Effect of Adhesion Booster on Fluoride-releasing Composite: An in vitro Study
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the shear bond strength of stainless steel brackets bonded with fluoride-releasing composite resins, comparing effect of adhesion booster and conventional primer.

Materials & Method: Sixty extracted premolars were subjected to bracket bonding with fluoride-releasing composite resin; which were bonded by randomly divided into two groups of bonding agents: Group 1- conventional primer as control group, Group 2- adhesion booster. After bonding, the samples were thermocycled (500 cycles) at 5ºC and 55ºC temperatures. After 48 hours they were subjected to shear bond strength testing in occluso-gingival direction, using an MTS 810 Universal Testing Machine with load speed of 0.5 mm/min.

Result: Mean shear bond strength was significantly more in samples bonded with adhesion booster (14.792±3.805 Mpa) as compared to conventional primers (11.327±4.047 Mpa). There was statistically significant difference in shear bond strength between the groups (p=0.001).

Conclusion: The use of the adhesion booster significantly increased the bond strength of bracket bonded with fluoride-releasing composite.
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INTRODUCTION

Fixed orthodontic appliances predispose to the accumulation of plaque around the brackets and make it difficult to maintain the oral hygiene of a patient. The decalcification of enamel surface adjacent to the orthodontic bracket is a common problem during orthodontic treatment. Therefore many methods are being tried to reduce the risk of demineralization, which can be done by performing plaque control and fluoride application methods. However it has been found that the use of fluoride toothpaste did not prevent the risk of enamel decalcification around the brackets because it purely depends upon the dietary habits and daily routine followed by the patient.

Topical fluoride application reduces the bond strength and the fluoridated elastomeric ligatures are not effective in reducing the decalcification. Recently various advancements have been done in orthodontic adhesives for example: glass ionomer resins with continuous sustained release of fluoride at the bracket enamel interface resulting in the reduction of caries. Low bond strength associated with glass ionomer resins restrict their use in orthodontics to band cementation only.

Addition of inorganic fluorides into composite resin causes problems like phase separation and loss of mechanical integrity of the resin. The reason behind is highly polar nature of fluoride salts and low polarity of the resins. Organic fluoride incorporation has a plasticizing effect that also results in poor properties.

There have been many strategies to decrease the bond failure, such as: (1) adhesive materials, (2) innovative bracket base designs, (3) enamel etching procedures and (4) sandblasting techniques. A relatively new method to enhance the bond strength of orthodontic brackets is the use of adhesion boosters which was developed to reduce the bond failure rate. They incorporate hydrophilic monomers and other adhesion enhancers into the primer. The addition of hydrophilic monomers to adhesive systems facilitates resin infiltration into the etched enamel; this reduces the interfacial porosity and adhesive defects, hence resulting in better bond strength after polymerization.
The present study compared the effect of two different primers on bond strength; namely Group 1- conventional primer (Rely-a-Bond) as control group and Group 2- adhesion booster (Assure, Reliance) along with fluoride-releasing composite resins.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study was carried at the Dept of Orthodontics, RKDF Dental College after receiving ethical approval. Sixty extracted human premolars with intact buccal enamel without any hypoplastic spots, extracted for orthodontic treatment purpose were collected. These teeth were stored in distilled water which was changed periodically every 2 weeks until bonding was conducted. The material used for bonding was fluoride-releasing composite (Figure 1) using 37% Orthophosphoric acid (Figure 2).

The specimens were randomly divided into two equal groups for bonding:

Group 1: Brackets bonded with ‘Rely-a-bond’ conventional primer (n=30) (Figure 3)

Group 2: Brackets bonded with ‘Assure’ adhesion booster (n=30) (Figure 4)

In both the groups same fluoride-releasing composite was applied. Standard bonding protocol was followed. Immediately after bonding, the teeth were stored in distilled water at room temperature for 24 hours; next, thermocycling was performed for 500 cycles at 5°C and 55°C (Figure 5). After this, the specimens underwent shear bond strength tests (Figure 6). A mechanical Universal Testing Machine with a 1-kN load and at a speed of 0.5 mm/min was used to determine the maximum shear strength required to debond the brackets. The specimens were placed in the machine so that the chisel was as close as possible to the tooth/bracket base interface, allowing the force to be applied parallel to the bracket base. The force was recorded in Newton for each specimen and divided by the area of the bracket base to estimate the shear bond strength in megapascals (MPa).

