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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to compare the duration of orthodontic treatment among Nepalese adolescent and adult orthodontic patients. A total of 134 adolescent patients and 46 adult patients who have completed the orthodontic treatment from The Orthodontic Center (TOC) were randomly selected. All patients were treated with straight wire brackets. Transferred patients, impacted canine, two-phase treated patients and previous orthodontic treated patients were excluded. The result of the study found that the average duration of treatment for adolescent was 30.38 months while that for the adult was 28.83 months. The independent sample t test revealed that there is no clinical significant difference in adolescent and adult patients in duration of orthodontic treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

There has been a substantial increase in the proportion of adult patients in orthodontic practice over the last several years¹⁻³. According to Thomas M Graber and Rober L. Vandsardall the percentage of adult patients who have received orthodontic treatment has increased significantly from 3% in 1970’s to 25 % in 1990’s in US.⁴

In Nepal fixed orthodontic treatment was started around 15-20 years back. At that time the treatment was limited to adolescent patients. Due to increasing awareness, economy, availability of orthodontic service and newer technique; Nepalese adult orthodontic patients are increasing day by day. It may also be due to the fact that wearing the braces by an adult is getting more socially acceptable than previously which has made easier for adults to seek orthodontic treatment.⁵

Treatment duration is affected by several variables, such as age (adolescent/adults), sex, extraction/non-extraction modality,⁶ missing appointments, breakage of appliance, patient co-operation in wearing of elastic, bite plate etc, Angle’s classification of malocclusion, number of dental arch treated, number of treatment phases etc. Most of the authors believed that the duration of orthodontic treatment mainly depends on patients’ co-operation.⁷⁻⁸ There is a clinical myth that adult treatment is more difficult, less effective, and takes longer time than the traditional adolescent treatment⁹⁻¹³

The purpose of this study is to compare the duration of orthodontic treatment among Nepalese adolescent and adult orthodontic patients and to evaluate how the adolescent versus adult age factor affects the duration of orthodontic treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Biologically an adult is defined as a person who has ceased to grow physiologically which happens by the age of 18 years of age. Concomitantly, 18 years is considered as an adult by census where a person can cast the vote and can take a driving license in Nepal. For this reason; we have categorized adolescence as 11 years to 17 years and adults as 18 years and above.

The inclusion criteria set for the study were the age of the subjects with minimum of 11 years and above, presence of all permanent teeth except third molars and patients with no previous history of orthodontic treatment. The exclusive criteria were patients with impacted canine, incomplete case
record, two-phase treatment case, transferred cases, multiple missing appointment more than three times or patients who did not turn up since three months.

Out of 200 randomly chosen orthodontic cases of The Orthodontic Center (TOC); 20 cases were discarded because of the exclusion criteria. Thus a total of 180 cases were selected; out of which 134 were adolescent with mean age 13.61 years and 46 were adult with the mean age 23.07 years. Table I describes the description of the sample based on adolescent and adult age group.

The start of treatment was defined as the date on which first arch wire placement was done and debonding as the date on which fixed appliances were completely removed. All the duration is converted to nearest of months with decimals.

Straight Wire Technique: All subjects were treated with Straight Wire mechanics. The entire appliance had Roth Prescription of tip and torque. All slots were 0.018 × 0.025 inch and were placed at right angles to the long axes of the teeth. Both round and rectangular arch wires were used. T loops, L loops, Key hole loops, elastics, headgear, were the routinely used.

Statistical Analysis: All data were fed into SPSS software 13th version. The independent sample t-test was performed. The t test was used to compare the difference between adolescent and adults. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Minimum age</th>
<th>Maximum age</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>S.D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23.07</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS**

The result of the study reveals that the mean duration of orthodontic treatment for Nepalese adolescent patient is 30.38 months while that for adult is 28.83 months. The most relevant data of this study is presented in Table II and Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Minimum duration</th>
<th>Maximum duration</th>
<th>Mean duration</th>
<th>S.D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>30.38</td>
<td>8.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>28.83</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table III. Statistical test of duration of Orthodontic treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(NS - Not Significant)

**DISCUSSION**

The studies on histological changes during orthodontic tooth movement have revealed a two-week delay in adults to reach a state of cellular proliferation.14 This fact has been mentioned by many authors to explain why, in their opinion, adult treatment is less effective and takes longer duration.10,15,17 But in our study there is no clinically significant difference in duration of orthodontic treatment between adolescents (30.38 months) and adults (28.83 months). In fact the present finding is exactly opposite to the previous literature which showed that the adult patients took slightly less (1.5 months) duration than the adolescent patients. In Nepalese context, this may be due to the fact that food habit of Nepalese is very fibrous and course as compared to the western society and especially the adolescent patient will not resist to such food than the adult patients, resulting in recurrent bond failure and eventually longer duration of orthodontic treatment. Additionally, adult patients are more compliant and much cooperative in wearing elastic, bite plate etc; they arrive to the clinic on time and do not generally miss appointments.
Dyer et al\(^8\) have clearly quoted that the adult treatment does not necessarily equate to a longer duration of treatment. He found the treatment duration of adolescent and adult were 2.46 years and 2.56 years respectively. Similarly, Stuait et al\(^9\) also found no statistically significant difference between adolescent and adult treatment effectiveness and duration. It may be a clinical fact that there is no difference between adolescent and adult treatment duration as adult patients are generally more compliant, motivated and follow prescribed mechanotherapy very well.\(^{20}\) According to Alexander\(^{21}\) adult patients are more cooperative also maintain oral hygiene properly which causes teeth to move faster in healthy periodontium.

Thus it is important to note that the two-week delay in adults to reach a state of cellular proliferation is probably of no clinical importance over a 29 month long period of orthodontic treatment.

**CONCLUSION**

The average duration of orthodontic treatment for Nepalese adolescent patient is 30.38 months while that for the adult is 28.83 months. The independent sample t test revealed that there is no statistically significant difference in adolescent and adult in duration of orthodontic treatment.
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