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INTRODUCTION

Neonatal sepsis still remains serious and potentially life-threatening events with a mortality rate of up to 50% in very premature infants. Efforts were made to improve laboratory sepsis diagnosis. C-reactive protein (CRP) is the most extensively studied acute phase reactant so far. Very few studies have been done to see the variation of serial measurement of CRP titer.

OBJECTIVES

To find out the level of CRP titer at 0 hour and at 72 hours among neonates with suspected sepsis at the neonatal intensive care unit of Birat Medical College Teaching Hospital.

METHODOLOGY

It was a cross-sectional descriptive study from 26 March 2021 to 25 July 2021, to see serial CRP titer among the sepsis suspected neonates at the Neonatal intensive care unit of Birat Medical College Teaching Hospital. A Total of 95 cases of neonates suspected sepsis were enrolled and their serial CRP titer at 0 hour and 72 hours were studied. The data was entered into Microsoft office excel and analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS 20.0)

RESULTS

There was no significant association of gender, birth weight, mode of delivery and gestational age with an increase of CRP at 0 to 72 hours after birth. Among the enrolled neonates 34 had positive blood culture while 61 had blood culture which was sterile. It showed that, 70.6% who had blood culture positive had increased CRP level at 0 to 72 hours whereas only 29.4% had not no increment in CRP despite positive blood culture.

CONCLUSIONS

CRP titer increment at 72 hours after the first one correlated better with culture proven sepsis in comparison to CRP titer increment at 0 hours after birth. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values as calculated in this study are not high enough to make it a good screening test. The test is not specific enough to rely upon as the sole indicator. The clinical judgment along with other hematological parameters and diagnostic markers along with serial CRP should be considered in evaluating a neonate for sepsis.
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INTRODUCTION

During the last decades advances in neonatal intensive care have led to an impressive decrease of neonatal mortality and morbidity. However, infectious episodes in the early postnatal period still remain serious and potentially life-threatening events with a mortality rate of up to 50% in very premature infants. The signs and symptoms of neonatal sepsis are clinically indistinguishable from various noninfectious conditions such as respiratory distress or maladaptation. Therefore, rapid diagnosis is crucial for preventing the child from an adverse outcome. The current practice of starting empirical antibiotic therapy in all neonates showing infection-like symptoms results in their exposure to adverse drug effects, nosocomial complications, and in the emergence of resistant strains.

Laboratory sepsis markers represent a helpful tool in the evaluation of a child with clinical signs and complement the evaluation of a neonate with a potential infection. The tests used include the white blood cell count (WBC) and assays for markers of inflammatory reaction in serum, such as, C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and procalcitonin. During the last decades efforts were made to improve laboratory sepsis diagnosis and a variety of the markers and more were studied with different success. Despite the promising results for some of them, current evidence suggests that none of them can consistently diagnose 100% of infected cases. C-reactive protein (CRP) is the most extensively studied acute phase reactant so far and despite the ongoing rise (and fall) of new reactant so far and despite the ongoing rise (and fall) of new

METHODOLOGY

It was a cross-sectional descriptive study from 26 March 2021 to 25 July 2021, to see variation in CRP titre with blood culture among the neonates suspected of sepsis at the Neonatal intensive care unit of Birat Medical College Teaching Hospital. We also aimed to assess the differences in level of CRP titre among sepsis suspected neonates at admission and after 72 hours with blood culture proven sepsis.
Data collection was done using a specifically designed questionnaire. All the data were entered in excel sheets and converted into SPSS version 20. The descriptive and inferential statistics were used for data analysis. The test of significance was done by the chi-square test. The sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value and positive predictive value of CRP to diagnose neonatal sepsis at 0 hours and at 72 hours were calculated separately taking blood culture as standard. Sensitivity was calculated dividing true positive by summation of true positive and false negative. Specificity was calculated dividing true negative by summation of false positive and true negative. Positive predictive value calculated dividing true positive by summation of true positive and false positive and negative predictive value dividing true negative by summation of true negative and false negative. The accuracy of a test represents the proportion of true positive results identified by a test in the selected population. Accuracy of CRP in diagnosing neonatal sepsis was calculated as Accuracy = (sensitivity) (prevalence) + (specificity) (1-Prevalence). A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULT

This study included 95 neonates who met the inclusion criteria. Among them 74(77.9%) were male and 21(22.1%) were female. 20(21.1%) were of <37 weeks gestation and 75(78.9%) were of >37 weeks gestation. Among 95 neonates 31(33.7%) were of birth weight <2.5kg, 59(62.1%) were of normal birth weight (2.5 -3.9 Kg) and 4(4.2%) were of birth weight (>3.9kg). Among the study population 40 (42.1%) were delivered by SVD, 52 (54.7%) were delivered by LSCS and 3 (3.2%) by SVD and instrument assisted (Table 1). There was no significant association of gender, birth weight, mode of delivery and gestational age of the newborn with an increase of CRP at 0 to 72 hours.

