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ABSTRACT

Background
Coronavirus Disease, 2019 (COVID-19) is a highly infectious respiratory disease with high transmissibility. Dentists are at inherent risk because of aerosol generating procedures exposing dentists to the respiratory and oral secretions of the patients.

Objective
To assess the knowledge, awareness, and practice (KAP) of the Dentists to combat the pandemic which could help shape future guidelines and policies to be implemented in dental settings.

Method
This cross-sectional descriptive study included series of multiple-choice questions distributed online, assessing dentists’ KAP towards COVID-19, its impact on dentistry and their psychological well-being. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 20 for mac Operating System (OS). Descriptive statistics was performed, and frequencies of responses were reported in proportion. Non-parametric tests of independence and Chi-square test were performed to see the association between the categorical variables.

Result
Around 84% of the respondents accurately answered mode of transmission, 68% inquired about the travel history while only 49% measured the body temperature. Also, only 42% were receiving salary. A statistically significant difference concerning impact and practice during the COVID-19 was observed between general practitioner and specialist working at different work places.

Conclusion
Majority of Dentists have good knowledge and awareness but there were certain pitfalls in attitude and practice level calling out for more efficient training programs, precise guidelines, and treatment protocols to put into practice. Also, the mental distress and the financial impact subjected upon dentist require to be further explored determining the association between their mental health during and post COVID-19 outbreak.
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INTRODUCTION

COVID-19, caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome corona virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) presents as fever, dry cough, myalgia, and fatigue but might also complicate to acute respiratory distress syndrome with bleeding and coagulation dysfunction. Cross-transmission occurs via airborne droplets, touch of an infected person (shaking hands) or surface contact from a contaminated surface. Following the lockdown enforced on March 24 amid concerns of COVID-19 outbreak in Nepal, most of the dental practices are shut, however, dental emergencies could present.

It has been argued that under conditions where patient is symptom-free with no reasonable suspicion of having acquired the infection, dental care can still be given. Nonetheless, super-spreaders concerning those people who appear symptoms-free but could transmit it to others; are shown to have association in the spread of SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome) outbreak around 20 years ago where 75% of infections occurred by a small number of ‘super-spreaders’. Therefore, dentist could either unknowingly get infected and further spread the disease or by following definitive guidelines prevent the possible cross-transmission, saving the public from the devastating consequences.

There is also an imminent anxiety regarding lack of access to personal protective equipment (PPE) lack of proper standard protocol on management and possibility of financial crisis in the future. The objective of this study is to explore KAP of Nepalese dentists regarding COVID-19 and its impact in their practice, to help shape future guidelines to be implemented in dental settings to guarantee awareness regarding this global emergency and deliver safe and quality patient care.

METHODS

The cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted solely online with series of multiple choice questionnaires collecting responses till the determined sample size was reached. The ethical approval for the study was obtained from Nepal Health Research Council. Electronic informed consent was provided on the initial page of the survey. The positive response was considered as implied consent. The NMC registered Dentists who responded to all the questions of the survey were included while incomplete responses were excluded from the survey. The population size taken in this survey was NMC registered dentists who are around 1500 in Nepal. We considered the minimum sample size was 100.

An online structured survey composed of 26 questions created using the free-access Google Forms application. Pretesting of the survey instrument was done and refinements were made as required to facilitate better comprehension and to organize the questions before the final survey instrument was formed. The questionnaire was pretested among ten dental surgeons in Bharatpur. Inter responder reliability was tested among five responders and was found to have high reliability. It was sent to dental practitioners with the link to the online survey sent through an anonymous mailing and social media messaging list to currently practicing dentists across the country regardless of their place of work either in private clinics, hospitals, or health centers. Confidentiality was maintained throughout the study by making participants’ information anonymous and not asking to enter their personal details at any point. Eligible dentists’ participation in this survey was completely voluntary. Filled proforma was collected via e-survey and after completion of the assigned sample size of participants; it was recorded in spreadsheet software as a master chart for statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed by the primary and secondary authors themselves using SPSS version 20 for mac OS. Descriptive statistics was performed, and frequencies of responses were reported in proportion. Nonparametric tests of independence and Chi-square test was performed to see the association between categorical variables.

