Spectrum of Quality of Life after Valve Surgery in Patients with Rheumatic Heart Disease.
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Abstract

Background and Aims: Improvement in quality of life is one of the principal goals of valve surgery. The purpose of this study was to assess the quality of life in patients living with mechanical heart valves.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional descriptive study among 131 (42 Males, and 89 Female) patients, who had undergone valve procedures at different times in clinic visit of department of cardiac surgery, unit I of Shahid Gangalal National Heart Centre from August 1 till August 29, 2019. Quality of life index was measured using Ferrans and Power quality of life index, cardiac version IV via written questionnaire or verbal interview.

Results: Mean age was 40.57±1.4 (16-75) years. Mean duration of follow up was 4.68±4.03 years (3 months to 18 years). Subjects reported the lowest quality of life scores in the health and functioning domain prior to surgery (15.61±1.30), which improved 47.15% after surgery (22.95±0.45), psychological/spiritual domain improved 16.68%, social and economic domain 26.24%, family domain 11.49%, with overall improvement 28.62%. Women had significantly lower mean overall quality of life index (22.99±3.58) (95% confidence interval 22.24-23.75) compared to male counterparts (24.21±4.11) (95% confidence interval 22.92-25.49) (p<0.05). Family domain had the highest score at the latest follow up (28.84±1.63). There was a statistically significant correlation between the duration of operation and overall quality of life index (Pearson's rho 0.217, p<0.01). There was no significant difference with the patients' age.

Conclusion: This study confers significant improvement of quality of life after valve surgery with time. Age does not appear to limit the quality of life. Family support seems to be the biggest strength in our population.
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Introduction

Heart valve replacement surgeries have advanced with an experience of more than three decades, becoming one of the safest and routinely scheduled heart procedures. Regardless of improvements in materials and design, valves used for replacements are far from ideal, and life-long anticoagulation remains mandatory for mechanical valves.¹ Parallel to decrease in the operative mortality, the potential quality of life for survivors has been becoming increasingly important in evaluating the late results and in selecting the appropriate device for the given patient.¹

The present study was undertaken to examine our experience with the implant of mechanical prostheses in patients with rheumatic heart disease, evaluating the long-term outcomes, with particular focus on the quality-of-life index.

Improvement in quality of life is one of the principal goals of valve surgery. Parallel to the decrease in operative mortality after heart valve replacement, the potential quality of life index (QLI) for survivors has been becoming increasingly important in evaluating the late results...
and in selecting the appropriate device for the given patient. All factors that determine the QLI are strongly affected after the valve replacement surgery in the long run, which is reflected by return to normal daily activities, maintain self-esteem and keep normal relationships at work, in the community and at home.

Materials and Methods

After obtaining written approval from the Institutional Review Board, SGNHC/IRB No. 16-2020, all patients who had undergone valve procedures at different times, and those who visited in clinic visit of department of cardiac surgery, unit I of Shahid Gangalal National Heart Centre from August 1 till August 29, 2019 were asked if they were willing to provide the information for the study. A cross-sectional self-assessment of QLI, demographic characteristics and cardiovascular health history were recorded. QLI was measured using Ferrans and Power QLI, cardiac version IV via written questionnaire on follow up in outpatient department. The patients who visited for follow-up and in the PT-INR clinic were handed written questionnaires. The questionnaires had been translated to Nepalese language. The translated questionnaires were validated by forward and backward translation to maintain equivalence of the test questionnaire in the target language. Each patient was then interviewed by trained personnel. Scores before and after the procedure were asked and noted. Each patient was given around 10 minutes of time.

