The demand for health care has been on the rise worldwide, and Nepal is no exception. With the growing population, aging demographic, demand for good health, changing lifestyles and improvements in technologies and interventions, Nepal is experiencing severe demand on its limited health resources. Going back just a few decades, the treatment choices for doctors were limited and patients did as they were told. Any values that contributed to the decision making process were implicit and determined by the doctors. However, the steady rise in demand has put a strain on resources that haven’t itself undergone the same growth (Figure 1).
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While overall access to healthcare for an average Nepali has seen significant improvement in the last three decades, sustainability of such performance will require urgent new development and innovative ways of working between public and private health sector in Nepal. The importance of economics is that it tries to provide insightful information into how health care can be financed and organised so that benefits from the available resources can be maximised.

Health care financing plays an important role in transforming the health care system of a country. The functions of health care financing—the collection of revenue, risk pooling and purchasing—are also critical for health policy designs. Nepalese health sector expenditure has been erratic to say the least. While the commitments of the government as a share of GDP reflect a steady growth, the trend almost reverses when accounting for wastages in the mobilization of resources, inflation in economy and more importantly, irregularity in financing mechanism.

Nepal’s total health budget is made up of three broad sources: international aid (grants or loans from foreign funding agencies), public sector (funds raised through tax revenues and social contributions) and private sector (expenditures financed from out-of-pocket and private investments); each experiencing unpredictable behaviour in financing.

First, while historically international donor support made up almost half of the total health budget for Nepal, the last decade has seen a significant reduction in such foreign aid, with trends showing a dip down to just 5-7% of total health expenditure for 2008-2010, blaming Nepal’s inadequacy in financial management as the reason behind it. Furthermore, such foreign aids come with its own set of influence on our health policy. In the past, largest share of donor support was used in the area of preventive services and made up for the bulk of prophylactic initiatives within our health policy, but this pattern seems to have shifted to other service facility now. These could lead to detrimental consequence in the future of Nepal if not adjusted for immediately.

Second, while the government consistently increased the health sector’s budget as a share of national budget, its own contribution has been on a steady decline in recent years. The collection through taxes and non-tax revenues support its various scheme for health policy implementaion. The public health sector remains plagued with poor infrastructure, insufficient budget, poor retention of its human resources in rural areas, inadequate supply of drugs, difficulty in management and accounting systems and much more. While in 1990s, public hospitals accounted for close to 70 percent of the total hospital beds and employed most of the doctors in Nepal, today, now private healthcare centres represent 80 percent of the total available hospital beds and employs over 60 percent of the total doctors in the country. The problem of public healthcare resources not managing to grow at a desired rate poses and important challenge considering 80 percent of the health care utility still falls as a burden on public sector.

Third, propelled by the vast gap in the required versus available resources, notion of poor quality of care in public sector and overall long waiting time for services; private healthcare has...
In a new vision of health system functioning, it tries to portray the need for healthcare professionals to understand how our systems are constrained. And how as primary decision makers within the system, healthcare professionals with the help of health economics have the ability to take decisions in the best interest of their patients while making efficient use of limited resources.

It is our responsibility as healthcare professionals to combine medical expertise with economic proficiency to help actualise the overall vision of our health systems of delivering equitable, high-quality, safe, efficient, accessible and cost-effective service. While doctors and healthcare professionals might have a misunderstanding of what economics really has to do with their profession, and can argue that it has no place in surgery, consulting room or laboratory; what matters is not that, but the settings leading up to it. In each instance of patient care, resources are being utilized and a whole production system is under way, all with a goal of benefiting a consumer. This requires the use of limited resources to produce goods and services that patients require. How such resources are themselves produced, who chooses what is produced with them, what are the costs involved and who pays for them; all playing the ultimate role of setting up everything before a medical professional even encounters the patient.

So although the emphasis on financing of health in Nepal highlighted here is a small context in the grand scheme of health system functioning, it tries to portray the need for healthcare professionals to understand how our systems are constrained. And how as primary decision makers within the system, healthcare professionals with the help of health economics have the ability to take decisions in the best interest of their patients while making efficient use of limited resources. It is our responsibility as healthcare professionals to combine medical expertise with economic proficiency to help actualise the overall vision of our health systems of delivering equitable, high-quality, safe, efficient, accessible and cost-effective service.
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