Surgical treatment of vaginal vault prolapse
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Abstract
Vaginal vault prolapse can cause limitations in physical and social functioning. This problem will enhance with the increasing age in women. New surgical techniques, like tension free vaginal mesh and laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy reduce surgical strain in patients and make prolapse surgery achievable in elderly women.

Introduction
Pelvic organ prolapse has a lifetime prevalence risk of 30% - 50% and its incidence increases with age.1-3 The prevalence of pelvic organ prolapse in Nepal is quoted to be as high as 18-25.1%.4

The life-time risk of surgery for prolapse or incontinence by age 80 years is estimated to be 11.1% in the United States of America.5 The incidence of post-hysterectomy vaginal vault prolapse is approximately 11.6% when assessed at surgery for prolapse and 1.8% when assessed at surgery for other benign diseases.6,7 These facts, coupled with an increased life expectancy, imply a considerable increase in the incidence of vault prolapse.

Although symptoms are not life threatening, women often have restrictions in physical, emotional and social functioning.

Pathophysiology
The position of the vagina in relation to the other structures in the pelvis prevents prolapse. The nulliporous vagina lies on the rectum and levator plate, with its axis directed to the hollow of the sacrum and its apex at or above the ischial spines (figure 1).8 This position provides support by the levator ani muscle against which the upper vagina is compressed by intra-abdominal pressure (support). Previous surgery might change the position of the vagina resulting in loss of support.1

The vagina receives suspensory support from the pelvic sidewalls and the uterosacral cardinal ligament complex, formed by the condensation of the endopelvic fascia (suspension). This suspension can be weakened by direct and/or neuromuscular trauma following childbirth or by general pelvic connective tissue remodelling due to increased elastase or collagenase activity.9 Estrogen deficiency after menopause is thought to accelerate these processes but prevalence does not appear to be correlated with menopausal age.10 Risk factors for developing recurrent prolapse after previous prolapse surgery are: vaginal delivery in cases of fetal macrosomia (> 4 kg), a higher body mass index (BMI>30), women with advanced prolapse (POPQ-stage III or IV) and prolapse surgery in younger women (< 60 years).11,12

Symptoms
Post-hysterectomy vault prolapse frequently causes the typical sensation of prolapse (“something coming down”, progression towards the end of the day), pelvic discomfort, low back pain, mucosal irritation, voiding difficulty, defecation disorders and sexual problems (I.Cutner).
**Diagnostic approach**

In the diagnostic approach we focus on pelvic examination. The extent of prolapse should be systematically assessed. The standard system for measurement, approved by the International Continence Society, consists of Pelvic Organ Prolapse Quantitation System (POP-Q) (Bump 1996). This system measures nine locations on the vagina and vulva in centimetres relative to the hymen. The use of vaginal speculums or retractors is very helpful in determining which vaginal sites are affected by prolapse.

Complementary imaging or testing seems only worthwhile for the diagnosis enterocele. Differentiation between rectocele and enterocele can be facilitated by defecography and dynamic MRI.

**Treatment**

Surgery is the most effective treatment for vaginal vault prolapse. Lack of support makes the use of pessaries difficult. There are different surgical techniques to treat vault prolapse: obliterative and non-obliterative procedures, vaginal and abdominal procedures, and minimally invasive percutaneous and laparoscopic techniques. In the last decades the use of mesh has been introduced in many of these treatment modalities.

**Vaginal obliterative procedures**

In elderly and medically high-risk women who are not sexually active vaginal vault prolapse can be treated effectively with (partial) vaginal closure or colpocleisis. After removing vaginal mucosa from the anterior and posterior vaginal wall, the anterior wall is sutured to the posterior wall. Due to vaginal closure, intercourse is not possible anymore and 7-11% of the patients develop urinary incontinence. Success rates of 91 - 100% are reported.

An other partial obliterative procedure is the Labhardt high perineoplasty. This leaves only a minimal introitus. (figure 2)

**Vaginal procedures that suspend the apex**

Procedures for posthysterectomy vaginal vault prolapse anchor the vaginal apex to the available supporting tissue, including the sacrospinous ligaments, iliococcygeus or coccygeus fascia, uterosacral ligament, or sacrum.

The mostly used vaginal suspension technique is sacrospinous vaginal vault suspension according to Richter, mostly unilateral success rates of 69-92% are reported. Transvaginal fixation of the vault to the sacrospinous ligament restores the vaginal axis, but the technique can be fraught with difficulties. As the sutures are not inserted under direct vision haemorrhage may occur, caused by a laceration of the inferior gluteal artery, the pudendal vein and pudendal artery, the perirectal veins or the sacral veins. Injuries to the sciatic nerve, urinary tract, and rectum can also occur and the vagina may be shortened.
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Vaginal procedures with mesh-material

