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ABSTRACT

Introduction
Delirium is under diagnosed especially in ICU. In a study done in the Netherlands investigators found not only ICU nurses but also intensivists had difficulty in diagnosing delirium. With the impact that delirium has on patients' health, its monitoring and detection should be considered an integral part of patient care in critical care medicine. Knowledge and attitude are one of the primary unmet needs regarding early detection and treatment of delirium. Since nurses are the first line assessors in any ward we would like to carry out this cross-sectional survey on a group of nurses.

Objective
To assess the nurses' knowledge about delirium & to compare the knowledge between those that have worked in ICU or critical care to those who haven’t.

Methodology
This was a cross-sectional survey carried out at Birat medical college and teaching hospital. Here all nurses were requested to fill a questionnaire that consisted of 15 questions. A time-frame of 1 week was taken to gather the data.

Result
We collected data from 124 nurses, who had varying educational degrees, Eighty-four were currently working in an ICU and 32.5% of those not working had previously worked in an ICU. Overall knowledge level for nurses was poor where 94 of 124 nurses had less than 50% scores. About 27% of those who had worked in an ICU setting had good knowledge compared to 17.5% of those who had not.

Conclusion
Training regarding delirium is required to increase identification and adequate management to decrease morbidity due to delirium.
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INTRODUCTION

Delirium is an acute brain dysfunction characterized by cognitive impairment, that is fluctuating, and disturbance in consciousness. It has many etiologies, all of which result in a similar pattern of symptoms and signs, which are related to cognition and consciousness. Usually delirium has a rapid onset and a brief and fluctuating course, with rapid improvement on removal of causative factor. It is a common condition and affects about 15% of patients in general medical and surgical wards. Though the incidence of delirium is up to 40% to 60% in the ICU it is an under diagnosed condition in intensive care unit or critical care unit. Lack of awareness about the need to diagnose and treat delirium is probably the major factor. Delirium causes burden to the hospital and society. It increases hospital mortality by three folds, while also increasing length of stay in the ICU and consequently increases hospital stay. Association between delirium and early deaths, functional disability, admission to residential care, and increased burden to caregivers have also been seen. With delirium having such a huge impact on patients there is a budding conscience that it is a public health problem. Data have shown that even though most health care workers deem delirium as an important health issue, few actually monitor it, are able to detect it and hence it remains largely under diagnosed.

With the impact that delirium has on patients' health, its monitoring and detection should be considered as an integral part of patient care in critical care medicine, where the prevalence of delirium is highest. There should be guidelines in place in all ICU's around the country to monitor delirium. But for implementation of such guidelines and hence its success, it is important to know the views of healthcare workers about delirium. Knowledge and attitude are one of the primary unmet needs regarding early detection and treatment of delirium. Since nurses are the first line assessors in any ward we would like to carry out this cross-sectional survey on a group of nurses.

In a study done in the Netherlands investigators found not only ICU nurses but also intensivists had difficulty in diagnosing delirium. Without help of reliable, validated diagnostic tool only 35% of ICU delirium were recognized by nurses. A Swedish nation-wide survey of ICU head-nurses of the 58 respondents only one reported using a validated delirium screening tool and almost half reported using clinical judgement to diagnose delirium. The study also concluded that awareness of delirium is low and most centers lacked use of validated tools for delirium screening. Another survey carried out amongst 912 health professional about their opinions of delirium observed though most of them considered delirium to be a common and serious problem that was under diagnosed, few actually took steps to monitor the problem. In comparison to altered level of consciousness, presence of pain, or improper placement of an invasive device only 3% of 331 nurses from 16 different intensive care units ranked delirium as most important condition to evaluate. A simple educational intervention can improve the capacity of ICU nurses in detecting delirium early. Hence through this study we wished to assess the nurses' knowledge about delirium and additional to compare the knowledge between those that have worked in ICU or critical compared to those who haven't.

METHODOLOGY

This was a cross-sectional survey carried out at Birat Medical College and Teaching Hospital. A total of 200 nurses who worked at Birat Medical College and Teaching Hospital, were requested to fill a questionnaire that consisted of 15 questions. The questionnaire was developed by the authors following an iterative process of item generation, construction and refinement. Sample size was calculated assuming views of the nurses comes true as 50%. Using the formula \( n = \frac{(Z^2 \times p \times q)}{d^2} \), where \( d \) was considered as absolute difference, and considering for 20% non-response we calculated a sample size of 116. A time-frame of 1 week was taken to gather the data, during which those that responded were included in the study. Statistical analysis was using SPSS v.22.

RESULTS

We collected data from 124 nurses, who had varying educational degrees, rest did not want to participate in the study. There was only one participant who had completed Masters in Science (Nursing) and most (72%) of the participants had a degree in certificate level nursing, the rest (27%) had received a bachelor's degree in nursing. The mean age of participants was 23.96±4.22 years, with minimum age being 19 years and maximum 50 years. Almost 64% (79) of the participants were between 21-25 years of age, 23 (18.5%) between 26-30 years, 17 (13.7%) were 20 years or less and 5 (4%) were 31 years or more. Among the 124 nurses about 89% had been working since 1-5 years, minimum duration of work experience being 1 month and maximum 20 years with a mean duration of 2.61±3.2 years.

Eighty-four (67.7%) participants said that they currently worked in an intensive care unit (ICU) or critical care unit as compared to the 40 that did not. Of those who were not presently working in an ICU or critical care, 32.5% reported that they had previously worked in such a setting. More than 74% of the participants said that they had not received any specific training on delirium and only 43% participants said that delirium was regularly screened at their centre 31% said it was not screened for and 25% did not know whether delirium was screened at their place of work. Of the 84 participants who were presently working at a ICU or critical care setting 2 did not answer the question, about 54% said it was screened for, 33% said it wasn’t screened for and 11% said they did not know whether or not patients were screened for delirium. Amongst these 84 participants only 22.6% said they had any training or education regarding delirium.

