Early Experiences with Indigenous Medicated Spacers in the Management of Infected Hip Prosthesis.
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Joint replacement surgery, has become one of the most frequent prosthetic surgeries over the past decades due to its success in restoring function to disabled arthritic individual. A two-stage revision arthroplasty is a well-accepted method for the treatment of a deep infection of a hip with a joint implant. In the present study, the results of three infected hips with the interim use of an indigenous cemented prosthesis along with gentamycin impregnated cement beads were assessed with a two stage revision.

Methods: Three consecutive patients who were managed with a two-stage revision hip arthroplasty for the treatment of a previous infection, with retained implants, were followed clinically and radiographically for an average of 15 months. Following removal of implants and debridement (first stage), an indigenous medicated prosthesis along with antibiotic coated cement beads were implanted, for an interim phase of six - eight weeks after which a new prosthesis was placed (second stage). In this period antibiotics (both intravenous and oral) were administered to the patients.

Results: In a mean follow up period of 15 months, there is no evidence of recurrent infection in all three patients, as of now. The use of antibiotic coated cement prosthesis along with gentamycin mixed cement beads was associated with a satisfactory hip score, and better walking capacity in the interim period, a lower transfusion requirement at the time of reimplantation, and no postoperative dislocation.

Conclusions: Locally prepared medicated spacer and gentamycin coated beads help in eradicating hip infection, besides being more cost effective.
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INTRODUCTION

The current incidence of infection after primary total hip replacement is under one % in most large centers¹ (Figure.1a) We report a short retrospective study of three previously infected hips treated with revision arthroplasty in two stages from July 2010 to December 2010, in our hospital and a private hospital outside.

Two-stage reimplantation remains the gold standard for the treatment of chronically infected hip today as the successful eradication of infection is well over 90%²,₃. The use of temporary spacer prosthesis preserves soft-tissue tension and planes, making the reimplantation procedure much easier to perform². In two-stage procedures, use of beads and either articulating or non-articulating antibiotic-impregnated cement spacers also has lowered reinfecction rates³.
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We used indigenously prepared medicated hip prostheses. In the first stage we debrided the wound, removed all implants, and placed antibiotic mixed cement coated prosthesis along with antibiotic augmented cement beads (Figure. 1b,c). After 6–8 weeks, during which antibiotic therapy is given, the second stage is carried out, which consists of removal of beads and spacer, and implantation of a new prosthesis. We aim to assess the operated hips post operatively for function, and if there is any complication.

METHODS

Amongst the three infected hip patients, one of the patients was previously operated outside the country for a fracture dislocation of the acetabulum and underwent surgery abroad with a plate and screws. (Figure: 2a) The remaining two were treated at our hospital of which one was a total hip arthroplasty and the other was a unipolar hemiarthroplasty.

Firstly, patient demographics (age and sex and involved side), date of any previous primary arthroplasty or any surgery regarding the hip, laboratory values of ESR, C-Reactive Protein (CRP), Complete Blood Count (CBC), electrolytes, blood sugar and radiographic information (x-rays, CT/MRI) were collected.

An ESR of greater than 40 mm/hr and a CRP of greater than 10 mg/l were considered to indicate infection. All three were non-diabetic, afebrile, adult males. Pain was a common complaint and blood investigations were all consistent with infection, though external signs were not visible (such as an abscess or sinus/discharge or even a swelling). Even x-rays showed some loosening of the implants and changes in the joint area of the one with the acetabular fracture dislocation, all indicative of a chronic low grade infection. Pre-operative ESR and CRP were elevated in all three. All measures (such as antibiotics, rest, traction, and walking aids) were taken to treat the joints conservatively, but the joints did not respond satisfactorily. It was now that we decided to go for a two stage replacement arthroplasty. With this plan we thought of replacement spacers so as to treat the hips in the interim phase. Commercially available spacers (medicated once) are too expensive so we thought of the next best option. That is to prepare the medicated hips indigenously in the operation theatre only. We planned the cases pre operatively along with an anesthesiologist, cardiologist and a physician and took the patient’s consent.