A total of 131 (42 Males, and 89 Female) patients, who had undergone valve procedures participated in our study. Demographic characteristics, cardiovascular health history was recorded, and QLI was measured using Ferrans and Power quality of life index, cardiac version IV via written questionnaire or verbal interview to those who gave consent for the study. Written consent form in local language was entered and analyzed systematically using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16. (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). All data were presented as frequency distribution and simple percentages. Descriptive statistics were presented in the form of mean±SD for all continuous variables. A p value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The mean age of the study patients was 40.57±1.4 (16-75) years. The study consisted of 82 (62.6%) patients with Mitral Valve replacement, 18 (13.7%) with Aortic Valve replacement, 31 (23.6%) with Double Valve replacement, 37 (28.2%) underwent concomitant Tricuspid repair (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (Range)</td>
<td>40.57±1.4 (16-75) Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, Female</td>
<td>89 (67.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>42 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral Valve Replacement</td>
<td>82 (62.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic Valve Replacement</td>
<td>18 (13.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Valve Replacement</td>
<td>31 (23.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant Tricuspid Repair</td>
<td>37 (28.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean of overall QLI was assessed which was 23.39±3.79 on a scale from 0-30, for which higher scores indicated higher quality of life. QLI improved with the duration of time. There was statistically significant correlation between the duration of operation and overall QLI (Pearson’s rho² 0.217, p<0.01). Age did not appear to limit the QLI, as there was no statistically significant difference in QLI between different patients’ age.

Mean duration of follow up was 4.68±4.03 years (3 months to 18 years). The overall change in percentage before and after the procedure were 28.62% in overall QLI, 47.15% in the health and functioning domain, psychological/spiritual domain improved 16.68%, social and economic domain 26.24%, and family domain improved 11.49%. Subjects reported the lowest quality of life scores in the health and functioning domain prior to surgery (15.61±1.30), which improved 47.15% after surgery (22.95±0.45), which is the most significant improvement that we can see among all other domains. Family support seems to be the biggest strength in our population (Figure 1).
There was no significant difference with the patients' age. There was a statistically significant correlation between the duration of operation following AVR, regardless of the type of aortic implant used; improvement was greatest in those aged <70 years. Parviz Namazi et.al. also concluded that surgery not only is recommended to relief symptoms, but also can be considered as an effective strategy to improve QLI in patients with valve disease.

Discussion

The core finding of the study verified that QLI in patients improved after valve replacement for rheumatic heart disease. The full benefits of surgery can only be achieved when the patient’s QLI and psychological status are maintained. This research is exclusive as this is the first of its kind in our population, with rheumatic heart disease.

A research by Walter PJ, Mohan R, et. al, titled ‘Quality of life after heart valve replacement’ stated that the patient, can return to normal activities, maintain self-esteem and keep normal relationships at work, in the community and at home. Psychoneurological dysfunction was also found to decrease greatly within six months, although more than a quarter of patients were depressed preoperatively because of their disease. Overall, the experience was generally satisfying. Another study, a prospective study of changes in patients’ quality of life after aortic valve replacement, by Goldsmith IR et.al. also had a comparable finding. All patients showed significant improvement in all eight QLI parameters. There was significant improvement in patients’ QLI at three months after AVR, regardless of the type of aortic implant used; improvement was greatest in those aged <70 years. Parviz Namazi et.al. also concluded that surgery not only is recommended to relief symptoms, but also can be considered as an effective strategy to improve QLI in patients with valve disease.

The debate about the choice of the ideal valvular prosthesis for patients is still ongoing, as the replacement devices are still far from ideal. Many authors support the implant of bio prostheses to avoid the risks related to lifelong oral anticoagulation. Elderly people receiving stentless bioprosthesis benefit emotionally because of avoidance of anticoagulation. Others prefer the use of mechanical prostheses to reduce the risk of reoperation for bioprosthetic structural deterioration. Another comparison study depicted that the use of tissue valve implants versus mechanical valve implant has little influence on improvement in quality of life at 18 months following aortic valve replacement. Despite improvement in the last few years, life-long warfarin is mandatory for mechanical valves. It should be stressed that in our study, most patients referring for cardiac procedures are often young (mean age of 40.57±1.4 (16-75) years at the time of their latest follow-up), physically active and had mechanical valves implanted.

