 ladies who underwent elective and emergency cesarean section at the department of obstetrics of Dhulikhel Hospital-Kathmandu University Hospital from 9th September 2011 to 11th March 2012 were enrolled in the study. Ladies with normal haemostasis profile were included in the study, those with abnormal bleeding profile or on anticoagulant therapy were excluded. The parameters included age of the lady, type of cesarean section whether elective or emergency, time of uterus incision and uterus repair to calculate duration in between, preoperative hemoglobin and haematocrit, bleeding time and clotting time to exclude the lady with abnormal reading, blood group, number of blood units arranged and cross-matched preoperatively for the lady and the units transfused intraoperative or postoperative. 'Blood arranged' refer to only blood grouping and hold order to blood bank. Postoperative hemoglobin and haematocrit was done at 48 hours post cesarean. The data collected was analyzed using Statistical Program for social Sciences (SPSS) V 17.0. Cross-match to transfusion (C/T ratio) ratio, transfusion probability (%T) and transfusion index (Ti) were also calculated. (Table 1)

Table 1. Indicators of blood utilization.

Cross-match to Transfusion ratio(C/T ratio)	No of units cross-matched
	No of units transfused
Transfusion Probability (%T)	No. of patients transfused x 100
	No. of patients cross-matched
Transfusion Index (Ti)	No. of units transfused
	No. of patients cross-matched

RESULTS

Mean age of the ladies included in the study was 24.54±4.27 years ranging from 16 to 43 years. Among all, 72.7% ladies underwent emergency cesarean section whereas (27.3%) underwent electively.

Most frequent blood group was found to be O positive (38%) followed by B positive (27.3%), A positive (25.6%), AB positive (8.3%) and A negative (0.8%). (Fig 1)




Figure 1. Frequency of blood group.

The average preoperative hemoglobin was 12.23±1.13 gm/dl ranging from 8.6gm/dl to 15.6 gm/dl. The average preoperative haematocrit was 39.36±3.98% ranging from 28 to 52%. For the lady with lowest hemoglobin (8.6gm/dl), 4 units of blood were arranged and 2 units of those were cross-matched preoperatively. Among the cross-matched, one unit was transfused intraoperatively and one unit postoperatively. Postoperative hemoglobin for this lady was again 8.6 gm/dl after receiving 2 units of blood transfusion. For remaining all 2 units were arranged and none cross-matched. Average time between uterus incision and repair was 10.01±6.76 minutes. The average postoperative hemoglobin was 10.74±1.49 gm/dl and haematocrit 33.97±4.51%. Average drop in hemoglobin was 1.52±1.27 gm/dl and drop in haematocrit was 5.49±4.1%. Maximum hemoglobin dropped was 6.6 gm/dl (haematocrit 20%) and minimum 0 gm/dl (haematocrit 0%). Post-operative drop in hemoglobin had weak and positive linear relation with duration between uterine incision and repair (r=0.056). Post-operative drop in haematocrit also showed similar relation (r=0.083). Average drop in hemoglobin at emergency surgery was 1.58±1.36 gm/dl (haematocrit 5.53±4.54%) whereas at elective surgery it was 1.36±0.96 gm/dl (haematocrit 5.36±2.63%). Cross-match to transfusion ratio was 1, transfusion probability 100% and transfusion index 2.

DISCUSSION

The indications for the caesarean section and quantity of blood loss during the surgery were significant risk factors for blood transfusion. Severe haemorrhage requiring blood transfusion can be predicted in majority of patients on the basis of antenatal risk factors.⁵ But accurate estimation of blood loss during this surgery is difficult because of dispersion of blood and blood being mixed with amniotic fluid. Anaesthesiologists often rely on clinical estimation of blood loss alone to guide the transfusion of blood in the perioperative period because other methods of estimations either may not be practical or available at all the times.⁶

Although the incidence of severe transfusion reactions and infections is now very low, in recent years it has become apparent that there is an immunological price to be paid for the transfusion of blood products which leads to increased morbidity.⁷⁻¹⁰ Moreover blood is a finite resource with a limited shelf life and is associated with considerable processing costs. Therefore utilization of this resource needs critical review to identify areas of overuse and thus reduce risk to patient and hospital costs. Efforts should be made to reduce the blood transfusion without increasing maternal morbidity and mortality.^{5,6} Past literatures also suggest eliminating cross-matching for cesarean section in the absence of significant risk factors.^{11,12}

Now the risk of transfusion in association with cesarean is low. However preoperative maternal anemia, placenta previa, haemorrhage, preeclampsia and HELLP syndrome are all associated with transfusion risk.^{11,13} It has been

shown that a lady can withstand post-haemorrhagic haematocrit level of 20%. Transfusion may be appropriate when hemoglobin is 7-10 gm/dl and there is active bleeding or associated co-morbidities.⁴ In our study also, only transfusion given was to a lady presenting with antepartum haemorrhage whose preoperative hemoglobin was 8.6 mg/dl. No transfusion was given for remaining three ladies whose preoperative hemoglobin was less than 10gm/dl.