The making of an indigenous medicated spacer: a smaller sized (about two or three sizes smaller than the actual prosthesis) Austin Moore/Thompson prosthesis was taken and covered with 20 gms of gentamycin mixed cement. The same cement was used to prepare the beads with a nylon suture acting as the thread.

In the first stage operation we performed a thorough debridement, and removal of all foreign bodies (prostheses/implants). Samples were taken for gram stain, culture and HP analysis. Patients were kept on IV antibiotics for a week followed by another five weeks of oral antibiotics. Now the medicated hip spacer along with antibiotic augmented cement beads was placed. All operations were performed through a lateral approach (modified Hardinge).

In the second stage, medicated spacer along with gentamycin beads were removed after 6 to 8 weeks and revision hip arthroplasty done in all three cases. Three patients had final reimplantation, two were uncemented total hip replacements (J and J-American) and one was a cemented bipolar hemi replacement arthroplasty (Green-Indian). Of the uncemented hips, one was a revision femoral stem (Solution) with a 36mm head in acetabular (Pinnacle) component while the other was a Corail femoral stem with a Duraloc acetabular component accommodating a 28 mm head.

Post- operatively, inj. Ceftriaxone (1gm BID) and inj. Cloxacillin (500 mg QID) were begun post operatively (one each of these were given at the time of operation too). The intravenous antibiotics were given for a week all three cases, then shifted to oral drugs for a period of five weeks. Intravenous Enoxaparin (40 mg) subcutaneous was initiated after 12 hrs and given every 24 hrs for a period of five days (or once the patient became ambulatory). Getting up from side of the bed was begun from the second post operative day with gradual progression from partial weight bearing (with the aid of a walker/axillary crutches) to full weight bearing was as tolerated. Physiotherapy was begun from the first week with quadriceps drill and abductor drill from the third week.

RESULTS

CBC (total counts of red and white blood cells along with differential count of white blood cells; hemoglobin percentage) showed a normal picture in all throughout. Preoperatively ESR and CRP were elevated in all three (ESR >45mm and CRP >25mg/L). Post-operatively they normalized six to eight weeks after re-implantation. Aspiration before surgery is a controversial issue, so we did not perform an aspiration before hand and made a decision based on clinical/radiological findings and CRP/ESR values.

Intra operative Gram stain of all three showed growth of Staphylococcus aureus, and cultures were also positive in all three. Antibiotics were selected as per the culture and sensitivity in the three patients whose cultures came positive. Histopathological analysis showed chronic inflammatory cells, consistent with infection.
At an average follow-up of 15 months (range 12 months to 20 months) no recurrence of infection or any complication occurred. As of writing this article the patients were without any pain and their range of motion was within functional limits. There were no complications (such as infection/dislocation) that needed an intervention. One patient with acetabular fracture dislocation had slight shortening of 1.5 cm, because of higher acetabulum, that was from the time of primary surgery. They are asymptomatic till now. The younger patient in whom an uncemented THR was done, walks without any aid, while the other two walk with aid of a cane. All 3 of them are community ambulators and in fact one of them (young one with uncemented revision), is an active soldier in the army. We followed the patients for one year regularly. Thereafter only one patient (the army man) has come for regular follow ups. Mean Harris hip score was 30 (range 20 – 40) pre operatively, which improved to 75 (range 70-85) till the last follow up. Of these one, the young soldier’s function was good, while the other two were fair. We were certain that at the end of a longer follow-up they would have been even better. Like the young army man had a score of 85 when he last reported at the end of 18 months. Radiographs in all three showed no loosening at the end of the year and a well-fitting intact prosthesis (Table. 1).