RESULTS

A total of 106 dentists participated in this study with total of 62(58.5%) female and male 44(41.5%). The majority 75(70.8%) of the participants were of the age group 20-29 years followed by 30(28.3%) participants of 30-39 years age group. Around 53(50%) of the participants had less than 2 years of working experience subsequently 32(30.2%) had 3-5 years of practice followed by 15(14.2%) and 6(5.7%) participants having 5-10 years and >10 years of working experience respectively. The responder’s designated workplace and the provinces they work in are shown in figure 1. Almost 74(69.8) of the participants were General Practitioner (GP) while only 32(30.2) were Specialist (SP).

About 45% of the participants showed knowledge of 2019-nCoV as the virus causing COVID-19 which was later termed SARS-CoV-2. All the participants (100%) answered Wuhan as the city reporting the first case. Around 84% accurately answered mode of transmission being the respiratory droplets and 93% of the respondents correctly identified the COVID-19 exposure situation which required medical attention. Also, 82% had accurate awareness of the hand hygiene method preferred for visibly soiled hands. Nevertheless, it was alarming that only 51% correctly defined “close contact”.

Following the lockdown, which was enforced since March, 66(62%) had closed their practice but seeing emergency
cases only. Only 11% specified as their workplace open but having lower patient volume than usual, while 26% had completely shut down and not seeing any patients. It can also be acknowledged that while 11% of the dentists indicated on having their workplace open and additional 62% seeing emergency cases only 42% were receiving the salary (figure 2).

The knowledge level of the dentists towards COVID-19 is presented in Table 1. Chi Square analysis to determine the association between knowledge attitude practice and impact on dentistry with baseline characteristics was done. The study reported no significant relationship between the dentist’s responses with their year of practice and educational level in regarding knowledge about COVID-19 (Table 1). However, in case of preferred hand hygiene method for visibly soiled hands it was found statistically significant (p=0.04) (Table 1).

Findings related to practice of the dentists towards inquiring about the travel history of the patients and taking their body temperature is shown in figure 2. A statistically significant difference regarding the impact and the practice during the COVID-19 was seen between GP and SP working at different workplace as shown in table 2. Impact of COVID-19 on dentists in the pandemic period is provided in figure 3. It was found that 13.2% owned their own clinic and 77% were considering on letting go of their staffs because of the impact of COVID-19. Additionally, results of a poll done regarding what should be in top of mind of policy makers in the topics for dentist’s support to combat the effects of COVID-19 in dental industry is shown in figure 4.

**DISCUSSION**

As the COVID-19 pandemic hits the world hard, third world countries like Nepal grapples to contain its menace with meager health facilities. As of this time, Nepal had a cascading effect in its number of cases with confirmed cases of 21,390 of COVID-19 disease with 60 deaths as of 5th August 2020. Most of the dental treatments generate significant amounts of aerosols and droplets which can lead to rapid transmission of respiratory tract infections in the dental office. As, SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to survive in aerosols for hours and on some surfaces for days, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has placed Dental health professionals in the very high exposure risk category. Additionally, the distance between the dentist and the working field is approx. 35-40 cm, and certain dental treatments can require lengthy procedures, which puts the dentist at a higher risk of contracting COVID-19. Several reports have been emerging concerning the infection and deaths of health care professionals due to COVID-19. In a recent clinical study, out of 138 hospitalized patients with SARS-CoV-2
infected pneumonia in Wuhan, 29% were health care workers. The increasing COVID-19 infections among the health care workers could be explained by their knowledge and practice towards SARS-COV 2 infections, the condition and strength of the health care system, the accessibility and availability of PPE, and continual study and its explanation. Therefore, this study aims to assess and investigate the awareness, preparedness, and knowledge of the dental professionals to combat the COVID-19 disease outbreak.

