Life expectancy and years of life lost in HIV patients under the care of BandarAbbas Behavioral Disorders Counseling Center
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Abstract:

Background: HIV epidemic is mostly targeted adults and has numerous negative health, social, economic, cultural and political consequences. In this study Life Expectancy (LE) and Average Years of Life Lost (AYLL) in HIV/AIDS patients are estimated.

Materials and Methods: In this descriptive study all the patients at the age of 18 and more under the care of BandarAbbas Behavioral Disorders Counseling Center (BBDCC) during 2005-2015 are included. The town of BandarAbbas is center of Hormozgan Province in southern Iran. LE and AYLL have been estimated based on Life Table.

Results: One hundred thirty four of the 426 eligible patients died during the study period. Compared to the general population LE for HIV/AIDS patients at age 20 is 46 years less in comparison with the general population of BandarAbbas. Moreover, a total of 8839 years of life lost during 2005-2015.

Conclusion: LE in HIV/AIDS patients is less than LE among BandarAbbas general population and AYLL among them is more than general population. Most of the years of life lost are preventable if the health care system seriously will implement programs to control HIV/AIDS.
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Introduction

Life Expectancy (LE) is considered as a key measure of the illness burden which helps policymakers to allocate financial resources [1-2]. LE in a particular age is an index to calculate the average of excess years which somebody lives afterwards. The basis of computing the LE is based on the rate of specific-age mortality of the society in which the person lives [3]. Predictions of LE are considered as consequential parts of the public policy which are based on continuity of programs like social security and the health insurance [4]. Nowadays, the HIV (Human Immunodeficiency Virus) and AIDS (Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome) epidemics are considered as the most serious threats for the public health [5]. Therefore, some studies have shown the indirect relationship between the prevalence of HIV and LE among the population [6].

The major immunity cells which damage gradually by HIV are a group of white blood cells, namely CD4 [7]. Measuring the CD4 cells count in the blood is an important index regarding the progress of illness and death in HIV-stricken people. As a result, using the prophylaxis of opportunist viruses and supervising the therapy are considered as the essential tools to assess the qualification of individuals to begin the treatment [8-9].

Results of randomized trials have indicated that treatment of the disease improves the chance of survival in its advanced stage i.e. CD4count <200 [10]. According to the last report of WHO (World Health Organization), 35 million people suffer from HIV worldwide with an upward trend [11-12]. Countries in the South of the African desert have the serious HIV prevalence and nearly 70 percent of HIV-stricken individuals of the world live there [12].

Presently, there is not an effective treatment for the AIDS [13, 5]. However, decreased complications and mortality due to HIV and the long-term survival of patients from the beginning of the combined treatment, ART (Anti-Retroviral Therapy), is obvious [13-18].

Providing Strong and advanced estimates of expected mortality among patients, as well as the continuity of anti-HIV drugs and medicinal strategies are important for the disease control. Such estimates will help the policymakers and health care planners to monitor the treatment efficiency among the population [19]. Quantitative measures of the improvement based on two indices i.e. LE and Average Years of Life Lost (AYLL) after diagnosis using reliable surveillance data have been calculated for other diseases but infrequently estimated for HIV/AIDS. The AYLL is estimated the average time that an individual would be expected to lives and does not die prematurely [1]. Since AIDS is a prevalent disease among youth, so its effect on the potential years of life lost is most apparent [20].

Studies show that the demolitions of LE achievements in many countries are considered as major social influences of AIDS [21]. A cohort study in England has reported that at the beginning of the treatment, the expected age of death among 35 years male patients with CD4 count less than 200, between 200 and 349 and more than 350 was estimated 71, 78 and 77 years, respectively, while LE among men in the general population of England was 78 years. After 5 years of treatment period, the expected age of death among 35 years male patients shifted to a range between 54 to 80 years [22].

Another study accomplished in the U.S reported that, LE among healthy 33 years individuals with behavioral attributes similar to HIV positive patients was anticipated 34.58 years; again the number of years lost life of the HIV-stricken individuals was estimated 11.92 years. Moreover, 2.6 and 0.7 years of lost life are due to delay in beginning and unreasonable discontinuation of antiretroviral therapy, respectively [23].