**Figure 1.** X-Rays of cases
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**Table 1.** Details of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>infection</th>
<th>spacer/beads</th>
<th>antibiotics</th>
<th>reimplantation</th>
<th>Antibiotics after re-implantation</th>
<th>complication</th>
<th>arthroplasty</th>
<th>Harris hip scores</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>19 m</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1 wk iv 5 wks oral</td>
<td>6 wks</td>
<td>3 days iv 7 days oral</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Uncemented THR</td>
<td>85 (good)</td>
<td>20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>9 m</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1 wk iv 5 wks oral</td>
<td>8 wks</td>
<td>3 days iv 7 days oral</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Uncemented THR</td>
<td>70 (fair)</td>
<td>12 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>15 m</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1 wk iv 5 wks oral</td>
<td>8 wks</td>
<td>3 days iv 7 days oral</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cemented bipolar</td>
<td>72 (fair)</td>
<td>14 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

In our study, two were right sided hip infections while one was a left sided. All were males with an average age of 48 years (mean 25 - 65). The two-stage reconstruction is an effective, safe technique even when the infection is caused by a virulent organism. In this study, mean time elapsed between the primary operation and the infection onset symptoms was fourteen months (range nine - nineteen months).

Macdonald et al⁵ did a two stage revision of an infected hip in 81 patients but with an interim period of resection arthroplasty. However, resection arthroplasty, even if used as a temporary method between stages, is associated with a considerable loss of function, and should be considered in patients unfit to have an additional reconstructive procedure and non cooperative patients. When talking about joint replacement, complications are a worry. The most frequent being aseptic loosening. But of greater concern is infection, the second most frequent
complication. The decline in the level of C-reactive protein seems to be the best test for monitoring the effectiveness of antibiotic treatment. Whereas a two-stage approach provides a high success rate (about 95%) for eradication of the infection, it frequently results in major morbidity due to prolonged immobilization of the patient, who is typically elderly. Prosthetic joint infections are of four types. In our series, all three cases were diagnosed with a late chronic infection (type two), for which a two-stage revision arthroplasty is indicated. In recent years, two-stage exchange arthroplasty has emerged as the gold standard for successful elimination of infection. With success rates averaging 82% to 96%, this treatment method has both the highest and most consistent rate of infection eradication. Successful eradication of infection after single-stage exchange arthroplasty has been reported to average from 60% to 83% after total hip infections.

Once the first stage was accomplished the average duration of the interim period, before the second stage, was 7.3wks (range 6-8wks). Total duration of antibiotics in the interim phase was six weeks (one week intravenous and for 5 weeks oral). There is no clear consensus in the orthopedic forum as to the duration of antibiotic used intravenously during the interim phase, ranging from two weeks to six weeks. Total duration of antibiotics post re-implantation was ten days (three days IV and 7 days oral). It is suggested that patients be off antibiotics for a minimum of 2 weeks before obtaining intra-articular culture. It is recommended that multiple cultures be obtained at the time of reoperation in patients being assessed for periprosthetic joint infection.

In both one and two-stage revision procedures for infection, antibiotic-impregnated cement clearly reduces the re-infection rate. Two-stage revision is more versatile for the strategy of infection eradication and for the choice of the type of reconstruction compared to one-stage revision. First, two-stage revision allows the assessment of response to the antibiotics selected. If the organism detected pre-operatively is different from the organism detected in the first stage, it is possible to change the antibiotic treatment. Second, the method allows reconstruction using either cementless or cemented component. Two-stage septic revision surgery is the most common method for treating infected endoprostheses. The interval period between removing the infected prosthesis and reimplanting a new prosthesis is 6 days to more than 6yrs (in cemented revision) and from 6wks to 37wks (in cementless revision) duration. After primary joint operations, the rate of infection was 1.3 per cent of 23,519 hips and 2.0 per cent of 16,035 knees. After revision operations, the rate was 3.2 per cent of 7161 hips and 5.6 per cent of 2714 knees.

There is mention of hip scores in literature (Harris/Oxford/dysplasia, to name a few), but we selected Harris hip score for our cases because of wide following and also for convenience.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study supports the safety and efficacy of the routine use of antibiotic-loaded cement prosthesis along with gentamycin impregnated cement beads in the interim between the stages of a two-stage revision procedure for the treatment of an infection at the site of a hip arthroplasty.
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