Most of the participants were found to be GP (69%) with <2 years of work experience (50%) which is similar to a study done by kamate et al. where 65% were GP with 34.5% having 2-5 years of experience. In present study 45% of the participants were aware that the virus causing COVID-19 was initially termed as 2019-nCoV, later named as SARS-CoV-2. While the awareness level is low, it was greater than in the study conducted among healthcare workers in Mumbai where only 22.6% responded the same. The respiratory droplets are the main mode of transmission of the virus which was correctly reported by 84.1% of the participants. This finding too showed greater knowledge among Dentists than in the studies done in India and Pakistan where 62% and 79.2% of the health care workers correctly reported, respectively. This difference in awareness level must have been influenced by the fact that COVID-19 cases were in rise and the lockdown was starting to get flexible which concerned the dentists about their workplace safety. Similarly, 97% of the participants in present study considered themselves updated with knowledge and news on COVID-19 while Ahmed et al. reported only 67% of the healthcare workers doing the same, which might have been because of the difference in the education and professional level of the participants, which in their study included dental graduates as well as dental paramedics.

It is recommended that patients presenting with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correct Response</th>
<th>Male n(%)</th>
<th>Female n(%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>&lt;2 n(%)</th>
<th>2-5 n(%)</th>
<th>5-10 n(%)</th>
<th>&gt;10 n (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>GP n(%)</th>
<th>Specialist n (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virus causing COVID-19</td>
<td>21(43.8)</td>
<td>27(56.3)</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>23(47.9)</td>
<td>15(31.3)</td>
<td>8(16.7)</td>
<td>2(4.2)</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>31(64.6)</td>
<td>17(35.4)</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First reported case</td>
<td>44(41.5%)</td>
<td>62(58.5%)</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>53(50.0)</td>
<td>32(30.2)</td>
<td>15(14.2)</td>
<td>6(5.7)</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>74(70)</td>
<td>32(30)</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modes of Transmission</td>
<td>37(41.6%)</td>
<td>52(58.4%)</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>44(49.4)</td>
<td>25(28.1)</td>
<td>14(15.7)</td>
<td>6(6.7)</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>64(72)</td>
<td>25(28)</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is close contact</td>
<td>23(42.6%)</td>
<td>31(57.4%)</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>24(44.4)</td>
<td>19(35.2)</td>
<td>8(14.8)</td>
<td>3(5.6)</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>38(70)</td>
<td>16(30)</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical advice indication</td>
<td>39(39.4%)</td>
<td>60(60.6%)</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>49(49.5)</td>
<td>30(30.3)</td>
<td>15(15.2)</td>
<td>5(5.1)</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>68(69)</td>
<td>31(31)</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The correct responses among different gender, age group and profession.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>General Practitioner n (%)</th>
<th>Specialist n (%)</th>
<th>p-value (p&lt;0.05) n (%)</th>
<th>Dental College n (%)</th>
<th>Private Clinic n (%)</th>
<th>Private Hospital n (%)</th>
<th>Government Hospital n (%)</th>
<th>p-value (p&lt;0.05) n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have you lost job?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11(15%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(2)</td>
<td>8(20)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2(12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>63(85)</td>
<td>32(100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you receiving salary?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18(24)</td>
<td>26(81)</td>
<td>26(62)</td>
<td>2(5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16(94)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>56(75)</td>
<td>6(19)</td>
<td>16(38)</td>
<td>39(95)</td>
<td>6(100)</td>
<td>1(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you taking body temperature?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30(41)</td>
<td>22(69)</td>
<td>29(69)</td>
<td>13(32)</td>
<td>2(33)</td>
<td>8(47)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>44(59)</td>
<td>10(31)</td>
<td>13(31)</td>
<td>28(68)</td>
<td>4(67)</td>
<td>9(53)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you inquiring about travel history?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41(55)</td>
<td>31(97)</td>
<td>38(90)</td>
<td>15(37)</td>
<td>4(67)</td>
<td>15(88)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>33(44)</td>
<td>1(3)</td>
<td>4(10)</td>
<td>26(63)</td>
<td>2(33)</td>
<td>2(12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you keeping yourself updated with COVID-19 news?</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>74(100)</td>
<td>29(91)</td>
<td>39(93)</td>
<td>41(100)</td>
<td>6(100)</td>
<td>17(100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3(9)</td>
<td>03(7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Difference of impact and practice during COVID-19 between general practitioner and Specialist and according to workplace.