Based on conclusions from anatomical studies, Petros 20 developed the intravaginal slingplasty posterior (IVS posterior) or infracoccygeal sacropexy. IVS posterior is a minimally invasive transperineal approach. A narrow tunnelling device is used to pass a synthetic nonabsorbable tape through each pararectal space via a small perineal incision. A small vaginal incision is made to secure the tape to the vaginal vault. The objective was to reinforce vaginal support, by implanting a tension-free tape to create artificial uterosacral neoligaments. 21 Recurrent prolapse is seen in 5.2-29%. 21-22 In an older population (21 women with an average age of 70 years) recurrent prolapse occurred in 63% within 18 months.23 High recurrence rate might be caused by the transmuscular fixation of a small tape (8 mm). 24 Furthermore it was shown in cadavers that IVS supports the midvagina, not the apex of the vagina. 25 Complications of this procedure are perforation of the rectum, haemorrhage, erosion of the tape and dyspareunia. The multifilament polypropylene tape is associated with erosion and dyspareunia. 26 Recently the multifilament tape is replaced by a monofilament tape.

The Tensionfree Vaginal Mesh (TVM) is another new minimal invasive technique. Instead of a tape, a large mesh is used to cover the entire posterior vaginal wall (and in other cases also the anterior vaginal wall. 27. The mesh is monofilament polypropylene with arms to secure the mesh (figure 3). With this mesh posterior, middle and anterior compartment prolapse can be treated. The entire thickness of the posterior vaginal wall is dissected while keeping the rectovaginal fascia on the vaginal mucosa. The pararectal spaces are opened and dissection is performed until the sacrospinous ligament can be palpated. The posterior mesh has 2 arms that are placed in the middle part of the sacrospinous ligament, to suspend the apex of the vagina. If the vault prolapse is combined with an anterior prolapse, the mesh covering the anterior wall should be used. This type of mesh has 4 arms passing through the obturator foramen.

The first results are promising, with success rates for all types of prolapse was 80-95%. 28-30 The following complications have been described: haemorrhage (2%), bladder lesions (0.9%), rectum lesions (0.15%), perineal abscess (0.15%), vesicovaginal fistula (0.15%) and mesh erosion (4.7-6.3%).29,30

Abdominal procedures that suspend the apex

In abdominal sacrocolpopexy the vaginal vault is attached to the sacrum. In the original description of this operation, the vault was attached directly to the sacrum. 31 Later, different materials were interposed between the vagina and sacrum with various technical modifications. The best known abdominal procedure is the sacrocolpopexy according to Rust.32 This procedure involves placement of suture material into the ligamentous and periosteal fibrous connective
tissue in the midline of the anterior sacrum at the level of promontory or sacral segments 2–4. Haemorrhage from the presacral venous plexus (4.4%) and damaging of the hypogastric nerve plexus are possible complications. Fixation to sacral segments 2–4 is anatomically preferable, since fixation to the sacral promontory may result in a deviation of the vagina to an undesirable, almost vertical, axis, which can cause recurrent prolapse (figure 4). Stitches, tackers and bone anchors can be used to attach different kinds of mesh to the sacrum. Postoperative complications such as wound infection, ileus, sacral osteomyelitis and erosion of the mesh through the vagina are described. Erosion is associated with the type of mesh and with a combined vaginal-abdominal approach. Erosion can occur even years after surgery. Success rates of abdominal sacrocolpopexy are 87–100%. In 1994, Nezhat described the first laparoscopic sacral colpopexy at the S3–S4 level. Later reports describe fixation of the mesh to the sacral promontory and mention results comparable to classical abdominal sacrocolpopexy. Fixation of the mesh to the sacral promontory is technically easier than fixation to S3 or S4, but deviation of the vagina to an undesirable, almost vertical axis, enhances the risk of developing an enterocele. To facilitate the fixation to S3, a laparoscopic bone anchor inserter can be used (figure 5). This procedure requires even less dissection, which reduces the risk of injuries to the presacral venous and nervous plexus. Mesh related

---

**Laparoscopic procedures that suspend the apex**

In 1994, Nezhat described the first laparoscopic sacral colpopexy at the S3–S4 level. Later reports describe a fixation of the mesh to the sacral promontory and mention results comparable to classical abdominal sacrocolpopexy. Fixation of the mesh to the sacral promontory is technically easier than fixation to S3 or S4, but deviation of the vagina to an undesirable, almost vertical axis, enhances the risk of developing an enterocele. To facilitate the fixation to S3, a laparoscopic bone anchor inserter can be used (figure 5). This procedure requires even less dissection, which reduces the risk of injuries to the presacral venous and nervous plexus. Mesh related...
Complications are less likely to occur, since only a small amount of polypropylene mesh remains in a retroperitoneal position at the bottom of the pouch of Douglas.

Laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy has advantages over the open procedure, such as better visualization, access deep into the pelvis and a faster post-operative recovery. On the other hand it is a difficult technical procedure with a long learning curve.

**Conclusion**

With increasing life expectancy prolapse, and vault prolapse, will occur more often. Prolapse-related symptoms cause restrictions in physical, emotional and social functioning. There is a whole range of possible surgical procedures to treat vault prolapse. In the past the elderly patient with comorbidity was not operated on due to increased operation risks. New effective surgical techniques as Tensionfree Vaginal Mesh and laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy are less invasive, safer and offer optimal treatment for the elderly women.
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