BACKACHE RESULTING FROM SCIATICA HAS BEEN TOILING US EVER SINCE WE ADAPTED TO UPRIGHT POSTURING. ITS UBQUITOUS LINK WITH US IS ALSO EVIDENT FROM THE FACT THAT IT HAS BEEN MENTIONED IN THE PAPER ‘EDWIN SMITH SURGICAL PAPYRUS’ IN AS EARLY AS 1550 BC.¹

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES MENTION HUBERT VON LUSCHKA, DURING HIS CADAVERIC STUDIES, MISTAKENLY ASSUMING THEM TO BE A CARTILAGINOUS TUMOR. THE CREDIT FOR THE FIRST LAMINECTOMY WITH DISCECTOMY IN A CASE OF SEVERE SCIATICA

LUMBAR DISC DISEASE IS A COMMONLY ENCOUNTERED SPINE PROBLEM. THERE HAVE BEEN VARIOUS MODALITIES OF TREATMENT THAT HAVE EVOLVED OVER THE YEARS. THE OBJECTIVE OF OUR STUDY IS TO ANALYZE SAFETY, EFFICACY, AND COMPLICATIONS FOLLOWING MICRO-LUMBAR DISCECTOMY.

ALL THE CASES ADMITTED IN OUR SPINE CLINIC WITH SYMPTOMATIC LUMBAR DISC AND WHO UNDERWENT MICRO-LUMBAR DISCECTOMY BETWEEN FEBRUARY 2013 TO FEBRUARY 2018 WERE INCLUDED IN OUR STUDY. SEVENTY CASES WERE OPERATED DURING THIS PERIOD. TOTAL OPERATIVE TIME, IMMEDIATE AND LONG TERM OUTCOME WITH REGARDS TO PAIN AND NEUROLOGICAL DEFICITS WERE TABULATED AND ANALYZED FOR EACH PATIENT. FURTHERMORE, SHORT AND LONG TERM COMPLICATIONS INCLUDING WOUND INFECTION, DISCITIS, INSTABILITY AND RECURRENT AT THE SAME LEVEL WERE ALSO STUDIED AND COMPARED WITH SIMILAR STUDIES FROM THE LITERATURE.

NONE OF OUR CASES HAD COMPLICATIONS RELATED TO WOUND INFECTION, INSTABILITY OR POST-OPERATIVE DISCITIS. IMMEDIATE RELIEF FROM THE RADICULAR PAIN EXPERIENCED IN THE PRE-OPERATIVE PERIOD WAS SEEN IN ALL PATIENTS (VISUAL ANALOG SCALE) THOUGH BENIGN TINGLING SENSATION PERSISTED IN A FEW OF THEM THAT IMPROVED IN A SHORT SPAN OF TIME. RECURRENCE WAS SEEN IN ONLY ONE CASE.

MICRO-LUMBAR DISCECTOMY IS A MINIMALLY INVASIVE SPINE PROCEDURE CONFFERING HIGH BENEFITS TO THE PATIENTS. WHILE COMPARED TO OTHER METHODS OF INTERVENTION, IT HAS A LOW RISK OF COMPLICATIONS AS WELL AS CHANCES OF DISC RECURRENTS.
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in a patient diagnosed with Cauda equina syndrome goes to Fedor Krause. However, he also wrongly interpreted it to be enchondroma. Walter E. Dandy was the first to postulate the genesis that these masses were of discal origin and that they were capable of migrating and causing sciatica following compression of the adjacent nerve roots.\(^2\)

Grafton Love paved the pathway to the introduction of a microdiscectomy technique following his “key hole” laminotomy approach. Yasargil and Caspar introduced the use of an operating microscope further accrediting to its minimal invasiveness.\(^3,\,4\)

The added advantage of better postoperative outcome owing to less tissue damage and perineural and epidural fibrosis was demonstrated in the subsequent study.\(^5\)

Epidural fibrosis’ perineural fibrosis is a nightmare to any managing physician and a major bane for the affected patient.\(^6,\,7\)

The recent appraisals to the open procedure include the utilization of minimal surgical corridor and operating under high magnification loupes and headlamps.\(^8\)

Evolving through the trans-dural approach and then laminectomy, Microlumbar discectomy has now been considered the standard surgical practice for managing them. The rising incidence in failed back surgery syndromes might have played a pivotal role in attributing to this transition towards minimal approach thereby creating minimal instability. Indeed, most of the postoperative morbidities these patients were facing were subsequent to traumatizing surgical approaches as well as anatomical instability.

