NEONATAL OUTCOME OF ANTENATAL MOTHERS WITH PREGNANCY INDUCED HYPERTENSION IN ANTENATAL WARD OF KATHMANDU MEDICAL COLLEGE AND TEACHING HOSPITAL

Shrestha S¹, Paudel S², Parajuli P², Khagi BR³

Affiliation

- 1. Assistant Professor, Department of B.Sc.Nursing Programme, Kathmandu Medical College,Kathmandu,Nepal
- 2. Lecturer, Department of B.Sc.Nursing Programme, Kathmandu Medical College,Kathmandu,Nepal
- 3. Assistant Professor, Community Health Nursing, Lalitpur Nursing Campus, Lalitpur, Kathmandu

ARTICLEINFO

Article History

- Received : 18 August, 2017 Accepted : 22 September, 2017
- Published : 31 December, 2017

© Authors retain copyright and grant the journal right of first publication with the work simultaneously licensed under Creative Commons Attribution License CC - BY 4.0 that allows others to share the work with an acknowledgment of the work's authorship and initial publication in this journal.



ORA 39

DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3126/bjhs.v2i3.18937</u>

* Corresponding Author

Ms. Santoshi Shrestha (Pradhan) Assistant Professor Department of B.Sc. Nursing Kathmandu Medical College, Sinamangal, Kathmandu

Citation

Shrestha S, Paudel S, Parajuli P, Khagi BR. Neonatal Outcome of Antenatal Mothers with Pregnancy Induced Hypertension In Antenatal Ward of Kathmandu Medical College and Teaching Hospital. BJHS 2017;2(3)4 255-259.

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Hypertensive disorders seem to complicate approximately 5-15% of pregnancies. Pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH) increases the risk of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality.

Objective

To assess the neonatal outcome and to identify the association between the demographic variables and outcome of Pregnancy Induced Hypertension in antenatal ward of Kathmandu Medical College and Teaching Hospital.

Methodology

Prospective study design was conducted for the study in Obstetrics ward of Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital. The population was the 100 antenatal mothers with pregnancy induced hypertension admitted in antenatal ward and purposive convenient sampling technique was used to collect the data. The structured questionnaire was designed and the data was collected through interview technique from 1st February 2014 to 10th March 2016. The collected data was analyzed using SPSS programme.

Results

Regarding neonatal outcome the findings of the study showed that most of the babies 83% had normal weight. The most of the babies 82% had adequate for gestational age. Regarding Apgar score half of the babies 50% scored mild asphyxia at the first minute whereas most of the babies 93% scored no asphyxia at five minutes after delivery. Regarding perinatal outcome 13% babies were delivered premature, 7% had birth asphyxia. 5% were born with low birth weight and stillbirth whereas only 1% had early neonatal death. The present study revealed that there was significant association between gestational age of delivery and apgar score at 5 minutes and there was significant association between age and perinatal management outcome, gestational age of delivery and perinatal morbidity management, grading of proteinuria and perinatal morbidity management, grading of oedema and perinatal management outcome regarding neonatal outcome of pregnancy induced hypertension.

Conclusion

Pregnancy induced hypertension during pregnancy were associated with a higher risk of adverse neonatal outcomes. Women with pregnancy induced hypertension during pregnancy had a higher risk of emergency caesarean section, pre-term birth, neonatal death, low birth weight children and neonates with low Apgar score. Maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality can be reduced by early recognition and institutional management.

KEYWORDS

Neonatal Outcome, Pregnancy Induced Hypertension.



INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy induced hypertension is responsible for high maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality rates and is one of the main public health problems.¹ According to the Health Ministry, hypertension during pregnancy, depending on the severity level, is considered a risk factor, which, associated with individual characteristics, unfavorable socioeconomic conditions, certain obstetric histories and clinical problems could trigger harms to the maternal-fetal binomial. For the conceptus, the most common consequences associated with hypertension diseases are the restriction of intra-uterine growth, low birth weight, and prematurity.²

Pregnancy induced hypertension is a common complication in antenatal women, which is a major cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. Perinatal complications include preterm delivery, low birth weight, prematurity, intrauterine foetal death (IUFD), intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), foetal asphyxia, acidosis, stillbirths and neonatal deaths. Maternal risks associated with gestational hypertension include development of uncontrolled hypertension, superimposed preeclampsia, eclampsia, HELLP syndrome (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low platelets), acute renal and hepatic failure, acute pulmonary edema, cerebrovascular accidents, congestive heart failure, intracranial hemorrhages, proteinuria more than 4-5 grams/day, microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, abruptio placenta, deep vein thrombosis (DVT), occipital lobe blindness, post-partum hemorrhages, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and /or consumptive coagulopathy.³

PIH has been postulated to increase significantly the risk of low birth weight both by increasing preterm birth as well as reducing fetal growth. On the other hand, PIH has been found to be associated with an increased rate of high birth weight and large-for-gestational age babies. These findings suggest that PIH, more specifically preeclampsia, is a heterogeneous syndrome and that preeclampsia may appear in two forms: restricted fetal growth preeclampsia and normal fetal growth preeclampsia.⁴

Pregnancies complicated with hypertension are associated with adverse fetal and maternal outcome in terms of prevalence of intrauterine growth restriction, prematurity, low birth weight, low Apgar score at birth, early neonatal death, high rates of admission to NICU and the need for resuscitation. Perinatal morbidity is increased due to spontaneous preterm labour or iatrogenic preterm induction. Low birth weight due to prematurity/IUGR and fetal hypoxia are the main reasons for NICU admissions and early neonatal death in babies born to mothers with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Expectant management with temporizing treatment should be performed to lengthen gestation which may be associated with enhanced perinatal survival. Good intensive care, close monitoring during labor, judicious timing of delivery and NICU facilities is required for better fetal and neonatal outcome in these case.⁵

The prevalence of PIH was high. Women with PIH were at

higher risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes than those without. Poor knowledge of management of PIH and inadequate resources are a threat to the proper management of PIH. This underscores the need for human resource capacity building and resource mobilization for proper management of women accessing maternity services. Resources for routine urinalysis must be made available by hospital authorities.⁶

METHODOLOGY

A Prospective research design was adopted and convenience sampling method was applied to meet the objectives of the study. The sample size was admitted 100 antenatal mothers with the diagnosed condition of Pregnancy Induced Hypertension to the department of obstetrics and gynecology. Ethical clearance was taken from the institutional review board of KMCTH. Written informed consent was taken from each respondent. One-on one interview technique was used to collect the relevant data after admission of the respondents using pre-designed structured questionnaire from 1st February 2014 to 10th March 2016. The researcher administered questionnaires were used to capture demographic data, obstetric history and knowledge on PIH management. Records were reviewed for pregnancy outcomes till discharge of the patient while key informants were also interviewed on patient management. The participants were enrolled for the study with following inclusion criteria that women with 20 weeks of gestation and those who willing to participate in this study.

The questionnaires consist of two parts: I. Socio demographic characteristics of the respondents and II. Neonatal Outcome respectively. The data were entered in MS excel. Data were analyzed using SPSS IBM 20 version. Categorical variables were described using frequency distribution and percentages. Continuous variables were expressed by means and standard deviations. Chi-square test was used for analysis of association between the demographic variables with the outcome of Pregnancy Induced Hypertension. P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The findings of the study revealed that more than half of respondents (56%) were between the ages of 25-35 years, 36% were between 15-25 years and only 8% were between ages of 35-45 years. Regarding resident majority of respondents (96%) were from urban areas and only 4% were from rural area. Regarding education the majority of the respondents (40%) have completed intermediate level, 24% have completed graduation, 18% have completed secondary level, 10% have completed primary and only 4% have completed post-graduation and another 4% were illiterate. The majority of the respondents (77%) were housewife and 12% of the respondents had Rs.10000-20000 regarding monthly income. Most (91%) of the respondents followed Hindu religion and most 94% were non vegetarian. More than half (56%) of the respondents





were having normal diet and 44% were having low salt diet. Among 100 pregnant mothers, more than half (58%) were from nuclear family and 42% were from joint family.

