Impact of Preoperative Rectal Misoprostol on Blood Loss during and after Elective Cesarean Delivery: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Aims: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of preoperative administration of rectal misoprostol on blood loss during and after elective cesarean delivery.

Methods: It was a randomized trial including 200 women, divided into two groups (group A and group B), who were planned for elective cesarean delivery and didn’t have risk of postpartum hemorrhage (PPH). Group A received 400μg misoprostol per-rectal preoperatively and intravenous infusion of oxytocin after delivery as hospital protocol. Group B received only intravenous infusion of oxytocin. Primary outcome measures were the estimated amount of intraoperative and postoperative (24 hours) blood loss and changes in hemoglobin levels 48 hours after delivery.

Results: Intraoperative and postoperative blood loss in rectal misoprostol and oxytocin group were significantly reduced in comparison to oxytocin only group. Mean blood loss in group A was 326.9±116.2 ml whereas in group B was 397.7±110.1 ml with p value of <0.001 which was significant. The difference between preoperative and postoperative hemoglobin level after 48 hours was also significant (1.10±0.51 vs 1.35±0.49 g/dl with p value <0.001).

Conclusions: Preoperative rectal misoprostol was found to be an effective measure to reduce the intraoperative and postoperative blood loss during elective cesarean delivery.
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INTRODUCTION

PPH is a major cause of morbidity and mortality during childbirth, especially in low and middle income countries. The incidence of cesarean delivery is increasing and its average blood loss is double the amount lost during vaginal delivery. The major cause of postpartum anemia is blood loss at delivery.

Although many obstetric units use intravenous bolus or infusion of oxytocin to prevent uterine atony and blood loss during and after C-section, 10%-40% of women receiving oxytocin require additional uterotonic agents. Misoprostol, a prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) analogue, has potent uterotonic action, is cheap and stable at room temperature, and has a few adverse effects. The objective of this study was to randomly compare the effectiveness of oral misoprostol with intravenous syntocinon on blood loss during elective cesarean sections under regional anesthesia.

Sixty pregnant women were randomized either to receive misoprostol 400 micrograms orally or syntocinon 10 IU intravenously during cesarean section. The primary outcome measure was intraoperative blood loss as estimated by physicians, and by values of preoperative and postoperative hemoglobin concentration and hematocrit.

Demographic characteristics of the subjects and outcomes were compared using chi-square test for categorical and two-sample t-test for continuous data. Baseline characteristics in terms of age, body weight, parity, gestational age and indications for cesarean section were similar in both groups. The estimated blood loss was 545 ml (CI 476-614) Misoprostol, a prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) analogue, has potent uterotonic action, is cheap and stable at room temperature, and has a few adverse effects.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of rectal administration of 400μg of misoprostol with oxytocin in preventing uterine atony and blood loss compared to intravenous infusion of oxytocin in elective cesarean deliveries.
METHODS:
It was a randomized control study conducted in Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, BP KIHS, Dharan, from 2012 to 2013 after approval from Institutional Ethical Review Board (IRB). A total of 200 women who were admitted for elective cesarean delivery with period of gestation ranging from 37 weeks to 42 weeks who did not have any risk factors for PPH were enrolled after informed consent. Patients having risk factors like polyhydramnios, uncontrolled Diabetes Mellitus, previous two or more cesarean deliveries, severe preeclampsia, multiple gestation, grand multipara, known coagulation disorder, cesarean delivery under general anesthesia, previous myomectomy, previous uterine rupture, abnormal placentation and sensitivity to misoprostol were excluded.

Sample size was calculated according to the study done by Milman N' with Confidence level of 95% and Power of study 80% and the sample size was 80 in each arm. 20% was added for various errors and it came 100 in each arm.

The patients were randomly allocated into two groups using computer generated random table. Women in group A received total preoperative dose of 400µg misoprostol administered as two tablets each 200µg, along with 20 IU of oxytocin in 500 ml of ringer lactate via infusion after delivery while women assigned to group B received only 20 IU of oxytocin after delivery. Misoprostol tablets were inserted rectally after giving spinal anesthesia and inserting Foley catheter.

C-section was performed under spinal anesthesia. Assessment of blood loss was started immediately after the uterine incision. Liquor and blood were collected by suction catheter separately. The volume of blood loss in C-section was assessed by the standard procedure. The tetras used during operation were weighed before and after surgery. One gram of weight difference was taken equivalent to one milliliter of blood. One fist full of clot was equivalent of 500 ml of blood. Total blood loss was then calculated. Additional oxytocics were used if required. Patients were followed up to 48 hours following delivery. Blood loss was measured up to 24 hours postoperatively, if any patient was found to have more bleeding. All the pads used were weighed before and after use. Total blood loss in 24 hours was calculated. PPH was considered when blood loss exceeded 1000 ml.