Endoscopic micro-discectomy is another valid minimally invasive alternative.\(^9,\,10\) However, the requirement of different instrument sets and steep learning curve adds up as a major hindrance for its frequent usage on a regular basis in a global front. There are other minimally invasive methods of managing symptomatic lumbar disc diseases such as laser discectomy, thermal therapy, percutaneous discectomy, nucleoplasty, and chemonucleolysis.\(^11-\,16\)

However, contrary to other methods, application of minimal incision, reduced assess trauma to paraspinal muscles and minimal retraction of crucial neural structures in a well illuminated and magnified view has boosted for its application in the global front.

The big disadvantage of this procedure can be the inherent difficulty to retrieve and sometimes completely missing the disc out.\(^17,\,18\) Operating on a wrong level because of its minimal approach is also a concern especially while on high-level discs.\(^19\)

We conducted this study in our tertiary spinal care center, which lies outside the capital city, and thereafter assessed the efficacy and clinical outcomes of this procedure in the patients with symptomatic lumbar disc herniation.

**Methods and Materials**

The study is a retrospective analytical descriptive study at the College of Medical Sciences, Bharatpur, Nepal. A total of 70 cases of symptomatic lumbar disc that underwent MLD in our institution from February 2013 to February 2018 were evaluated in our study. Written consent was obtained from all the patients who were included in this study. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Committee. All the symptomatic patients with single level lower lumbar (below L3) disc herniation not responding to medical management were included in this study.

Patients who needed laminectomy for disc removal and patients with symptomatic lumbar canal stenosis as evident by the presence of bilateral Extensor Digitorum Brevis (EDB) wasting in clinical examination and equivocal findings in radio-imaging\(^20\) were excluded from the study.

Patients were verbally interviewed regarding radicular pain following full recovery from general anesthesia as per the visual analog scale (VAS)\(^21\). Following their discharges, patients were followed for a period of at least six months. The outcome indices were monitored utilizing the Prolo Functional and Economic Scale\(^22,\,23\).

**Surgical steps**

Following general anesthesia, the patients were placed in a prone position on a Wilson frame or pillows ensuring free abdomen and good cotton padding on pressure regions. The intraoperative level of the disc was first confirmed by C- arm images. Semicircular incision of just a thumb width with the dome facing the affected side was given. Thoracolumbar Fascia was then incised in a similar manner until the midline and retracted away with 2-0 Vicryl. This was followed by muscle dissection and peeling its attachments at the spinous process and the lamina. Subperiosteal dissection of the adjacent lamina was performed. A Muller’s Microlumbar discectomy retractor was then applied. The inferior part of the lamina was then separated off the lamina. The ligamentum flavum was then separated off the lamina. The ligamentum flavum was incised with a No. 11 blade and bitten off its attachment at lamina. Limited undercutting of medial facet to ensure the bony decompression overlying the affected nerve root was performed. The nerve root was visualized and minimally retracted. An extruded disc fragment was then removed. The sequestrated disc fragment was also removed. Steroid (80 mg Methylprednisolone) was irrigated over the dura...
Intra-operative blood loss was estimated using the same principle as mentioned in gauze visual analog method.

The surgical steps have been summarized in Figure 1 and Figure 2.

Results

A total of 70 cases were included in our study comprising of 44 males and 26 females. Their ages ranged from 17-78 years with a mean age of 39. In 42 cases, they had L4-5 disc, 27 cases had L5-S1 disc and 1 case had L3-4 disc. Radicular pain involving the L5 dermatome was the most common initial presentation. All these cases were investigated with an MRI of the lumbo-sacral spine. There were 47 cases of extruded discs and 23 contained discs. Median operative time was averaging 50 minutes. The estimated blood loss (visual inspection of the soaked gauze pieces) was around 10 ml.