By gestational majority (69%) of the respondents were above 37 weeks and 31% were below 37 weeks. The majority of the respondents 50% were primigravida, 45% were multigravida and only 5% were grand multigravida. The most of the respondents 93% have done antenatal visit more than 4 times whereas only 7% of the respondents have done antenatal visit less than 4 times. The majority of the respondents 88% had no family history of hypertension and only 22% had family history of hypertension. The most of the respondents 98% were diagnosed of hypertension at third trimester and only 2% of the respondents were diagnosed of hypertension at first and second trimester respectively.

Of the total 100 antenatal mothers, most of the respondents 87% had mild hypertension, 12% had moderate hypertension and only 1% had severe hypertension. The most of the respondents 81% used antihypertensive drugs and only 19% didn't use antihypertensive drugs. The majority of the respondents 80% diagnosed as nil proteinuria, 11% were diagnosed as trace proteinuria, 5% were diagnosed as (+), 3% were diagnosed as (++) and only 1% were diagnosed as more than (++). Regarding grading of edema, the majority of the respondents 62% had absent edema, 36% had mild edema (grade+) 2mm or less and only 2% had moderate edema (Grade++) 2-4mm indent.

The most of the babies 83% had normal weight. The most of the babies 82% had adequate for gestational age. Regarding Apgar score half of the babies 50% scored mild asphyxia at the first minute whereas most of the babies 93% scored no asphyxia in five minutes after delivery. Regarding perinatal outcome 13% babies were delivered prematurity, 7% had birth asphyxia, 5% were born with low birth weight and stillbirth whereas only 1% had early neonatal death.

Among the total neonates, 25% were admitted in NICU whereas only 2% neonates were admitted in general ward. Regarding perinatal management outcome, 24% of the neonates has improved whereas only 2% neonates were expired.

There was no any significant association with weight at birth and classification of weight according to gestational age regarding neonatal outcome of pregnancy induced hypertension. There was significant association between gestational age of delivery and Apgar score at 5 minutes regarding neonatal outcome of pregnancy induced hypertension.

There was significant association between age and perinatal management outcome, gestational age of delivery and perinatal morbidity management, grading of proteinuria and perinatal morbidity management, grading of oedema and perinatal management outcome regarding neonatal outcome of pregnancy induced hypertension. **Table : 1** Neonatal Outcomes related to weight, weight according to gestational age, apgar score and perinatal outcome regarding Pregnancy Induced Hypertension (n=100).

| Variables | Category | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Weight at birth | Low birth weight (below2.5 kg) | 17 | 17.0 |
| | Normal weight (above 2.5 kg) | 83 | 83.0 |
| Weight according to | Adequate for gestational age | | |
| gestational age | High for gestational age | 1 | 1 |
| | Low for gestational age | 17 | 17.0 |
| Apgar score at the first | Severe asphyxia (0-3) | 4 | 4.0 |
| minute | Mild asphyxia (4-6) | 50 | 50.0 |
| | No asphyxia (7-10) | 46 | 46.0 |
| Apgar score in five | Severe asphyxia (0-3) | 3 | 3.0 |
| minutes | Mild asphyxia (4-6) | 4 | 4.0 |
| | No asphyxia (7-10) | 93 | 93.0 |
| | Prematurity | 13 | 13.0 |
| | Birth asphyxia | 7 | 7.0 |
| Perinatal Outcome | LBW | 5 | 5.0 |
| | Stillbirth | 5 | 5.0 |
| | Early Neonatal Death | 1 | 1.0 |

Table 2: Association between demographic variableswith weight at birth and classification of weight accordingto gestational age regarding pregnancy induced hypertension.

