Patient Satisfaction in Elective Major Surgery for Benign Disease in a Tertiary Care Hospital.
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INTRODUCTION

Since early civilization society has upheld physicians to a high standard to promote interests of patients and society. We need to make sure patients understand and willingly accept our services. The value of detail, valid, informed and understood consent before surgery is important for patient satisfaction and legal issues.¹²³

Patients are often confused and vulnerable when sick. This is further complicated by lack of consistency in institutional, ethical and legal policies.⁴ Patients with serious illness like cancer may leave the worry of technicality and difficult choices to surgeon.⁴ In milder illness like hernia repair patients are relatively at ease and may demand exhausting details. In clinical practice we encounter wide spectrum of patient reaction from distrust to full faith in surgeon.⁴

Despite complexities, surgeons and patients manage doubt and negotiate together to achieve success. Failing in this duty, may result in un-satisfaction, frustration and litigation. ‘Counseling and informed consent’ has evolved as major part of our duty in today’s medicine. In this study we aim to document ‘specific details’ of the process which is often poor and topic of debate. Spending ‘adequate’ time to explain before procedure is important especially when things do not go as planned. In this study we aimed...
to document issues related to patient satisfaction in order to plan for further intervention.

METHODS

This was a cross sectional prospective observational study from Feb to April 2011 with aim of enrolling 150 cases. Adult patients scheduled for elective benign major surgery were included. Patients with malignant disease or during emergency surgery were excluded because they have different psychology and demands. Ethical approval was obtained from institutional review committee. Pre determinedproforma (modified as per earlier pilot study in 20 consecutive cases) was used to collect data. Questions were divided in two parts. Variables like gender, age, procedure and patients’ understanding of diagnosis, treatment were documented during counseling in outpatient department. Patients were encouraged to sign ‘written informed understood consent form’ (WIUCF) by themselves. Some questions were asked after surgery in the ward before discharge in order to decrease patient’s anxiety and avoid biasness, like how patient felt of the surgeon’s competency or hospital facilities. (Table 1).

After discussion, patients understanding and satisfaction was scored as per set criteria- if patient could recall at least 50% of information on complications (general 3, specific 1), then it was considered satisfactory (score 2). General complications included those which are common to all surgeries- anesthesia complication, drug reaction, wound infection, bleeding, re-surgery, persisting symptom etc. Specific complications included exclusive to particular surgery- for example cholecystectomy for gallstones- bile duct injury, bile leak, retained stone in common bile duct, recurrent bile duct stone etc. For % of complications, patients were not required to recall value (e.g. 0.2-0.6% chance of bile duct injury during cholecystectomy). If patient could recall that they were told about % then it was considered satisfactory (score 2). Alternative treatment offered means patients were told about possible non-surgical management including their pros and cons, e.g. medical management for benign enlargement of prostate.

All patients were seen and counseled by consultant in outpatient department before putting in operation list. Detail counseling and documentation was done by resident or registrar familiar with the results of pilot study. Postoperative information on first day after surgery was obtained by different resident who was not involved in pre operative documentation to reduce biasness.

Three point score with ‘yes 2, not sure 1, no 0’ were given for each variable. Microsoft Excel was used for data analysis.

RESULTS

There were 145 patients during study period. Average age was 44 years (range 14 to 73). Male were 42.7% (62/145). Overall 140 (96.5%) patients were satisfied. All patients were satisfied for information provided on nature of illness (name/diagnosis), alternative treatment and complications. Patients gave consent themselves for surgery in 96.5% (140/145) cases. Concerns for return to job and financial issues were found in 17.3% (25/145). All patients expressed satisfaction with regard to the surgeons’ competency, hospital facilities and discharge advice. Eleven patients (7.6%) were not satisfied for nursing care and post-operative pain management.