All patients, except 4, experienced immediate relief from their radicular symptoms following the procedure. There were no inadvertent clinical deficits observed. The patients were immediately mobilized. Most of them were discharged on the second day of surgery. First, follow up was done in the second week and then every month for the next 6 months. The clinical assessments were performed utilizing the Prolo Functional and Economic Scale. 57 patients were completely asymptomatic and back to their normal activities at six months.

We had two inadvertent intra-operative dural tears. Minor wound infection occurred in 4 cases which healed with dressing and course of antibiotics. Vague discomfort at the back was seen in 5 cases but it didn’t limit their activities of daily life. Recurrent same level disc herniation occurred in two patients within 3 weeks of index surgery. One though symptomatic, denied undergoing repeat surgery and also lost to subsequent follow-up. Another one was re-operated and subsequently improved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prolo functional and economic rating scale</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Prolo Functional and Economic Rating Scale Status

Discussion

Lumbar disc disease accounts for major subsets of authorized leave from work. Also, it accounts for the longest sick leave for doctor prescribed sick leaves. The advantage of surgery in patients with persistent symptomatic pain is that it provides instant relief from the radicular pain. Therefore, it is one of the commonest spinal interventions to be undertaken. Long term results of most of the procedures chosen for the management of the disc is almost equivocal with all of them having excellent results in roughly 80% of the cases. Micro and open lumbar discectomy both are gold standard therapeutic options. Almost all of the recent studies have shown favorable results for discectomy in adolescent patients.

There is some prevailing debate regarding the role of fusion following radical discectomy. Dewing reported good
surgical outcomes in young and active patients without arthrodesis. Most of them returned to unrestricted active military duty having high satisfaction with their outcome.

Another burning issue is the aggressive curettage Vs sequestrectomy alone in managing them. Fragmentectomy alone had 11.1% recurrence rate at an average 87 months of follow up in one study.

Both groups resulted in equivocal clinical improvement as per the visual analog scale (VAS) score in terms of radicular symptoms. Reherniation in the microdiscectomy group was reported among 2.3% to 11.8% patients whereas seen in 2% to 12.5% cases in sequestrectomy groups. Some authors even advised for Annular repair in preventing a recurrence. The major disadvantage of the aggressive approach is the higher incidence of subsequent Modic changes in the adjacent levels.

Sequestrectomy alone approach is now a standard procedure. There is a higher overall satisfaction but the added risk high recurrence persists (18% vs. 9%). The removal of only extruded disc fragments showed a recurrence rate of 5.5 to 9%. The more aggressive discectomy with curettage of the disc space to remove the entire disc material also had a comparable recurrence of 3%. Paradoxically, there was a high incidence of discitis and postoperative persisting backache. In our study, we had a recurrence rate of only 2%. The recurrence of radicular symptoms due to reherniation can occur in up to 30% of patients. Disc space subsidence after aggressive discectomy can confer significant axial loading on the stressed facet joints producing persistent pain. Sequestrectomy alone thereby decreases the incidence of failed back syndrome.

Pain relief is another main concern any surgeries with persistent pain. Immediate pain relief was seen in 98.33% in Devkota et al series, 97.5% in PS Ramani series, 96% in Yash Gulati series and 90% in CJ Koebbe et al series.

In this study immediate radicular pain relief was seen in 96% of cases comparable to other studies.

There are some limitations to this procedure. The most common risk is that of incidental durotomy. There is the integral need of an operating microscope along with the facility for intra-operative C-arm images which may be major issues in resource-deprived circumstances. The issues of radiation hazards and the learning curve for the procedure are other prevailing issues.

Conclusions

Microlumbar discectomy is a safe and efficient procedure in managing symptomatic lumbar disc disease. It is minimally invasive, less time consuming and devoid of major complications. It has evolved to become the gold standard method for managing symptomatic lumbar disc.

Outcome analysis of microlumbar discectomy
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