There is only one similar population-based retrospective cohort study in Iran which was accomplished on HIV-stricken patients in Isfahan Consultation Center of Behavioral disease which reports that, LE of 20 years patients was 36 years less than the general population of Isfahan. IV Drug addicts had the minimum life expectancy among other ways of infectious transmission and LE of patients with CD4 count<200 than other CD4 count rates was minimum. AYLL at the age 64 among groups of ways of infectious transmission by sexual contact and injection addiction were 39 and 39.5 years, respectively [24].

Given that there is not any study to estimate LE and AYLL among HIV positive patient in Hormozgan Province of Iran; as a result, the chief aim of the current study is to estimate LE and AYLL among HIV positive patient in BandarAbbas i.e. the center of Hormozgan Province of Iran. It is worth emphasizing that the number of HIV/AIDS positive cases in Hormozgan province has been increased from 523 individuals in 2004 to 1361 cases in 2015. Furthermore, the prevalence of the diseases in Hormozgan province compared to the whole country has been doubled. Since BandarAbbas has the highest number of immigrants and also has the largest behavioral disorders counseling center within the province we limit our research to this city.

Methodology

Study design and the participants:
The current study is a descriptive one. The population under study was the HIV patients and the general population of BandarAbbas. According to the census of population in 2011 this town has 588288 people that consists of 51% male and 49% female [25]. Figure 1 shows position of BandarAbbas in Iran's geographical map.
Sample size calculation:
Data collection was conducted by census method so that from HIV-positive patients who were under the care of BandarAbbas Behavioral Disorders Counseling Center (BBDCC), the patients who were eligible for inclusion were selected.

Data collection:
Information gathering tool was a checklist that set up by the research group. The necessary information was extracted from patient's care file and recorded in checklist. The checklist includes demographic characteristics (age, gender, marital status, education level, occupation, time of diagnosis, the time of first visit to the Center, in case of death: time and cause of death), the basic background information (risk factors, addiction and prison history) and clinical care information (disease stage, CD4 count and beginning of antiretroviral therapy).

Inclusion Criteria:
The criteria for inclusion into study was persons of 18 years old and above infected with HIV, which has been tested by two positive tests of Eliza and then one positive test of Western blot [26], being under care of BBDCC during period of 11 years (from 2005 to 2015).

Exclusion criteria:
The only criterion for exclusion of the study was patients under 18 years of age.

Outcome Variable:
Outcome Variable
Calculating LE: to calculate LE the Abridged Life Table was used [27]. Composing the life table for a community is possible with awareness of population size and the number of deaths in each age or age group during a period of time [1]. With composing the life table for the population of HIV/AIDS patients and the general population city of BandarAbbas in each separate year [28], LE of patients was compared with the general population.

Calculating AYLL: To calculate AYLL must first the years of life lost (YLL) obtain. The method of YLL calculation is as follows:

\[ YLL = (LE \text{ for beginning of the birth} - LE \text{ for each age group}) \times \text{number of deaths in each age group} \]

Then the amount of AYLL is achieved by dividing the sum of \( \Sigma \text{YLL} \) on total deaths in that population (\( \text{AYLL} = \Sigma \text{YLL} \div \Sigma \text{di} \)) [1].

The software Life Table Designing in Excel version 2007 [29, 30] was used to calculate LE and AYLL.

Furthermore, to compare LE and AYLL in each subgroup and according to the nature of the variables paired and repeated measurement tests were used.

Explanatory variable:
The variables studied in patients were divided into 8 category that include: 1. age group: four groups of 18-34, 35-44, 45-54, +55 years, 2. gender: two groups of male, female, 3. Education level: two groups of illiterate/elementary/guidance, high school/university, 4. marital status: three groups of married, single, divorced, 5. employment status: two groups of employed, unemployed, 6. risk factors: six groups of taking injectable materials, unsafe sex relationship with a non-homosexual, sex relationship with a homosexual, born from a suffering mother, occupational exposure, wife of a person who has one of the risk factors, 7. CD4 cell count (cells/mm): three groups of under 200, 200-349, 500 and higher, 8. Beginning of antiretroviral therapy: two groups of yes and no.

Ethical committee approval:
The Research Ethical Committee of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences approved the study with a certificate of approval code IR.RUMS.REC.1395.61. Due to the
confidentiality of the information recorded in patient files the
checklists were completed by staff of the Behavioral Disorders
Counseling Center and instead of patient’s name, an
identification code was written.