Then data were collected according to the preformat and entered into Excel 2013 and analyzed with SPSS version 21. The T tests, Mann-Whitney U test, chi square test were used to compare variables as appropriate. Results were reported as mean ± SD or number (percentage). The p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
There were a total of 12,900 deliveries during the study period. The total number of C-section was 3768 amongst which 365 were elective C-section. Among the elective C-section, two hundred patients meeting the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study and their demographic information is shown (Table 1). It was found that intraoperative and postoperative blood loss was significantly reduced with the use of preoperative per-rectal misoprostol along with oxytocin as compared to intravenous oxytocin only. Mean blood loss of 326.9±116.2 ml and 397.7±110.1 ml in group A and group B respectively was seen with p value of <0.001 (Table 2). The difference between preoperative and postoperative hemoglobin level after 48 hours of C-section was also significant (Table 3).

There were no losses to follow up and patients were not discharged till 48 hours postpartum.

In this study only one patient in control group had PPH and required blood transfusion along with additional oxytocics, and two patients developed fever in misoprostol group which were statistically not significant.

Table 1. Demographic and Obstetrics characteristics of the patient (n=200).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Group A (n=100)</th>
<th>Group B (n=100)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27.72±2.59</td>
<td>27.07±2.69</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity</td>
<td>2.2±0.5</td>
<td>2.1±0.6</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>38.9±0.9</td>
<td>38.9±0.9</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (kg)</td>
<td>3.2±0.3</td>
<td>3.2±0.3</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop Hb (gm/dl)</td>
<td>11.3±0.9</td>
<td>11.4±0.9</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Primary outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative</td>
<td>326.9±</td>
<td>397.7±</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean blood loss(ml)</td>
<td>116.2</td>
<td>110.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Differences between Preoperative and postoperative hemoglobin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (g/dl)</th>
<th>Group B (g/dl)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preop Hb</td>
<td>11.3±0.9</td>
<td>11.4±0.9</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop Hb</td>
<td>10.2±0.8</td>
<td>10.1±0.8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb difference</td>
<td>1.10±0.51</td>
<td>1.35±0.49</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The present study was carried out to reduce the need of rescue uterotonics and reduce blood loss. In countries where women have severe anemia during pregnancy because of nutritional and environmental factors, even a reduction of relatively smaller amount of blood loss could be relevant clinically.  

The proper management of PPH is an essential component of obstetric care and a necessary step to reduce worldwide maternal mortality. Misoprostol has been used for more than a decade for prophylaxis and control of PPH after vaginal birth but there is a lack of consensus about the optimum dose and the best route of administration. Nevertheless, misoprostol has several advantages over oxytocin, particularly with respect to cost, ease of administration (via several routes), adverse effect profile, and potency. Rectal administration of misoprostol has been used for prevention of PPH after vaginal delivery with encouraging results. Oxytocin is used routinely during delivery to prevent uterine atony and excessive uterine bleeding. Despite its effectiveness, 10–40% of women require additional doses of uterotonics to control uterine atony and bleeding. Rectally administered misoprostol is associated with slower absorption, lower peak levels, and reduced adverse effects when compared with the oral and sublingual routes, therefore, it was the preferred route of administration in the present study. Doses ranging from 200μg to 1000μg were previously used in the literature.

In this study, 400μg of misoprostol was administered rectally after catheter insertion. This approach was not only for convenience but also to give a few minutes for drug absorption and action to occur. Oxytocin was given to participants in both the groups because the objective of the present study was to test the additional value of misoprostol in further reducing blood loss rather than to directly compare the two drugs. The safety of giving misoprostol at a dose of 400μg while the fetus was still in utero was a key concern.

Pharmacokinetic studies done by Khan et al. found that rectal administration of 600μg of misoprostol was advantageous for routine management of the third stage of labor as compared to oral administration of 600μg because the area under the curve (integral of concentration and time graph) for rectal misoprostol was higher than that for oral misoprostol, resulting in a longer duration of action.

Rectal administration of misoprostol was investigated in a study by Lokugamage et al., who successfully treated seven cases of PPH after cesarean delivery with 800μg of rectal misoprostol. In the present study, no problems were encountered with rectal administration of misoprostol during the operation. Metallic taste in the mouth and nausea, the commonly reported problems after sublingual and oral administration, were avoided.

The result of present study showed significant reduction of intraoperative and postoperative blood loss in rectal misoprostol and oxytocin group in comparison to oxytocin only group. Mean blood loss in group A was 326.9±116.2 ml whereas in group B was 397.7±110.1 ml with p value of <0.001 which was significant.