| Characteristics | Category | Weight at birth (in grams) | | P value | Classification of weight according to gestational age | | | P value |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------|---|--------|---------|------------|
| | | Low birth weight (below 2.5 kg) | Normal weight (above 2.5 kg) | | Adequate | High | Low | |
| | 15-25 years | 6 | 30 | | 30 | 0 | 6 | |
| Age | 25-35 years | 10 | 46 | 0.929 | 46 | 1 | 9 | 0.882 |
| 1.60 | 35 45 years | 1 | 7 | | 6 | 0 | 2 | |
| | Total | 17 | 83 | | 82 | 1 | 17 | |
| | Rural | 1 | 3 | | 3 | 0 | 1 | |
| Resident | Urban | 16 | 80 | 0.664 | 79 | 1 | 16 | 0.894 |
| | Total | 17 | 83 | | 82 | 1 | 17 | |
| Education | Literate Illiterate | 1 16 | 3 80 | 0.664 | 3 79 | 0 1 | 1 16 | 0.894 |
| | Total | 17 | 83 | | 82 | 1 | 17 | |
| Food Pattern | Vegetarian | 2 | 5 | | 4 | 0 | 2 | |
| | Non Vegetarian | 15 | 78 | 0.499 | 78 | 1 | 15 | 0.836 |
| | Total | 17 | 83 | | 82 | 1 | 17 | |

Table 3: Association between demographic variables with Apgar score regarding neonatal outcome of pregnancy induced hypertension.

| Characteristics | Category | | score at inute | P value | Apgar score at 5 minutes | | P value | |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------|---------|--|
| | | No asphyxia | Asphyxia | | No asphyxia | Asphyxia | | |
| | 15-25 years | 16 | 20 | 0.828 | 35 | 1 | | |
| Age | 25-35 years | 27 | 29 | | 52 | 4 | 0.083 | |
| | 35-45 years | 3 | 5 | | 6 | 2 | | |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | | |
| Resident | Rural | 4 | 0 | 0.235 | 4 | 0 | 0.575 | |
| | Urban | 89 | 7 | | 89 | 7 | 0.075 | |
| | Total | 93 | 7 | | 93 | 7 | | |
| Education | Literate | 1 | 0 | 0.421 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | Illiterate | 8 | 13 | | 30 | 66 | | |
| | Total | 9 | 13 | | 32 | 68 | | |
| Occupation | Business | 2 | 2 | 0.784 | 4 | 0 | 0.308 | |
| | Private employee | 8 | 7 | | 13 | 2 | | |
| | Government employee | 1 | 3 | | 3 | 1 | | |
| | Housewife | 35 | 42 | | 73 | 4 | | |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | | |
| Religion | Hindu | 40 | 51 | 0.525 | 84 | 7 | 0.863 | |
| | Buddhist | 3 | 2 | | 5 | 0 | | |
| | Christian | 2 | 1 | | 3 | 0 | | |
| | Other | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | | |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | | |



Original Research Article

| Food Pattern | Vegetarian | 3 | 3 | 0.640 | 5 | 1 | 0.613 |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----|----|-------|----|---|-------|
| roou rattern | Non Vegetarian | 43 | 51 | 0.040 | 88 | 6 | 0.015 |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |
| Dietary Pattern | Low salt diet | 22 | 22 | 0.477 | 40 | 4 | 0.468 |
| Dictary rattern | Normal diet | 24 | 32 | 0.477 | 53 | 3 | 0.400 |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |
| Gestational age | Preterm | 13 | 18 | 0.585 | 26 | 5 | 0.016 |
| of delivery | Term | 33 | 36 | 0.505 | 67 | 2 | 0.010 |
| ordenvery | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |
| Gravidity | Primi | 24 | 26 | 0.693 | 46 | 4 | 0.794 |
| Distribution | Multi | 19 | 26 | 0.055 | 42 | 3 | 0.754 |
| Distribution | Grand Multi | 3 | 2 | | 5 | 0 | |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |
| Number of | < 4 times | | 2 | 0.162 | 7 | 0 | 0.452 |
| antenatal visits | > 4 times | 41 | 52 | 0.102 | 86 | 7 | 5.452 |
| uncentration visites | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |
| Family | Yes | 11 | 11 | 0.132 | 20 | 2 | 0.538 |
| hypertension | No | 35 | 43 | 0.152 | 73 | 5 | 0.550 |
| hypertension | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |
| Severity of | Mild (140-159/90-99) | 40 | 47 | 0.628 | 81 | 6 | 0.947 |
| hypertension | Moderate (160/179/100-109) | 6 | 6 | 0.010 | 11 | 1 | |
| | Severe (≥180/≤110 | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |
| Antihypertensiv | Yes | 34 | 47 | 0.247 | 74 | 7 | 0.414 |
| e drugs used | No | 12 | 7 | | 19 | 0 | |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |
| Grading of | Nil | 36 | 44 | 0.492 | 75 | 5 | 0.435 |
| proteinuria | Trace | 5 | 6 | | 10 | 1 | |
| | + | 4 | 1 | | 5 | 0 | |
| | ++ | 1 | 2 | | 2 | 1 | |
| | More than ++ | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |
| Grading of Oedema | Absent | 30 | 32 | 0.200 | 60 | 2 | 0.127 |
| | Mild (Grade+)2mm or less | 14 | 22 | | 31 | 5 | |
| | Moderate (Grade++)2-4mm indent | 2 | 0 | | 2 | 0 | |
| | Total | 46 | 54 | | 93 | 7 | |