Data management and statistical Analysis:
The analyses were carried out applying the SPSS statistical
software version 20. In all analyses P value equal or less than
0.05 is considered as significant.

Results
In the current study, the set of collected data include the
information of 431 patients with HIV/AIDS of whom 5 people
(1.16%) were eliminated from the collected data due to being
under 18 years. Thus, the data analysis of 426 patients (98.8%) was
carried out. During the study period 134 death events
(31.4%) were recorded. Demographic information of the
patients is represented in Table 1.

Based on the estimation the average weight of LE in general
population from 2005 to 2015, LE at birth for the general
population of BandarAbbas was 69 years, and for the population
of 20 years old was 50.8 years, and for the population of 35
years old was 36.8 years. However, in patient population, the
LE was reported 4.8 years and 3.1 years among patients aged
20 and 35 years, respectively. Therefore, patients’ LE with 20
years old and with 35 years old was estimated to be 46, and 34
years less than the general population, respectively.

Table 2 represents LE and AYLL in HIV/AIDS 35 year old
patients based on the study variables and comparing them with
the general population.

Further analyses have shown that LE among the female patients
is significantly higher than the male patients (P=0.011). Moreover,
AYLL among the female patients is significantly less than male patients (P=0.011). LE among the patients with
CD4 count<200 was less than other counterpart subgroups; but, according to the test results, the difference was not statistically
significant (P=0.116). Additionally, AYLL among the patients
with CD4 count<200 was higher than other counterpart subgroups; but the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.116).

LE among subgroup of the patients with sexual contact is lowet
than other counterpart subgroups; but, according to the test results, the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.253). In addition, AYLL among the patients who were
both injecting drug user and having sexual contact was less than
other counterpart subgroups; but, the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.265). LE in the subgroup of
married patients was higher than other strata of the marital
status variable. However, the difference was not statistically
significant (P=0.233). In addition, AYLL among the subgroup of
divorced patients was higher than the subgroups of single and
married patients; but, the difference was not statistically
significant (P=0.233). LE among the subgroup of patients with
high school/academic education is higher than the subgroup of
illiterate/elementary/guidance patients; but, the difference was
not statistically significant (P=0.139). Furthermore, AYLL
among the subgroup of patients with high school/academic
education was less than the subgroup of illiterate/elementary/guidance patients; but, the difference was
not statistically significant (P=0.139). LE among the subgroup of patients is higher than the subgroup of unemployed
patients; but, the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.244). Besides, AYLL in the subgroup of employed
patients was less than the subgroup of unemployed patients; but, the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.240).

Finally, diagram 1 and 2 demonstrate the trends of LE and
AYLL in patients and general population based on different age
groups, respectively.
Table 1: HIV/AIDS Patients’ demographic characteristics, BandarAbbas, Iran, 2005-2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Median (IQR)*</th>
<th>N(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) by the time of diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34 years old</td>
<td>182 (42.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44 years old</td>
<td>183 (43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54 years old</td>
<td>51 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 55 years old</td>
<td>10 (2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>311 (73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>115 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>210 (49.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>161 (37.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>55 (12.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate/Elementary/Guidance</td>
<td>342 (80.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>79 (18.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>5 (1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>153 (35.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>273 (64.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission of the disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual contact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting drug user</td>
<td>135 (31.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual contact and Injecting drug user</td>
<td>138 (32.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>90 (21.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage of the disease**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage I</td>
<td>225 (56.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II</td>
<td>24 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III</td>
<td>98 (24.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>49 (12.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 cell count (cells/mm)**</td>
<td>Median (IQR)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>92 (23.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-499</td>
<td>119 (30.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=500</td>
<td>185 (46.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Inter quartile range
**CD4 data was not available to 30 patients

Diagram 1. LE of HIV/AIDS patients and general population according to different age groups, BandarAbbas, Iran, 2005-2015
Diagram 2. AYLL in HIV/AIDS patients and general population according to different age groups, BandarAbbas, Iran, 2005-2015