*a p < .05 considered statistically significant between the groups.
continuous high fever along with persistent cough, sore throat, diarrhea, myalgia etc. should be registered as COVID-19 patients until proven otherwise and referred to the designated healthcare centers.\(^2\) It is also desired that all hospitals and clinics establish pre check triages of all patients enquiring upon their travel history, and measuring their body temperature once they are in the healthcare premises. In this study, 49% of the participants took body temperature of the patients which is similar to the study done in Kerala where 43% did the same.\(^11\) In this study, 68% of dentists were taking travel history which has become paramount during this pandemic. This finding agrees with that of the studies done by Kamate et al. and Indu et al. where 96.2% and 81% collected travel history from the patients, respectively.\(^11,14\) This can additionally play important part in a timely diagnosis, which may perhaps prevent further propagation of infection. In a lower middle-income country like Nepal, the expense of fumigation/sterilization of the dental office, purchasing of extra PPE, masks, face shields, gloves etc. can severely affect the clinicians financially.

Physical/social distancing means an individual must keep distance or space between themselves and other people outside the house which is one of the most stressed precautionary measures to be employed by individuals to avoid contracting the disease. US CDC defined “close contact” as: “being within approximately 6 feet (2 meters) of a COVID-19 case for a prolonged period of time or having direct contact with infectious secretions of a COVID-19 case”.\(^12\) Only 52% of the participants were able to define “close contact” correctly analogous to the study done in Mumbai where 48.9% of the total respondents answered accurately.\(^12\) This stresses on need of providing training and online courses among dentist such that each dentist is well adept to the information and updates regarding the COVID-19. We can also make use of various online webinars provided by the CDC and World Health Organizations (WHO) to access updated knowledge regarding the management and protocols in dental setting.

A majority of 95% of the participants were able to accurately answer question related to COVID-19 exposure that required medical attention which is in concurrence with the study conducted by Modi et al. where 87% of the responders answered the same.\(^12\) Health care professionals are always required to take standard precautionary measures in their routine practice considering each patient as infected or able to transmit infection. But the usual regular protective measures taken might not be effective due to the highly contagious nature and in some cases asymptomatic occurrence of SARS-COV-2 demanding extreme strict cross infection protocols. The corona viruses endure on inanimate surfaces like plastic, metal, glass for 9 days.\(^14\) In circumstances where hands are not visibly soiled and in non availability of soap and water, an alcohol-based hand sanitizer containing at least 60% of the alcohol can be used.\(^12\) However, the question in our study enquired on hand hygiene technique recommended for visibly soiled hands which is the use of soap and water for washing hands for at least 20 seconds with the whole process lasting or about 40-60 seconds. The total of 82% responded with correct method greater than among healthcare workers in Mumbai where only 52% of the participants responded the same.\(^12\)

The study reported no significant relationship between the dentist’s responses with their year of practice and educational level regarding knowledge about Covid-19 \((p < 0.05)\) which was similar to the study by Modi et al.\(^12\) In a study conducted in Kerala, there was no significant association of KAP and age group and gender which is in concurrence with present study except in preferred method of hand hygiene for visibly soiled hands which was found statistically significant with gender \((p < 0.05)\).\(^14\) Also, a statistically significant association regarding impact and practice during the COVID-19 was seen between GP and SP working at different workplace. Similar association between knowledge, practice and working status of participants were found statistically significant in study done in Kerala.\(^14\)