Cross-match to transfusion ratio of 2.5 is considered as significant for blood usage. Similarly transfusion probability of 30% is considered indicative of significant blood usage.¹⁴ In our study values were 1 and 100% respectively. Only two units of blood were cross-matched for a lady among 121 and both of them were utilized.

Post cesarean hemoglobin level in a lady depends upon various factors like amount of blood loss during the surgery, iron stored in the body during pregnancy, lactation and body mass index (BMI). Sudden hemorrhage may occur with

any manipulation of the highly vascular term uterus. We tried to find out relation between the duration of uterine manipulation and post-operative drop in hemoglobin and haematocrit where both showed weak relation. Faponle et al also found similar result that the duration of surgery didn't have a statistical significant influence on blood transfusion.¹⁵ Studies showed that breast feeding was associated with a decrease risk of postpartum anemia whereas high pre-pregnancy BMI increases the risk.^{16,17}

CONCLUSION

There is no need of routine cross-matching of blood for cesarean section. Only grouping with confirmation of availability should be done for emergency situation. However this surgical audit is institutional based, recommendation cannot be generalized.

REFERENCES

1. Clark SL, Belfort MA, Dildy GA, Herbst MA, Meyers JA, Hankins GD. Maternal death in the 21st century: causes, prevention, and relationship to cesarean delivery. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2008;199(1):36:31-36.
2. Khan KS, Wojdyla D, Say L, Gülmezoglu AM, Van Look PF. WHO analysis of causes of maternal death: a systematic. *Lancet* 2006, 367:1066-1074.
3. Matot I, Einav S, Goodman S, Zeldin A, Weissman C, Elchalal U. A survey of physicians' attitudes toward blood transfusion in patients undergoing cesarean section. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 2004, 190(2):462.
4. Khan F, Khan M, Ali A, Chohan U. Estimation of blood loss during Caesarean section: an audit. *JPMA The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association* 2006, 56(12):572.
5. Eusaph AZ, Iqbal S, Rana T, Asghar F. Evaluation of Practices of Blood Transfusion in Various Indication of Caesarean Section. *Annals of King Edward Medical University* 2011;17(2).
6. Ponniah NEDM. Perioperative Blood Loss Assessment- How Accurate? *Indian Journal Of Anesthesia* 2006:36.
7. Goodnough LT. Risks of blood transfusion. *Critical care medicine* 2003;31(12):678-S686.
8. Moore FA, Moore EE, Sauaia A. Blood transfusion: an independent risk factor for postinjury multiple organ failure. *Archives of Surgery* 1997;132(6):620.
9. Hill GE, Frawley WH, Griffith KE, Forestner JE, Minei JP. Allogeneic blood transfusion increases the risk of postoperative bacterial infection: a meta-analysis. *The Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery* 2003;54(5):908-914.
10. Sandler S, Yu H, Rassai N. Risks of blood transfusion and their prevention. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol* 2003;1(5):307-313.
11. Cousins LM, Teplick FB, Poeltler DM. Pre-cesarean blood bank orders: a safe and less expensive approach. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1996;87(6):912-916.
12. Ness P, Rosche M, Barrasso C, Luff R, Johnson Jr J. The efficacy of type and screen to reduce unnecessary cross matches for obstetric patients. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* 1981;140(6):661.
13. Rouse DJ, MacPherson C, Landon M, Varner MW, Leveno KJ, Moawad AH et.al. Blood transfusion and cesarean delivery. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2006;08(4):891.
14. Vibhute M, Kamath S, Shetty A. Blood utilisation in elective general surgery cases: requirements, ordering and transfusion practices. *Journal of postgraduate medicine* 2000;46(1):13.
15. Faponle A, Makinde O. Caesarean section: intra-operative blood loss and its restitution. *East African Medical Journal* 2007;84(1):31-34.
16. Chapel Hill N. High prevalence of postpartum anemia among low-income women in the United States. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2001;185(2).
17. Bodnar LM, Siega-Riz AM, Cogswell ME. High prepregnancy BMI increases the risk of postpartum anemia. *Obesity Research* 2012; 12(6):941-948.