Table 4: Association between demographic variables withPerinatal Morbidity Management and PerinatalManagement Outcome regarding neonatal outcome ofpregnancy induced hypertension.

| Characteristics | Category | Perinatal Morbidity Management | | P value | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------|---------|------------------------|---------|---|-------|
| | | NICU General ward admission admission | Improved | | Other complications | Expired | | |
| | 15-25 years | 7 | 29 | 0.508 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 0.500 |
| | 25-35 years | 15 | 41 | | 54 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Age | 35-45 years | 3 | 5 | | 8 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | Rural | 1 | 3 | 1.00 | 3 | 0 | 1 | |
| Resident | Urban | 24 | 72 | | 94 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | Literate | 1 | 3 | 1.000 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.93 |
| Education | Illiterate | 24 | 72 | | 93 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | Business | 1 | 3 | 0.707 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.98 |
| | Private | | | | | _ | _ | 1 |
| | employee | 4 | 11 | | 15 | 0 | 0 | |
| Occupation | Government employee | 0 | 4 | | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Housewife | 20 | 57 | - | 74 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total | 20 | 5/ | | 97 | 1 | 2 | |
| | | 25 | 67 | 0.694 | | 1 | 1 | 0.18 |
| | Hindu | | | 0.684 | 89 | | | 0.18 |
| D. Patro | Buddhist | 1 | 4 | - | 4 | 0 | 1 | |
| Religion | Christian | 0 | 3 | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Other | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | |
| | Vegetarian | 1 | 5 | 0.745 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0.99 |
| Food Pattern | Non Vegetarian | 24 | 70 | | 91 | 1 | 2 | |
| | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | |
| | Low salt diet | 8 | 36 | 0.163 | 42 | 0 | 2 | 0.18 |
| Dietary Pattern | Normal diet | 17 | 39 | | 55 | 1 | 0 | |
| | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | |
| Gestational age of | Preterm | 15 | 16 | 0.000 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 0.08 |
| delivery | Term | 10 | 59 | | 68 | 1 | 0 | |
| delivery | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | |
| | Primi | 12 | 38 | 0.728 | 48 | 1 | 1 | 0.89 |
| e de la companya de la companya | Multi | 11 | 34 | | 44 | 0 | 1 | |
| Gravidity Distribution | Grand Multi | 2 | 3 | | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | < 4 times | 3 | 4 | 0.258 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 |
| Number of antenatal | > 4 times | 22 | 71 | | 90 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| visits | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | Yes | 6 | 16 | 0.308 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0.85 |
| Family hypertension | No | 19 | 59 | | 76 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ., .,, | Total | 25 | 75 | 1 | 97 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | Mild | | | 0.219 | | | | 0.10 |
| | (140-159/90-99) | 21 | 66 | | 85 | 0 | 2 | |
| Severity of hypertension | Moderate (160/179/100- 109) | 3 | 9 | | 11 | 1 | 0 | |
| | Severe (≥180/≤110) | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Antihypertensive drugs used | Yes | 23 | 58 | 0.243 | 78 | 1 | 2 | 0.94 |
| | No | 2 | 17 | | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Total | 25 | 75 | | 97 | 1 | 2 | |
| | Nil | 16 | 64 | 0.038 | 79 | 1 | 0 | 0.11 |
| | Trace | 3 | 8 | 2.330 | 10 | 0 | 1 | |
| | + | 3 | 2 | - | 4 | 0 | 1 | |
| Grading of proteinuria | +++ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| | ++ More than ++ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| | More than ++ Total | 25 | 75 | - | 97 | 1 | 2 | |
| | | | | 0.400 | | | | |
| | Absent | 13 | 49 | 0.407 | 61 | 0 | 1 | 0.000 |
| Grading of Oedema | Mild (Grade+)2mm or | 11 | 25 | | 36 | 0 | 0 | |