Improvement in quality of life is considered to be one of the principal goals of valve surgery. In our study, there was statistically significant improvement in all the domains of QLI. The overall change in percentage before and after the procedure were 28.62%, 47.15% in the health and functioning domain, psychological/spiritual domain improved 16.68%, social and economic domain 26.24%, and family domain improved 11.49%. Family domain had the highest score at the latest follow up (28.84±1.63). In context of a developing country like Nepal, family support seems to be our biggest strength. The improvement was common in patients with all ages, and there was no significant difference with the improvement of QLI among different age groups. A study led by Artyom Sedrakyan, titled, ‘Age Does Not Limit Quality of Life Improvement in Cardiac Valve Surgery’ had notable conclusion that had similar findings to our study, which stated that among patients referred for cardiac valve surgery, age does not appear to limit the QLI benefits of surgery. Another study led by Lee BY, titled ‘Quality of life after aortic valve replacement’ had a similar finding, which states that AVR appears to significantly improve the QLI of survivors, including those older than 70 years of age and even decades after the procedure, QLI remains high. The elderly may gain as much QLI benefit as younger patients. No consistent differences in resulting total QLI have been observed between mechanical and bioprosthetic valves. Yet another study following aortic valve replacement, about the octogenarians concluded that despite a more compromised pre-operative status showed an improvement in symptomatology, physical ability and general wellbeing, of a similar magnitude to that of the younger patients’ group. These findings lend further support to the recommendation that valve replacement should be performed in octogenarians with symptomatic aortic stenosis.

In this study, we found that improvements in overall QLI had significant correlation between the duration of operation following valve replacement. Our results are generally consistent with and extend the findings of previous studies that have examined QLI scores in patients receiving valve implants, and made comparisons of preoperative and postoperative. Sedrakyan and Hebert also demonstrated that there were improvements in overall QLI from the baseline at 18 months following aortic valve surgery were similar in patients receiving tissue and mechanical valve implants.

Phillips and Lansky were the first to demonstrate that in both tissue and mechanical valve recipients, preoperative QLI scores were generally lower than in population norms, whereas by 6 months postoperatively, the scores had improved and approximated those of the general population. However, that study was small and had a low follow-up rate, which resulted in power limitations that prevented analyses by type of valve implant.

Figure 1. Mean Quality of Life Index.

Women had significantly lower mean overall quality of life index (22.99±3.58) (95% confidence interval 22.24-23.75) compared to male counterparts (24.21±4.11) (95% confidence interval 22.92-25.49) (p<0.05) (Figure 2).

Figure 2. QLI comparison between male and female.

There was a statistically significant correlation between the duration of time after surgery and overall QLI (Pearson’s r 0.217, p<0.01). There was no significant difference with the patients’ age.
There are issues that should be considered in the interpretation of the results. Baseline QLI data were asked to the patients only after surgery. Patients were asked to report their QLI in the month before surgery and we do not expect there to be differential recall bias. We collected postoperative QLI assessments who came to clinic follow-up. However, patients, all of whom, died prior, or lost follow-up could not be included in the study. We did not have sufficient power to investigate mortality in this study, and also, we did not compare between types of surgeries and types of valves used. Finally, we determined QLI at 4.68±4.03 years (3 months to 18 years) following surgery; however, longer-term follow-up is indicated. The effect of different valve operations, be it repair, reconstruction or replacement, all impact on postoperative QLI which has been studied only more recently.12 Further recommendation or study needs to be done for comparing QLI with other surgical procedures.

Conclusion

Our results emphasize the improvement of quality of life after valve surgery with time regardless of the patients’ age. The results also suggest that family support seems to be the biggest strength in our population. Nevertheless, the values also indicate that continued efforts are needed to improve health and financial independence of patients’ valve surgery.
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