Table 2: LE and AYLL in HIV/AIDS 35 year old patients based on the study variables, and making a comparison with the general population, BandarAbbas, Iran, 2005-2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>No. of dead patients</th>
<th>AYLL in the person with 35 years old</th>
<th>LE in the person with 35 years old</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>66.22</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63.56</td>
<td>5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate/elementary/guidance</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>65.92</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school/Academic</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>65.45</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65.53</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>66.55</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>65.01</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>66.26</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission of disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual contact</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>67.03</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting drug user</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>66.19</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual contact and Injecting drug user</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>65.72</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>65.32</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 cell count (cells/mm)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66.33</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-499</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>65.43</td>
<td>3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=500</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>65.22</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Population of patients with HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>65.89</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CD4 data was not available to 30 patients
Discussion

Life expectancy and Average years of life lost

The main finding of this study was that people with HIV/AIDS compared to general population have substantially less LE. LE of these patients at age 20 is 4.8 years and AYLL in them is 66 years. This indicates that LE in patients with age 20 years was 46 years less than the general population and AYLL in patients was 14 years longer than the general population. These findings clearly reflect the adverse impact of HIV/AIDS on human lifetime.

In a study conducted in Isfahan, LE at age 20 was about 38 years less than the general population and AYLL at 64 years old was 8 years longer than the general population [24]. In another study conducted in Great Britain, LE in patients with 20 years of age was between 30 and 45.8 years that was lower between 11.4 and 18.3 compared with the general population. AYLL in this study is also estimated more than 15 years [2].

Results of two studies conducted in Canada and Rwanda showed that LE in patients at 20 years of age were 37.7 and 26.5, respectively [3, 11]. Overall LE after HIV diagnosis in the United States of America has been announced 28.86 years [31].

In the survey conducted in California, LE in patients 20 years of age was 19.1 years, and patients’ LE has been less than 44.3 years compared with a population of healthy individuals [32]. One of the factors that increased mortality amongst patients with HIV/AIDS is delayed diagnosis of the disease. At the same time, yet there are still lots of patients who take care at an advanced stage of disease. People who are diagnosed in advanced stages of disease or rejected the treatment until CD4 count on LE shows that the timely recognition of HIV in the early stages of the disease is important. Both patients and the health care system benefit from timely diagnosis of the disease, because patients will experience longer life and health care systems will have reduction in cost of hospital treatment [1].

Gender

Another result of this study is that each of the indicators of LE and AYLL in male and female patients is different, so that women have more LE and less AYLL compared with men. In a study conducted in America, it was reported that AYLL in female patients is more than men [1]. In a study conducted in California, it was announced that although the patients’ LE in women is more than men, this difference was not statistically significant [32]. In a study conducted in North America and Europe, the mortality in women patients after treatment was estimated to be less than men [34]. In a study conducted on data collected from 20 cohorts of HIV-positive patients under treatment across Europe, it has been reported that the risk of death in female patients is less than male patients [18]. According to studies conducted in England, LE in female patients has been more than male patients [2].

A study conducted in America has announced AYLL at ages 20, 40, 60 and 80 years in HIV-positive women is more than men. The survey results also showed that LE of young women (under 40 years at HIV diagnosis) was less than that of males that were the same age at HIV diagnosis, but this pattern reversed in older age groups, where females who were 40 years or older at HIV diagnosis had slightly better LE than men who were diagnosed at same age. Men with infection related to male-to-male sexual contact (MSM) had longer LE than men with infection attributed to other causes, on the other hand, because a large population of HIV-infected MSM were diagnosed at a young age, so better LE among males in the younger age groups has been observed in this study. This explanation is further supported by the fact that when comparison across sex are made within the transmission methods common to both sexes, such as injecting drug use and heterosexual contact, women have longer life expectancy than men [31].

In general, higher LE in female patients than men may be due to the fact that early detection of disease is done through screening during pregnancy in care centers before mother reaches to low CD4 count. Late presentation in men to medical centers, large gender differences in lifestyle factors in patients e.g., alcohol and drug abuse smoking, is more frequent in men. On the other hand, bias caused by the lack of follow-up and result of proving death in women can exist. To further understand the differences, it is important we formulate policy to ensure equal opportunities for men and women to achieve the same results [2]. Variation in the level of education, level of income, social stigma, access to health care systems and isolation of patients are other features that may influence the gender differences observed in the HIV/AIDS patients [35].

