INTRODUCTION:
Writing scientific paper is an art which allows presenting one’s experience, sharing ideas, communicating with researchers and readers all around the globe; which forms the foundation of evidence based medicine for the betterment in diagnosis and treatment of diseases. Published work forms a means of communication between the scientists and the scholars. Publishing research paper in medical journals has an important impact on one’s academic carrier. Research publication helps one to get better job, promotion or financial support, fellowship and research awards. Authorship helps in recognition among the colleagues in the specific field. One’s curriculum vitae also look better with the long list of published manuscripts. Beside these advantages; one’s contribution to the medical literature can also be assessed with the number of his/her publications. Because of these benefits there is a tendency among the authors to have a large number of publications which has also resulted in published papers with negligibly important contents hence such low quality papers mayn’t have contributed to the scientific knowledge. Defining authorship has become an important issue nowadays as the controversies regarding authorship have risen in the medical literature because many researchers have been found to get involved in article publishing practice unethically.

Who is an author
The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), the Vancouver group, developed the criteria for authorship for biomedical journals. Recommendations from these guidelines suggest authorship has to be awarded to those who make a substantial contribution to (a) conception and design, or acquisition of or analysis and interpretation of data; (b) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and (c) final approval of the version to be published. All of these criteria should meet to be designated as an author. In addition, the author should take responsibility of the content; and should be able to defend the doubts raised by the readers; about the work that has been included in the manuscript. The ICMJE also recommends that the contributors who do not meet all the three criteria should be listed in acknowledgements. Being a head of the department or institute is not sufficient for someone to be granted as authorship. Similarly, helping in fund raising, collection of data, technical help, without intellectual participation in writing and reviewing the manuscript; does not warrant inclusion of a person’s name as an author in the byline. ICMJE mentions that each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content. However, In case of large, multi-center studies the group should identify the who should be designated as author and these individuals have to accept responsibility of the work. All other members of the study should be acknowledged. The primary responsibility for communication with journal during the manuscript submission and publication process is to be taken by the corresponding author; who should provide details of authorship, ethical approval, clinical trial registration and conflict of interest forms and other documents. The corresponding author should be available to respond to editorial queries in a timely way although the journal editors send copies of all correspondence to all authors.

Order and number of authors
The order of authorship that appears in the byline should be jointly decided by the co-authors. There are various suggestions to list the name of authors eg. According to alphabet order, by seniority and by importance of contribution. However the most accepted one is that there should be descending order of name of authors with the one who does the maximum work should lead the list. Unarguably in case of dissertation the student should be the first author for the manuscript. The ICMJE does not specify any upper limit for the number of authors. However, journals have their own policy regarding the number of authors to be listed on the byline. Journals don’t have uniform policy on specifying the total number of authors hence some journals list name of only 3,4 or 5 authors followed by et al while other accept 6 or more authors name. It should be noted that inclusion of too many authors may dilute the significance of study and can also decrease the value of authorship.

Acknowledgement
The names of the contributors, who do not meet the criteria for authorship, should be listed in the acknowledgment section. Examples of people who might be acknowledged include a person providing the technical help, material support, raising fund, assisting in paper writing, or a department head who provided only general support. It is better to take permission from all the persons before their names are acknowledged.

Authorship misuse
Authorship can’t be granted simply as an honor to a senior colleague rather he/she should meet all three criteria to be listed as an author.
or co-author on a scientific paper. Any deviation from the set guidelines for authorship should be regarded as misuse of authorship. Issues regarding authorship are not uncommon these days. One such example being inclusion as author for an unqualified colleague who has not participated in the research or the writing. Similarly, many people who are in fact not involved in a research work may feel happy to be credited for authorship by a colleague in his/her scientific paper, without realizing the fact the inclusion of their name as coauthors, they are also responsible for any misconduct like falsification, fabrication or plagiarism if found in that study. Such misdeeds are punishable.

Competition among the academicians to have a good number of publications has resulted in various types of inappropriate authorship. The Council of Scientific Editors (CSE) has described issues regarding authorships such as guest authorship, honorary authorship, gift authorship, pressured authorship and ghost authorship.

Ghost authorship is a condition where the authors who have participated in research, data analysis, and/or writing of manuscript are not listed in the author byline or acknowledgment section of the published scientific paper. Ghost writing have been found in many pharmaceutical industries sponsored clinical trials where the manuscript is prepared by the professional medical writer appointed by the pharmaceutical company, and the company hire a reputed scientist or researcher to allow his/her name as the author of the research to push their company product in the market. The medical writer appointed by the company writes specifically for the company product who disappears from the author byline instead the name of the "newly hired scientist" who played a very minor role in the preparation of the research manuscript appears as the main author.

Honorary authorship is a condition where a person who has not contributed significantly on the research work or manuscript preparation is acknowledged as an author. Various terms have been used synonymously in literature such as guest authorship, gift authorship, unjustified authorship or undeserved authorship, although there exist a little difference between them. Here, these terms are described under the broad heading of honorary authorship. Guest authorship exists when a person who has neither worked for, nor has written the manuscript, is included in authors list. In this kind of authorship the reason behind inclusion of such guest authors is that addition of their name in the list of authors increases the likelihood of manuscript publication in reputed journal. Similarly, gift authors are those who have not contributed significantly but are credited as author of the manuscript due to their position or with hope of getting some reciprocation in the future. Pressured authorship is a form of guest authorship where the junior researcher feels pressured to include the name of his senior figures eg; the department head who don’t fulfill the authorship criteria but he/she may influence his future career and when the author feels pressure to maintain harmony in the department.

CONCLUSION:
Authorship is not just a credit but it represents responsibility to those who are listed as authors on the published scientific paper. So, the authors or co-authors are responsible for what is said in the paper. They have to defend their work if questioned are raised by the readers, at any time after the paper publication. Authorship misuse issues including the gift authorship and ghost authorship are not uncommon these days. These are unethical behaviors which compromises the credibility of scientific research and publications. To discourage such malpractice, the journal’s editorial team, institute and the government should work together. Various measures can be undertaken to prevent this issues such as creating awareness amongst authors and academicians, implementing policies to discourage and punish if found involved in such unethical behaviors.
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