Table 1. Patients (n=145) response on their understanding and satisfaction for elective major surgery for benign disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Have you been explained about followings? (score: yes=2, not sure=1, no=0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of disease/diagnosis, alternative treatment, necessity of surgery, type of surgery (major/intermediate/minor), complications (name, %, possible death)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Do you have these issues to make you concerned about surgery?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial, family, leave from job, return to same job.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. You will need to sign the WIUCF to authorize us to perform surgery.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent given by patient (score 2) / family (score 1) / other (score 0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Do you have concern about? (score: yes=2, not sure=1, no=0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competency of surgeon, hospital facilities, nursing care, pain management, discharge advice (on diet, medication, follow-up)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How will you rate your overall satisfaction? (score: yes=2, not sure=1, no=0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*WIUCF= written informed understood consent form

DISCUSSION

We had 17.3% (25/145) patients who had financial concern and were unsatisfied. This number is not as high as one would expect in our low income society where patients pay from their pocket. Possible explanation could be Patan Hospital is a ‘not for profit’ public institute with affordable expenses. The issue of finance and medical
insurance is a complex issue. This is not solely in the
domain of surgeons and individual institute. Society and
state has responsibility to make health service affordably
available to every citizen.

Research and appropriate measure is needed to look
in to the issues of concern to patients who undergo
major changes in life due to surgery. Such measures are
necessary to satisfy patient, family and society as a whole.
Satisfied patients are less likely to go push for litigation
and lawsuits. In this study, we chose 3-point scale (instead
of more reliable ‘5 or 10 point’) for ease and consistency
in scoring different variables. Patient satisfaction is an
important indicator of the quality in health care. It affects
clinical outcomes and medico-legal issues.7

We had 7.6% of patients who were not satisfied with pain
management. This could be higher given the facts that
patients in our society are expected to accept certain
degree of pain. However, this is our duty to make our
patients as pain free as possible.

At our institute supplies for treatment/surgery are
provided by hospital and charged for at the time of
discharge. Family members are not required to be on
standby and run for the supplies as and when needed.
This is a great relief to family and attendants not to be ‘on
toes’ during or after surgery.Institution where surgery is
performed together with competency, commitment is key
factors in patient satisfaction.8 All patients in this study
were satisfied with surgeons competency and hospital
facilities. To minimize bias, we asked about competency
and facilities on first day after surgery. We chose not wait
to measure satisfaction during follow up in outpatient
department because many patients do not turn up for
regular follow up.

At our institute, we encourage competent adults to
provide consent themselves. We had 96.5% (140/145) of
patients signed WIUCF themselves. WIUCF is a voluntary
authorization given to surgeon by the patient to carry
out surgery. This guides both patients and surgeons to
exchange and document information on procedures,
risks-benefits of having or not having the procedure and
any alternative treatments with possible outcome.9,10
However, there is lack of agreement on how much
information to include in WIUCF for different procedures
in various subspecialties.11 Counseling and obtaining
consent from patient ‘themselves’ is considered better for
overall satisfaction when they are allowed to participate
in the process. Research has shown that patients are more
satisfied when involved in discussion process. Patients'
involvement in decision making has increased over time,
but not in every respect.13

In this study our aim was to involve patient in the process
of decision making to improve their satisfaction. Overall
96.5% patients were satisfied. Varying consenting practice
and failure to warn patients of significant complications
can lead to unsatisfied patients. Structured consent
form with details of significant risk and complication can
improve consent process.13

In this study we initiated the process to improve surgical
services by providing more information and getting
patients involved in the process of decision making.
Studies have shown that surgeon initiated efforts does
improve safety and services in all specialties in all levels
of hospitals.14

Possible limitation of this study could be lack of
circumstances with ‘complication or death’ in the studied
sample. In such conditions, patient and family may
have different perception of overall satisfaction. Studies
have shown that patients are less satisfied who develop
complication.15 Future study can address the issues of
satisfaction among patients with complications and/or
death after surgery.

The new knowledge added by this study: Daily clinical
surgical services at institution level need improvement
through periodical audit. Appropriate intervention is
needed to increase patient satisfaction. For this, details
of disease, diagnosis, treatment including alternatives
and with outcome, nursing care especially post operative
management and including financial issues of patients are
key factors for the overall satisfaction.

Possible implications: The result of this study is useful in
clinical practice for system improvement at institution level
and evaluation of existing surgical services by addressing
the patient satisfaction. Addressing post operative pain
management and financial issues are important factors
for overall patient satisfaction. Institutional social and
national level program of medical insurance system is
an option to address financial burden of the majority of
patients. Periodical audit of the institutional services are
necessary to evaluate and devise appropriate intervention.

CONCLUSIONS

Patient satisfaction is the key component of good surgical
services. Overall we had 96.5% satisfied patients. This
study points out need for improvement on post-operative
pain management and financial constrains in elective
major surgery for benign diseases in our setup.
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