| Table 1: Association between 0 hours to 72 hours CRP increment and selected variables: |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variables | Category | Increase CRP 0 hours to 72 hours of birth (n, %) | Total | p value |
| Gender | Male | 32 (43.2) | 42 (56.8) | 74 (77.9) | 0.722 |
| | Female | 10 (47.6) | 15 (57.4) | 25 (21.1) | |
| Gestational age in weeks | <37 | 9 (45.0) | 11 (55.0) | 20 (21.1) | 0.936 |
| | >37 | 33 (44.0) | 42 (56.0) | 75 (78.0) | |
| Birth weight of New born in Kg | < 2.5 kg (LBW) | 9 (39.1) | 14 (60.9) | 23 (24.2) | 0.573 |
| | 2.5 kg – 3.9 kg (Normal) | 26 (44.1) | 33 (55.9) | 59 (62.1) | 0.971 |
| | < 1.5 kg (VLBW) | 4 (50.0) | 4 (50.0) | 8 (8.4) | 0.730 |
| | < 1 kg (Incredible BW) | 1 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.1) | 0.258 |
| | ≥ 3.9 kg | 2 (50.0) | 2 (50.0) | 4 (4.2) | 0.812 |
| Mode of Delivery | Normal (SVD) | 19 (47.5) | 21 (52.5) | 40 (42.1) | 0.582 |
| | LSCS | 21 (40.4) | 31 (59.6) | 52 (54.7) | 0.409 |
| | SVD and instrument assisted | 2 (66.7) | 1 (33.3) | 3 (3.2) | 0.426 |

Statically not significant

Similarly, Table 2 shows different reasons for which LSCS was the preferred mode of delivery among the included neonates. There was no significant difference in level of CRP titer at 0 hours to 72 hours after birth according to mode of delivery among the study population.

| Table 2: Association between 0 hours to 72 hours CRP increment and reason for LSCS: |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Reason for LSCS | Increase CRP 0 hours to 72 hours of birth (n, %) | Total | p values |
| Previous LSCS | 5 (41.7) | 7 (58.3) | 12 (23.1) | 0.918 |
| Failed Induction | 2 (25.0) | 6 (75.5) | 8 (15.4) | 0.335 |
| Failed Vacuum Extraction | 0 (0.0) | 1 (100.0) | 1 (1.9) | 0.804 |
| Meconium-stained Liquor | 1 (25.0) | 3 (75.0) | 4 (7.7) | 0.514 |
| Fetal distress | 5 (38.5) | 8 (61.5) | 13 (25.0) | 0.870 |
| Eclampsia | 0 (0.0) | 1 (100.0) | 1 (1.9) | 0.804 |
| Cephalo pelvic disproportion | 2 (50.0) | 2 (50.0) | 4 (7.7) | 0.683 |
| Breech presentation | 1 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.9) | 0.777 |
| severe oligohydramnios | 2 (66.7) | 1 (33.3) | 3 (5.8) | 0.339 |
| Pregnancy induced Hypertension (PIH) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (100.0) | 1 (1.9) | 0.804 |
| Cesarean on maternal request | 1 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.9) | 0.777 |
| Placenta previa with antepartum hemorrhage | 1 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.9) | 0.804 |
| Obstetrics cholestasis | 0 (0.0) | 1 (100.0) | 1 (1.9) | 0.777 |
| Antepartum hemorrhage | 1 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.9) | 0.777 |

Statically not significant

Table 3 shows different types of neonatal sepsis and various underlying causes for which sepsis was suspected among the study population. Out of which 84 (88.4%) were neonates with EONS and 11(11.6%) were neonates suspected of LONS. There was no significant association of different types of sepsis and the various underlying causes for sepsis suspicion with increment of CRP at 0 hours to 72 hours.

