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Abstract

Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY), a combination scheme of performance based financing and conditional cash transfer for safe motherhood, has increased ante natal coverage and institutional deliveries in India. Although the two concepts have been criticized world over for both positive and negative aspects; rarely the role of these two mechanisms behind the success of JSY has been addressed. Quality, cost-effectiveness and other issues were never given due emphasis under this scheme neither efforts have been made to overcome known drawbacks of these strategies. Considering future of the scheme and sustainability, it is required to evaluate the concepts separately.
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Background

India is the largest contributor in the global pool of maternal mortality. For addressing the challenge, National Rural Health Mission (NRHM), a new strategy was adopted in 2005. Under NRHM, Janani Suraksha Yojana (JSY) is a centrally sponsored scheme with cash assistance for institutional delivery (ID), particularly focused on socially and economically deprived population. ASHA (Accredited Social Health Activist), a group of rural health workers, were introduced as its baseline soldiers. While there is a provision for performance based financing (PBF) for these workers, there is also room for conditional cash transfer (CCT) for beneficiaries for getting ante natal care services as well as ID. The amount of incentive given to ASHA is not uniform across the country. Depending on health parameters, low performing states were given priority. Similarly, higher incentive is given in rural area than in urban locality. In some cases, state government has added some other components to the scheme to make it more attractive. So far, many a studies have been devoted towards assessment of JSY and the scheme has been credited with success. With completion of seven years of scheme in 2012, it is probably high time to look back and find some issues of this scheme still unaddressed from Indian perspective.

PBF in health care delivery

Considering recent trend in health care delivery system in developing countries, PBF is one of the most discussed topics. Shortage of health care manpower along with suboptimal utilization of health care delivery services has given birth to this concept. After its success in Africa, it was adopted in India under JSY. But rarely, if ever, the role of PBF under JSY was addressed. Most of the studies are concentrated on whether ASHA could bring some changes in the health care seeking attitude of the rural beneficiaries – ID, to be more precise.
CCT in health care

CCT has been very much successful in Latin American countries like Brazil, Mexico and Nicaragua. Beneficiaries are offered cash assistance if they comply with a set of health behaviors. Distribution of cash is also believed to address the goal of poverty reduction. These programs have been seen to increase the utilization of health services but its effect on overall health status is not clear. A study found it to enhance growth, development and health of children in Mexico \(^7\)–\(^8\). Our condition is very much similar to this. IDs have been increased but the reduction of maternal and neonatal mortality is not very impressive. A study suggests that JSY is successful in reducing peri-natal mortality but maternal mortality was not improved\(^9\). This type of evaluation should come up more in numbers with separate focus on PBF and CCT.

Another point is its cost-effectiveness. Enough evidence is yet to come that CCT is less expensive than scaling up coverage of health intervention\(^9\). Although such an effect is clear from the experience in USA, convincing data from low and middle income countries are yet to come\(^10\). In a country where people are not having sufficient access to primary health care,

Among other issues, the possibility that other health workers would be demoralized if ASHAs receive more financial incentives than those who are in established long-term services is need to be considered\(^11\). Sometimes, an unnecessary demand for the remunerated service is induced among the users. Buying output may give rise to a concept that every health related action deserves special incentive, thus distorting the basic idea.\(^12\) The possibility of false reporting for the sake of getting incentives (also known as gaming) has already been pointed as a matter of concern\(^11,12\). Previous experience shows that for retaining eligibility, mothers sometimes keep the baby malnourished or continue to get pregnant, as a side-effect for CCT\(^10\).

Accurate reporting system, thus, is a pre-requisite in this regard\(^13\).

As success is blind, question has never been raised about the future of JSY – whether discontinuation of financial benefits would witness a drop of achievements made so far. Maintaining a group of temporary workers solely based on PBF might be possible, keeping the trend of appointing contractual staffs at every level of health care delivery services. But, is it possible to distribute financial incentives to the beneficiaries, given the fact that after a certain period, we would definitely hit the target of institutional deliveries, in terms of quantitative progress. What awaits us after that, if we discontinue the incentives then?

The limitation of CCT as a temporary solution has been emphasized earlier. For long-term and sustained change, we need to address the underlying issues that can modify the behaviour in a more permanent way\(^11\). The same is about PBF. Very little effort has been registered so far to cast light on success of PBF in JSY. Considering the fact that less than three years are left before we touch the deadline of 2015 for fulfilling Millennium Development Goals, it does not seem possible to realize the targets in maternal or child health. The effect of the program on remote parameters like MMR and IMR needs to be weighed, after controlling for all other confounders.
Conclusion
This is a fact that health care improvement is a complex issue, not mere interaction of few objective factors. The individual role played by PBF and CCT behind the apparent success of JSY need to be explored and the shortcomings are to be addressed accordingly. With the launch of Janani Shishu Suraksha Karyakram, an initiative to provide free and no-expense delivery to mothers and free treatment to sick newborn, a new effort for reducing out-of-pocket expenditure has been put forward. Further research should consider this initiative also for scrutinizing the relative contribution of different strategies in improving health seeking behavior and maternal health as well.
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