Regarding opinion about delirium, more than 51% believed it was under diagnosed, 40% thought it was adequately diagnosed and about 9% did not respond. Similarly, results for other questions are stated in Table 1.
Table 4: Distribution of knowledge level of those presently working or not in ICU or critical care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Working in ICU</th>
<th>Knowledge Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

In our study 124 nurses participated, most of whom were about 24 years of age, mostly were educated up to certificate level nursing with an average working experience of 2.6 years. Of the 124 participants 68% were currently working in at a ICU or critical care unit, and of those who were not presently working at such a unit, about 32% had previously worked at such a setting. More than 74% did not receive any training in delirium, and there was no mechanism for screening of delirium at work places of 32% of the participants and 25% said they were not aware whether it was screened for. Even though the society of critical care medicine sedation and analgesia guidelines considers assessment of delirium in the ICU an integral part
of adult patient monitoring. Findings are in agreement with a web-based American survey among 331 nurses, where only 40% stated that even though their units had a sedation protocol it did not specify that delirium should be assessed or they were not sure if the protocol did specify it. In this study the mean age of the respondents was 40.2 years with an average working experience of 13.6 years. Even with a very low mean age of participants and a much lesser duration of experience among participants in our study this finding was comparable. Another American survey, and an Australian study also had similar findings. Reports from a Swedish survey stated that 62% of the 82 ICUs used a method for observation of delirium. The Swedish study questionnaire was completed by ICU head nurses, among them 54% said delirium was screened for in their workplace. One meta-analysis showed in an intensive care unit nearly a third of patients developed delirium and these patients had longer stays in hospital and were at increased risk of death during admission and cognitive impairment following discharge. Even with these risks delirium is severely under-diagnosed, especially in the ICU. Without the help of validated, reliable and easy-to-use diagnostic instruments both ICU nurses as well as intensivists have difficulty in diagnosing presence of delirium. Almost two-thirds of participants in this study said they did not have any training in screening for delirium. A short composite and simple educational intervention in nurses, was seen to improve the capacity of ICU nurses to detect delirium. Delirium is under-diagnosed but only 51% of the participants agreed to this and 40% believed it was adequately diagnosed, this is in contrast to a study done in Ireland where more than 93% acknowledged that delirium was an under-diagnosed syndrome. This discrepancy could be because the Irish study was among intensive care nurses' and 84% said they had sedation protocols but the protocols did not assess for delirium. This indicates that exposure to more cases of delirium can increase knowledge regarding it. The top three risk factors for delirium were chronic alcohol use, pre-existing dementia, and benzodiazepine use. Dementia and alcohol use was thought to be major contributing factors for risk of delirium by participants of other studies as well. Even though almost two-thirds of participants correctly identified cognitive disturbances and impaired consciousness and attention as symptoms of delirium less than one-third identified the two symptoms. At the same time more than 75% had poor knowledge about delirium. This indicates there is a lack of education regarding delirium despite a growing recognition that it is a major problem in hospitals and especially in ICU. Less than half of the participants said that delirium was screened at their workplace even though this is more than the 16% stated in another study, there was a severe lack of knowledge among our participants about delirium. Although delirium was believed to cause many negative outcomes in patients such as increased mortality, cognitive impairment, delayed weaning from ventilator and increased time spent in ICU, only 4% had thought it was important to monitor for delirium. The notion that delirium is difficult to monitor in the ICU because patients remain sedated most of the time, seems to be oversimplified. Using delirium specific assessment tools ICU health-workers, who encounter most cases of delirium can diagnose presence of delirium and hence monitor for progress with great ease.

CONCLUSION

Delirium is an under-diagnosed condition, even though it is a major cause for mortality and increased morbidity especially among ICU patients. Knowledge about delirium was found to be low in our study. From various studies, including ours we see that there is a need for increasing education and training about delirium.

RECOMMENDATIONS

We have seen from our study, there is no protocol for screening of delirium at the centre where our participants work. This causes, as also believed by the participants, under-diagnosis. A common speculation of the past that patients in ICU remain sedated mostly appears to be a major hindrance for adequate assessment of delirium. These problems could be overcome by providing training to assess for delirium by usage of standardized screening tools. Setting up evidence based protocols to assess for delirium with the help of standardized screening tools could be beneficial for health-workers as well as for patients.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

As with majority of studies this study is also subject to limitations. This study was carried out in a single centre, and opinion was gathered via a subjective questionnaire that was not validated prior to conducting the study, among nurses selected through purposive sampling. Incorporating, opinions from nurses working in different hospitals at different cities of Nepal and randomly selecting the locations would have been better. Also using a validated questionnaire and conducting the study amongst not just nurses but other health-care workers would give us a better picture of the status of knowledge of delirium among health professionals around the country. This would in turn help us design better studies to help us create evidence based protocols for assessment of delirium in our patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the management of Birat Medical College & Teaching Hospital for allowing us to conduct this study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None
REFERENCES


9. Glynn L, Corry M. Intensive care nurses’ opinions and current practice in relation to delirium in the intensive care setting. Intensive and Critical Care Nursing. 2015 Oct 1;31(5):269-75. DOI: 10.1016/ j.iccn.2015.05.001

Questionnaire
Age__________
Education level___________.
How many years have you been working for? ____________