In the study done by Kamate et al. KAP revealed that good knowledge scores were significantly associated with qualifications and the years of practice as opposed to this study where no statistical significance was found.\(^11\) The finding is in agreement with study done by Harapan et al. who reported that GP had a higher or better scores as compared to SP.\(^18\) This difference could be due to the global disparities in the structure of dental curriculum and involvement of undergraduates in any kind of research projects. On contrary various other studies and authors have documented findings of SP reporting better and significant knowledge scores as compared to the GP during the ZIKV and Ebola hemorrhagic fever pandemics.\(^16,21\) This possibly can be reasoned as postgraduate studies involves performing thesis and research works which demands them to be updated continually based on recent guidelines and evidence based practice.

Mental health issues among health care workers in COVID-19 situation has been documented.\(^22,23\) Numerous causes have been speculated for this altered mental health status including fear of contagion, social isolation, public perception of COVID-19 stigma, heightened necessity for infection control measures at dental operatory or at domestic settings, apprehensions for oneself/family’s wellbeing, decreased accessibility to personal protection gears, along with core issues of financial insecurity and potential loss of income.\(^24\) In our study, 35% reported of having their psychological wellbeing worsen during this pandemic. This is on par with a study done among Chinese population who reported 35% distress during COVID -19.\(^25\) However, psychological distress was found higher among Indian endodontists and Iranian adults, reporting 52% and 61% respectively.\(^26,27\) Now, the difference could be multifold, primarily because the study done in India
consisted of homogenous cohort of endodontists whereas our study consisted all dentist and dental specialist. Also, the Chinese and Iranian cohorts consisted of public and also had a significant number of populations infected with COVID-19, unlike Nepal.25,27 Similarly, study done among DHP in Kerala, 12.9% of people were highly stressed. 29.5%, 27.3% of dentist and dental specialist were moderately and slightly stresses, respectively.14

Economic impact of COVID-19 pandemic is at a personal, community, national and global level, the burden of which can not be undervalued, as it presents potential influences on other spheres of life.28 Participants reporting financial burden was found to be 85% with 37% reported being severely affected. In present study, 10% of the participants reported of losing job and 58% were not receiving salary. A study of Zhang et al. demonstrated that people who stopped working due to COVID-19 outbreak reported worse mental health and psychological wellbeing.29

The incidence of psychological disorder during this crisis can be reduced if the government provides financial security programs.30 In western countries such as France, Spain, UK having high level of COVID-19 infection, emergencies packages have been implemented that include loans, payouts to employees and companies to alleviate the economic impact.31 In present study 21% of the responders placed financial security and relief if possible, on top criteria for the dental support from policy makers. However, 60% responded for guidelines and support for reopening the dental practice from the policy makers. Other remaining focused on virtual consultation and tele dentistry.

The study is susceptible to limitations like selective invitation and selective response by close associates of the investigators which can skew the demographics and confound the responses. Similarly, to avoid the social desirability bias we avoided collecting any personal information and assured the participants regarding the confidentiality of their data. Also, due to the cross-sectional nature of the study and the employed sampling technique, the self-selection bias on the side of the respondents could have occurred. However, during the implementation of the pilot study itself, it was ensured that the questions were kept as neutral and simple as possible to avoid such bias.

CONCLUSION

The study shows that while majority of dental health professionals have good knowledge and awareness regarding the global pandemic, still there were certain pitfalls in attitude and practice level among the dental practitioners. For example, only 52% could accurately define close contact and only 49% were taking the body temperature. This calls out for need of more efficient training programs and recommendation of standard dental treatment protocols and precise guidelines to put into practice. Similarly, the article also provides a sneak preview of vulnerability of dental care providers psychological wellbeing, which can be useful for the formulation of a conceptual model that can be tested empirically in future research such as determining the association between the dental practitioners’ mental health during and post COVID-19 outbreak. This study thus provides an insight in shaping future guidelines, stratagem, and policies to be implemented in dental settings such that appropriate information and training sessions could be given to dental practitioners to guarantee awareness and enthusiasm regarding this global emergency to deliver safe and quality patient care.
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