Among the study population 66 (69.5%) did not have any adverse perinatal events while 29(31.5%) had some adverse perinatal events (Table 4). There was no significant association of adverse perinatal events on increment of CRP at 0 hours to 72 hours after birth.
Table 3: Association between at 0 hours to 72 hours CRP increment with types of sepsis and other diagnosis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Increase CRP 0 hours to 72 hours of birth (n, %)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early onset</td>
<td>37 (44.6)</td>
<td>47 (56.0)</td>
<td>84 (88.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late onset</td>
<td>5 (45.5)</td>
<td>6 (54.5)</td>
<td>11 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other risk factor for sepsis suspicion

Birth asphyxia with prematurity with LBW with respiratory distress
1 (20.0) 4 (80.0) 5 (5.3) 0.263

Congenital pneumonia
5 (29.4) 12 (70.6) 17 (17.9) 0.175

Birth asphyxia
5 (41.7) 7 (58.3) 12 (12.6) 0.849

Prematurity with VLBW
3 (50.0) 3 (50.0) 6 (6.3) 0.768

Prematurity with LBW
8 (47.1) 9 (52.9) 17 (17.9) 0.794

Birth asphyxia with meconium aspiration syndrome
7 (43.8) 9 (56.2) 16 (16.8) 0.968

Respiratory distress
3 (50.0) 3 (50.0) 6 (6.3) 0.768

Exaggerated neonatal jaundice
1 (25.0) 3 (75.0) 4 (4.2) 0.429

PROM and PV eaking
5 (83.3) 1 (16.7) 6 (6.3) 0.084

Acute Gastroenteritis
0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) 1 (1.1) 0.868

Neonatal pnulitis
1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.1) 0.371

Meningitis
2 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 2 (2.1) 0.259

Fever
2 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 2 (2.1) 0.259

Table 4: Association between 0 hours to 72 hours CRP increment with adverse perinatal event and its types:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Increase CRP 0 hours to 72 hours of birth (n, %)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any adverse perinatal events</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>30 (45.3)</td>
<td>36 (54.7)</td>
<td>66 (89.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12 (41.4)</td>
<td>17 (58.6)</td>
<td>29 (30.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Types of any adverse perinatal event (for yes response only)

Vehicle delivery
1 (50.0) 1 (50.0) 2 (6.9) 0.691

Needed tactile stimulation
0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) 1 (3.4) 0.558

Fetal distress
0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) 1 (3.4) 0.558

Instrumental delivery
2 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 2 (6.9) 0.193

Shoulder dystoia
1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (3.4) 0.442

Perinatal Asphyxia and needed extensive resuscitation
8 (36.4) 14 (63.6) 22 (75.9) 0.276

Statically not significant

Table 5: Association between at birth to 72 hours CRP increment and investigations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigations</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Increase CRP 0 hours to 72 hours of birth (n, %)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood Culture</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>32 (52.5)</td>
<td>29 (47.5)</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 (29.4)</td>
<td>24 (70.6)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RBC
-- 4.76 ± 0.81 4.68 ± 0.78 0.282

WBC
24657.14 ± 7018.38 15198.11 ± 5603.21 15754.74 ± 6264.81 0.333

Hb
15.58 ± 2.22 16.36 ± 2.42 16.19 ± 2.33 0.437

Hematocrit
46.86 ± 9.25 50.13 ± 8.28 48.69 ± 8.83 0.073

Platelet
242642.86 ± 97782.94 223754.72 ± 69372.99 32105.26 ± 83196.57 0.274

Chest X-Ray
Normal
34 (45.9) 40 (54.1) 74 (77.9) 0.523

Abnormal
8 (38.1) 13 (61.9) 21 (22.1)       

Table 6: Diagnoscs test result CRP vs Blood culture:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>At 0 hr.</th>
<th>At 72 hrs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statistic</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>51.11%</td>
<td>35.77% to 66.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>58.43%</td>
<td>47.49% to 68.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease prevalence (*)</td>
<td>33.58%</td>
<td>25.66% to 42.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Predictive Value (*)</td>
<td>38.33%</td>
<td>29.89% to 47.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Predictive Value (*)</td>
<td>70.27%</td>
<td>62.57% to 76.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (*)</td>
<td>55.09%</td>
<td>47.14% to 64.53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7, shows that 72 hours CRP titer correlated better with blood culture proven sepsis in comparison to CRP titer at 0 hours after birth and p value was significant.
The signs and symptoms of neonatal sepsis can be clinically indistinguishable from various noninfectious conditions such as respiratory distress syndrome or maladaptation. Therefore, rapid diagnosis is crucial for preventing the child from an adverse outcome. Based on clinical pictures alone the diagnosis of neonatal infection is difficult to establish, yet it is crucial that treatment is instituted early because of the high mortality associated with neonatal infection. Clinical suspicion along with various laboratory sepsis markers represents a helpful tool in the evaluation of a child with clinical signs and complement the evaluation of a neonate with a potential infection. Variety of sepsis markers were studied with different success to aid diagnosis of neonatal sepsis but none of them was able to consistently diagnose 100% of infected cases. Moreover, newer markers studied are expensive to perform and not easily accessible to economically constrained countries like ours. C-reactive protein is the easily available and cheap sepsis marker useful for diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. A limited number of studies have been conducted to see whether single CRP titre or serial measurement of CRP titer at 72 hours after the first one correlates better with the blood culture. So, we aimed to study how the value of CRP titer changes at birth and at 72 hours according to demographic, laboratory and blood culture among neonatal sepsis suspected neonates at the neonatal intensive care unit of BMCTH.