Injecting drug use

In our study, injecting drug users than other disease transmission methods had the worst LE and AYLL, but these differences were not statistically significant. A Canadian study reported that people with a record of injecting drug use have a higher mortality rate and low LE [11]. The results of a cohort study conducted in North America reported that there is a significant difference in LE of HIV transmission method group, so that the low LE in all periods for people with a record of injecting drug use has been observed [35]. In a study conducted in Isfahan, similar results with our findings have been reported,
despite the fact that LE in patients with a record of drug abuse was lower, but there was no significant difference between this and other disease transmission methods [24]. In a study conducted in America during the 10 year period, injecting drug users in men and women with HIV have worst LE among all classes of disease transmission method [1].

The high mortality in people with HIV/AIDS injecting drug users observed in the literature was continually reported. Poor socio-economic status, reduced access to health care services, co-infection with hepatitis C infection and tuberculosis and housing instability are the causes of reducing LE in this population [35,18,11]. Probably the low sample size is why this finding was not significant in our study.

Job, Education and Marital status
The difference between LE and AYLL in “employed and unemployed” patients, “illiterate/elementary/guidance and high school/university” patients and “married, single or divorced” patients was not statistically significant in this study. In a study conducted in Isfahan, the difference for the education level of the patients was not statistically significant, but this difference was significant about job and marital status [24]. A study conducted in America has announced an increase in mortality for diseases in singles and divorcees than the married people [36].

Risk behaviors in people with low education, low socioeconomic status as well as in unemployed and needy people who financially have delinquent behavior are more observed [21]. People with more sexual partners may be at higher risk of contracting sexually transmitted diseases, including AIDS than those who have reliable sexual partners. It is possible that single people and divorced individuals have a wider sexual network which raises their risk of obtaining HIV/AIDS. It is also likely that there would be a weak social solidarity among single and divorced people than the married people that leads to higher death following the disease in these groups [36].

CD4
Another finding of this study was that although the worst LE and AYLL in patients with CD4 count <200 has been observed relative to the other subgroups counterpart this difference was significant from the statistical point of view. In the survey conducted in Isfahan and Colombia, similar result with our study finding has been reported [24, 37]. Results announced by a study conducted in the United States are so that those who have started the treatment with CD4 count above 500 had a LE 9 years more compared to those treated in the CD4 count below 350 [10].

One of the most important factors in reducing LE in people with HIV in comparison with the general population is that patients have begun the treatment in low CD4 count position relative to the recommend instructions. At the beginning of treatment, mortality for those who have the lowest CD4 count is higher and even CD4 count at the start of antiretroviral treatment remains as prognosis of death in those who have survived at first three years of treatment. Patients, especially those who have no symptoms, may be delays in starting treatment, because they are reluctant to invest in a lifetime dedication to antiretroviral drug consumption [2].

Age group
In our study, it was found that the average index in different age groups between patients and the general population is significantly different on each of the indicators of LE and AYLL. In the survey conducted in London, it was reported that there is a strong evidence of the impact of age on HIV/AIDS disease due to change of antibodies, so that there is a clear gradient of increased mortality risk with increasing age (especially age 45 and older) [18]. A number of other studies that have compared deaths in people with HIV and healthy subjects have also found that there is a significant death rate with increasing patient age compared to non-infected population [38, 39].

Conclusion
According to BBDC data; during 2005-2015, LE in HIV patients is less than LE among BandarAbbas general population, so that at the age of 20, the patients’ LE is 46 years less. AYLL in HIV/AIDS patients is also 14 years more than general population. Most of the years of life lost are preventable if the health care system will seriously implement programs to control HIV/AIDS.

Limitation of the study:
The limitation of our study was the incompleteness of demographic and laboratory information registered in care forms. For solving this problem, the incomplete information was filled through making phone contact with the patient by counseling center’s personnel, otherwise the patient’s record was deleted from the study; 8 files containing incomplete information were deleted from the study.

Future scope of the study:
Further longitudinal research is needed to determine what factors will have more impact on LE of patients with HIV/AIDS.

What is already known on this topic?
Life span assessment of patients with HIV/AIDS is already carried out in some parts of the world.

What this study adds:
According to BBDC data; during 2005-2015, LE in HIV patients is less than LE among BandarAbbas general
population, so that at the age of 20, the patients’ LE is 46 years less. AYLL in HIV/AIDS patients is also 14 years more than general population.
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