The data were subjected to statistical analysis to determine the most effective material on shear bond strength. Descriptive statistics with mean and standard deviation were calculated and Student’s t-test were performed to find the statistical difference between the groups.
RESULT

The mean shear bond strength was significantly higher in samples bonded with Assure adhesion booster (Group 2) as compared to samples bonded with Rely-a-bond conventional primer (Group 1). It was 14.792±3.805 Mpa for Group 2 samples and 11.327±4.047 Mpa for the controls. There was statistically significant difference in shear bond strength between the groups \( (p=0.001) \) (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

It has been seen that demineralization of enamel during orthodontic treatment can occur within 4 weeks of treatment.\[16,17\] So for this we used fluoride-releasing composite resin which reduce decalcification of the brackets.\[10,11\] Addition of fluoride in the composite resin affects the bond strength.\[8,18\] Reynolds and Greenlaw \textit{et al} have suggested that bond strengths of 5.9-9.7 MPa are appropriate for clinical treatment in orthodontics.\[19,20\] However, the minimum in vitro bond strength required for reliable orthodontic bonding remains unknown. The mean bond strength obtained in vitro in the two group in this study ranged from 11.33-14.79 MPa, values that would be appropriate for orthodontic treatment according to several authors.\[19,20\]

A study was conducted by Egan \textit{et al} using human premolars to evaluate the efficacy of Enhance adhesion booster when used together with a conditioner that contained methyl methacrylate (Plastic Conditioner, Reliance Products Inc.) for direct bracket bonding. They concluded that the use of those products did not increase the bond strength of rebonded brackets.\[21\] In the present study, when adhesion booster was used there was a significant increase in shear bond strength.

Another study conducted by Schaneveldt and Nemeth \textit{et al} in which they have evaluated the effect of adhesion booster on direct bracket bonding in cases of saliva or blood contamination and found that Assure bonding resin produced adequate bond strength under dry and humid conditions.\[22,23\] The present study was done using fluoride containing composite under dry conditions and the results of the study indicated that the adhesion booster is capable of increasing the shear bond strength.

O’Brien \textit{et al} found that the location of bond failure is affected by the type of adhesive material used.\[24\] Adhesion booster is a hydrophilic primer composed of biphenyl dimethacrylate and hydroxyethyl methacrylate, which is indicated to increase adhesion to enamel, dentin, metal, and resin surfaces. In the present study conventional primer did not receive any booster in bonding while adhesion booster received universal bonding resin. Shear bond strength was found highest in adhesion booster.

Several factors may affect bracket bonding in patients. These factors are difficult to reproduce in the laboratory, and this in vitro study therefore cannot be understood as a comprehensive representation of clinical cases. In the oral cavity, bonded brackets are exposed to variations in humidity and temperature. These variables may lead to stress on the adhesive and on the brackets, which may affect bond strength.\[25\] Therefore, thermocycling was used to produce a better simulation of the intraoral conditions.

CONCLUSION

In vitro shear bond strength was acceptable in both control group bonded with conventional primer and experimental group bonded with adhesion booster (Assure). The use of the adhesion booster significantly increased the bond strength of bracket bonded with fluoride-releasing composite. Hence, the use of adhesion booster in orthodontics can certainly improve the treatment quality and save operator’s time in rebonding the bracket.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Shear Bond Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.327</td>
<td>4.047</td>
<td>5.10-18.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14.792</td>
<td>3.805</td>
<td>8.40-20.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at \( p<0.01 \)
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