These results were compared with Vimala et al., who reported a similar reduction in intraoperative blood loss after a sublingual administration of 400μg of misoprostol compared to intravenous oxytocin infusion (819 vs 974 mL, respectively; p=0.004), and studies by Zhao et al., who used 600μg of oral misoprostol and oxytocin infusion (212±56 vs 345±64.7 mL, respectively, p<0.01). There was a significant change in the mean hemoglobin concentration postoperatively in both the groups with p value of <0.001. The difference, not only in the dose, route, and time of administration of the intervention and control drugs, but also in the method of assessing blood loss may be responsible for different conclusions of these studies.

This study also showed that there was a significant hemoglobin difference between the two groups. Mean hemoglobin difference in group A was 1.10±0.51 g/dl whereas in group B was 1.35±0.49 g/dl with p value of <0.001.
Our study, along with the available literature on rectally administered misoprostol, illustrates that rectal misoprostol seems to be effective in reducing the likelihood of intrapartum and PPH after cesarean delivery at a dose of 400 micrograms when given preoperatively.

Our country is a developing country and many centers do not have facilities for proper storage of oxytocin. As for its efficacy, oxytocin needs to be stored at a temperature of two to eight degree Celsius, but many of our centers do not have refrigeration facilities. Hence, misoprostol seems to be a better option for our low resource settings. Misoprostol is cheaper as compared to oxytocin and its administration is much easier with no special training required to administer it. Also, it doesn’t require intramuscular administration like oxytocin and the results are similar to those of oxytocin use with an acceptable safety profile.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY
Exclusion of high risk cases for PPH was the limitation of the present study. Also blinding couldn’t be done due to lack of placebo.

CONCLUSIONS
This study showed that misoprostol used perrectally before Cesarean Section not only reduces the need for uterotonics but also reduces the intraoperative blood loss and change in hemoglobin level.
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Aims: This study was done to determine the incidence, modes of presentation and prognosis of molar pregnancy at B. P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences.

Methods: This was a prospective study done among patients with molar pregnancy admitted at BPKIHS from January 2010 to January 2011. The study was conducted after ethical clearance from Institutional Ethical Review Board of BPKIHS. Written informed consent was taken for enrollment in the study. Baseline information like age, address, race and patient’s presenting complaints, period of gestation and serial serum beta human chorionic gonadotropin (βhCG) were collected and analyzed after entering in excel sheet.

Results: Total 48 cases of molar pregnancy were diagnosed during the study period. The incidence of molar pregnancy was found to be 5.58 per 1000 deliveries. The most common mode of presentation was per vaginal bleeding i.e. in 64.58% of cases. Majority of the patients were in the age group of 20-34 years (62.5%). Mean time for normalization of βhCG after suction evacuation was 10.19 weeks.

Conclusions: Molar pregnancy is a pregnancy related problem which most commonly presents with per vaginal bleeding during first and second trimester. Most of the patients are treated with suction and evacuation but some develop persistent gestational trophoblastic disease.

Keywords: βhCG; molar pregnancy.

INTRODUCTION

Hydatidiform moles are abnormal conceptions with incidence of 1/500–1000 pregnancies. Complete moles are usually diploid and developmentally androgenic, demonstrating hydropic chorionic villi and trophoblastic hyperplasia. Partial moles are usually paternally derived triploid conceptions in which embryonic development occurs in association with trophoblastic hyperplasia.¹ Partial hydatidiform moles are characterized by chorionic villi of varying size with focal hydatidiform swelling, cavitations, trophoblastic hyperplasia, marked villous scalloping and prominent stromal trophoblastic inclusions. Complete hydatidiform moles exhibit characteristic swelling and trophoblastic hyperplasia and have propensity to malignancy.²

The classic presenting symptoms and findings of hydatidiform mole include vaginal bleeding, anemia, excessive uterine enlargement, hyperemesis gravidarum, hyperthyroidism, trophoblastic emboli and theca lutein cysts associated with remarkably elevated βhCG titres.³ ⁴

After evacuation it should be ensured that βhCG levels remain undetectable. If patients want to conceive, they are generally advised not to become pregnant again until after the first six months of follow up and are given reliable contraception. After spontaneous resolution, the patient is subsequently seen monthly for six months.⁵ ⁷

Most gestational trophoblastic neoplasia (GTN) cases are diagnosed clinically using hormonal evidence of persistent trophoblastic tissue. Tissue is infrequently available for pathologic diagnosis. So GTN is diagnosed on the basis of rising βhCG values or a persistent plateau of βhCG values for at least three weeks. ⁸ ⁹

Since BPKIHS is a tertiary care centre of Eastern Nepal, patients coming here represent the population of Eastern Nepal. The clinical profile of patients with molar pregnancy has not been studied in this area in past, this study was conducted to find out the the clinico-epidemiological profile of molar pregnancy in Eastern Nepal.

METHODS

This was a prospective study done among patients with molar pregnancy admitted at BPKIHS from January 2010 to January 2011. The study was