DISCUSSION

Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy have been identified as a major worldwide health problem, associated with increased perinatal morbidity and mortality. Studies have shown that hypertensive disorders of pregnancy predispose women to acute or chronic uteroplacental insufficiency, there by having an effect on perinatal and neonatal outcome that may result in antenatal or intrapartum anoxia that may lead to foetal death, intrauterine growth retardation and preterm delivery. Some studies have shown that there is an increased incidence of caesarean sections in the mothers with PIH, and increased incidence of birth asphyxia, transient tachypnoea of newborn (TTNB), hyaline membrane disease (HMD) and neonatal sepsis in newborns of these mothers.⁷

The present study showed that, 5% of mother had stillbirth whereas only 1% was early neonatal death. This present study is similar with the study regarding pregnancy induced hypertension and the neonatal outcome conducted in the governmental maternity hospital in São Paulo city, Brazil in 2008 revealed that the frequency of stillbirths was 5.8% and 0.8% of early neonatal death.⁸

The present study revealed that 54% of the babies had birth asphyxia at one minute whereas only 7% had birth asphyxia at 5 minutes respectively. This study is contrast with the study conducted regarding Neonatal Outcome in Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy in a Tertiary Neonatal Unit, Soba University Hospital, Khartoum, Sudan revealed that only 8.6% of the babies had birth asphyxia during delivery.⁹

The present study showed that 25% of the babies were admitted in NICU and only 2% babies were admitted in general ward which is similar with the study conducted regarding the study of fetal outcome in hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in a tertiary care maternity hospital of Delhi showed that 25% of the babies were admitted in NICU respectively.¹⁰

Moreover, the present study revealed that 82% of the babies had adequate for gestational age, 17% had low for gestational age and only one percentage had high for gestational age. This present study is similar with the study conducted regarding neonatal mortality and morbidity in pregnancy induced hypertension in Niloufer Institute of Child Health showed that 76.13% had adequate gestational age, 21.59% had small for gestational age and this study is in contrast with the result that only 2% had low for gestational age respectively.¹¹

There was significant association between age and perinatal management outcome, gestational age of delivery and perinatal morbidity management, grading of proteinuria and perinatal morbidity management, grading of edema and perinatal management outcome regarding neonatal outcome of pregnancy induced hypertension. On contrary to this, the study conducted regarding Pregnancy Induced Hypertension and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women showed that there was significant association between pregnancy induced hypertension and with age group, education and occupation.¹²



CONCLUSION

PIH is a common complication in antenatal women and is a major cause of maternal and foetal, morbidity and mortality. The present study concluded that there is rise of prematurity, birth asphyxia, low birth weight and still birth. There is significant association between gestational age of delivery and Apgar score at 5 minutes regarding neonatal outcome of pregnancy induced hypertension. The study highlights the importance of institutional deliveries of women combined with effective antenatal care. Hence health education and awareness among the people and primary health workers regarding this health issue is necessary in bringing down the maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Pregnant women with pregnancy induced hypertension should be encouraged to adhere to reduction of dietary sodium intake. Continuous teaching needs to be provided on PIH self-care knowledge to pregnant women with pregnancy induced hypertension. The client teaching should include the importance of weight reduction and relevance of obesity in worsening PIH.The study should be replicated with a larger sample to foster generalizability of the findings beyond the present study sample.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