In our study we noted that there was no significant association of mode of delivery with increment of CRP at 0 hours to 72 hours after birth among the study population. Also, we noted in our study 84(88.4%) were neonates with early onset neonatal sepsis and 11(11.6%) were neonates suspected of late onset neonatal sepsis. There was no significant association of different types of sepsis and the various underlying causes for sepsis suspicion with increment of CRP at 0 hours to 72 hours after birth.

In our study, 66(69.5%) of the study population did not have any adverse perinatal events while 29(31.5%) had some adverse perinatal events. There was no significant association of adverse perinatal events on increment of CRP at 0 hours to 72 hours after birth. Also, we noted that none of the investigation’s parameters had association with increment of CRP from 0 hours to 72 hours after birth. Among them 34(35.7%) had positive blood culture while 61(64.2%) had blood culture which was sterile. The blood culture report had significant association with 72 hours CRP increment. It showed that 24(70.6%) who had blood culture positive had increased CRP level at 72 hours whereas only10 (29.4%) had not increased. The p-value was <0.05 and was significant. Hisamuddin et. al in his study also has reported that the diagnostic accuracy of CRP in diagnosis of neonatal sepsis was 70.07% which is similar to finding in our study.\(^4\)

In our study we also noted that the sensitivity of CRP in the diagnosis of culture proven sepsis increased from 35% at the initial sepsis work-up to 82.22% when CRP determination was performed at 72 hours following the first one. In a similar study done by Benitz et al. found that the sensitivity in the diagnosis of culture proven early onset sepsis increased from 35% at the initial sepsis work-up to 79% and 89% when CRP determination was performed on the two following days.\(^5\) In a large series of 689 neonates (187 with sepsis) Pourcyrous et al. reported a higher sensitivity for CRP levels determined at least 12 hours after the initial evaluation compared to the first value (54% vs. 74%).\(^6\) In general, the sensitivity substantially increases with serial determinations 24 to 48 hours after the onset of symptoms, and several studies reported on sensitivities and specificities ranging from 78% to 98% and from 81% to 97%, respectively.\(^7\)

But in our study, we found specificity ranging only from 35.565 to 58.43% which was very low. So, it shows that serial CRP titers had greater sensitivities for diagnosing neonatal sepsis in comparison to single CRP titers in comparison to our study measured at 72 hours after the first. Specificity was found lesser in our studies in comparison to previous studies which may be because in previous serial CRP titers were measured earlier within 48 hours. This needs further studies measuring CRP titer earlier within 48 hours which may have greater specificity.

In our study we noted that there was no significant association of gender, birth weight, mode of delivery and gestational age with an increase of CRP at 0 to 72 hours after birth. But Ishibashi et al found that in 110 uninfected symptomatic neonates gestational age and birth weight significantly influence CRP concentration within 48 hours after birth. Neonates with low gestational age and low birth weight had lower CRP concentration.\(^8\)

### DISCUSSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRP</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Blood Culture</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 72 hrs.</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: CRP at 0 hours and at 72 hours after birth with blood culture

CONCLUSION

Level of CRP titre increment from 0 hours to 72 hours after birth did not vary with gestational age, gender, birth weight, different reasons for cesarean section, different laboratory parameters, change of antibiotics for management, adverse perinatal events and types of sepsis. Blood culture report had significant association with 72 hours CRP increment. It showed that 24(70.6%) who had blood culture positive had increased CRP level at 72 hours whereas only10(29.4%) had not increased.

CRP estimation does have a role in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis but serial CRP titre increment at 72 hours of life.
correlates more with proven sepsis and has greater sensitivity in diagnosing neonatal sepsis. The test is not specific enough to be relied upon as the only indicator. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values as calculated in this study are not high enough to make it a good screening test. The clinical criteria along with other hematological parameters and diagnostic markers along with serial CRP should be considered in evaluating a neonate for sepsis.

**LIMITATION OF THE STUDY**
The study was done only for a period of 6 months duration. Still, further research is needed on the topics for longer duration of time with greater sample size to see the influence of gestational age on CRP kinetics in infection, non-infectious confounders, and the evaluation of dynamic and gestational age dependent reference values, could have better external validity.
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