Limitations reflect the relatively small group of pregnant women, which limit our ability to draw firm conclusions on the magnitude of adverse events. The setting was Urban so the findings couldn't be generalizable in rural cities and community settings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are thankful to the Department of Obstetrics of Kathmandu Medical College Teaching Hospital for helping us in carrying out this research project. And we are also thankful to the patients without whom this study would not have been possible.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There was no any conflict of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- Avni J, Manju L, Sharma A, Bajpai N, 2014. A prospective study to compare Maternal and Perinatal Outcome in Hypertensive disorders in Pregnancy in Himalayan Region of India. Journal of Biol Med Res 5(2):4071-4074. http://www.iosrjournals.org/iosrjdms/papers/Vol13-issue10/Version-8/G0141192327.pdf.
- Chaim S.R, Junqueira S.M., Oliveira V, Kimura A.F, 2008. Cross sectional study conducted on Pregnancy-induced hypertension and the neonatal outcome. Acta Paul. Enferm 21(1), 70-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-21002008000100008.
- Ahmed M and Daver R, 2014. Study of Feto-Maternal Outcome in Pregnancy Induced Hypertension. Global Journal of Medical Research: E Gynecology and Obstetrics 14(1), 0975-5888.
- Siromani S, Varahala A, Gopu S, Chidugulla S,2015. Neonatal Outcome in Pregnancy Induced Hypertensive Mothers – A Tertiary Care Centre Experience. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS) e-ISSN: 14(11), 2279-0853. http://www. iosrjournals.org.
- Meshram D.P, Chavan Y.H, Kadam P.N, Panchal M.G and Ramteke D.J., 2014. A hospital based study conducted on maternal and foetal outcomes in Pregnancy Induced Hypertension. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Science Invention ISSN (Online) 3(2), 220-222. http://www.ijpsi.org/papers/ E0342023026.pdf
- Brown MA, Hague WM, Higgins J, Lowe S, Mc Cowan L, Oats J, Peek MJ, Rowan JA, Walters BN., 2000.Austalasian Society of the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy to detect investigation and management of hypertension in pregnancy. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 40(2), 139-55.

- Khosravi1 S, Dabiran S, Lotfi M, Asnavandy M., 2014. Study of the Prevalence of Hypertension and Complications of Hypertensive Disorders in Pregnancy. Open Journal of Preventive Medicine 4,860-867. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojpm. 2014.411097.
- Alicia M. Lapidus, M., 2010. Effects of Pregnancy Induced Hypertension on the mother and fetus. Originally published as a review article in Gynecology Forum 4(1). http://www.obgyn.net/ fetal-monitoring/effects
- Kheir A, Ali R, Atika A. M, Kononna B., 2014. Neonatal Outcome in Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy in a Tertiary Neonatal Unit in Sudan. Journal of Medicine and Medical Research 2(5).ISSN: 2350-1502 Available from http://www.resuournals.org/JMMR/PDF/ 2014/August/Kheir et al.pf.59-65.
- Vats K, Paul M, 2016. Study of fetal outcome in hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in a tertiary care maternity hospital of Delhi. International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology 5(11):3773-3777. Available from http://www.ijrcog.org/index.php/ijrcog/article/view File/333/323.
- George J.N, Amaresh.A. Neonatal mortality and morbidity in pregnancy induced hypertension: A prospective observational study. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Science 2014; 3(19), 5238-5246.http://dx.DOI:10.14260/jemds/2014/2581.
- Ahmed S, Sultana N, Begum Letal. Pregnancy Induced Hypertension and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women: Journal of Gynecology and Women's Health 2017; 3(4), DOI: 10.19080/ JGWH.2017.03.555623.

