



## Phytochemical Screening, Antimicrobial and Antifungal Activities of *Zingiber officinale* Collected from Dang and Arghakhanchi Districts of Nepal

Purnima Banjade<sup>1</sup>, Anand Bahadur Chand<sup>2</sup>, Galaxy Pokhrel<sup>3</sup>, Ajaya Mahato<sup>1</sup>, Sachin Silwal<sup>1</sup>, Shiva Bagle<sup>1</sup>, Ranjana Khanal<sup>1</sup>, Pratima Ghimire<sup>1</sup>, Ganga Raj Pokhrel<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Birendra Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University, Chitwan, Nepal.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Tribhuvan University, Patan Multiple Campus, Kathmandu, Nepal

<sup>3</sup>Vega Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd, Lalitpur-22, Bungmati, Nepal

\*Corresponding Author: pokhrelgangaraj1967@gmail.com

Received: August 19, 2025, Accepted: Dec. 10, 2025

DOI: 10.3126/bmcjsr.v8i1.87894

### Abstract

*Phytochemical screening, Antimicrobial and antifungal activities of rhizomes extracts of Zingiber officinale, grown in Dang and Arghakhanchi districts of Nepal, in different solvents is still not understood clearly. The rhizomes of the plant were collected, dried, chopped, powdered and subjected for extraction using maceration technique. The solvent methanol (MeOH), dichloromethane (DCM) and ethyl acetate (EtOAc) were used for the extraction of secondary metabolites. Phytochemical screening, antimicrobial activity and antifungal activities were gauged in the extract of different solvents. Efficient antimicrobial activity, especially against S. aureus and P. aeruginosa, was observed in ethyl acetate (EtOAc) extract of sample collected from Dang district (S<sub>2</sub>). Strong antifungal activities were exhibited in methanolic extract sample of both Arghakhanchi (S<sub>1</sub>) and Dang districts (S<sub>2</sub>). Extracts of all ginger samples do not show any zone of inhibition against E. Coli. Rhizome extracts of both samples (S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>) show potentiality against microbial and fungal infections.*

**Keywords** Antimicrobial, Antifungal, Ethyl acetate, Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*), Phytochemical screening

### Introduction

*Zingiber officinale*, commonly referred to as ginger, is a tropical perennial plant of Zingiberaceae family. The crop thrives in temperatures between 19°C - 28°C and requires humidity levels of 70% to 90%, (Adhikari & Bhandari, 2022). Entire plant has a pleasant aroma. Grown-up ginger roots are tough and fibrous. Zingiberene plants possess potent aromatic and curative qualities. Natural products have remarkable structural diversity and distinct biological activities. They are classified based on their biological function, biosynthetic pathway, or origin (Abozenadah et al., 2017).

Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) is rich in essential compounds, including phenolic substances like gingerols, shogaols, and paradols, (Bhattarai et al., 2018). Ginger is

recognized having anti-aging properties. Free radicals generated during oxidation play key roles in triggering various long-term illnesses i.e., cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, inflammatory conditions, Alzheimer's disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and cancer (Aher & Wahi, 2011). Antioxidants are key molecules to repair oxidative damage of cells. Secondary metabolites, i.e., phenolic compounds, alkaloids, flavonoids delay initiation of lipid peroxidation, (Al-Fatimi et al., 2007).

It's raw or processed underground rhizome is used as a spice (Chen et al., 2007). Rhizomes can be used to make tea. The juice of ginger rhizomes is highly effective to flavor seafood, mutton, snacks, and stews. Dried ginger powder is used in various dishes, sweets, cookies, crackers, cakes, and carbonated non-alcoholic beverages. *Z. officinale* is also valued as herbal medicine for treating various health issues, including diabetes, nausea, migraines and sialagogue effects, i.e., promoting saliva production (Masuda et al., 2004).

Synthetic inhibitory drugs have been associated with certain percentage of adverse side effects (Fatemeh Jamshidi-Kia et al., 2018; Bhattacharjee et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2016). Dugs derived from natural products have promising alternative for disease treatment having insignificant or no side effects.

Comprehensive profiling of the biological activities of ginger from selected regions has yet to be undertaken. The aim of this study is to evaluate antimicrobial and antifungal activities of plant extracts.

There is global shift towards herbal remedies due to safe and cost-effective natural approach to prevent and cure disease. Nepal's diverse climate, soil texture, total organic and inorganic carbon, and soil pH offer plant biodiversity having vast reservoir of secondary metabolites. Natural products derived from Nepal's biodiversity present a promising avenue for discovering novel compounds and developing new therapeutic agents.

## Materials and Methods

Rhizomes of the Gingers were collected from Arghakhanchi and Dang districts of Nepal in June 2024. Ginger rhizomes were washed with distilled water, chopped into pieces and air dried. Dried pieces were ground in a mortar, sieved through a 40-mesh sieve and packed into plastic zipper bag and kept in desiccator until further analysis.

Extraction of secondary metabolites in methanol, dichloromethane and ethyl acetate was carried out as mentioned in (Shrestha et al., 2015). Reagent preparation and phytochemical screening were carried out as mentioned in (Mager et al., 2024; Mishra et al., 2012). Antibacterial activity and antifungal activity were put into action as explained in (Sabudak, et al., 2013; Tahoma et al.; Dingle et al., 1953; Meyer, et al., 1982; Mao et al., 2019). S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> refers to the samples of Arghakhanchi and Dang districts. MeOH, EtOAc and DCM refers to methanol, ethyl acetate and dichloromethane respectively as solvent for extraction. All experiments were carried out in M.Sc. chemistry laboratory

at Birendra Multiple Campus, Chitwan, a sister organization of Tribhuvan University, Nepal.

Results and Discussions

The yields of Ginger rhizomes extract were differed on location of cultivation and the solvent used as mentioned in Table 1.

Table 1. Yield of Ginger Rhizomes extracts.

Ginger sample	The dry weight of ginger (g)	Yield (g)	%Yield
S <sub>1</sub> (MeOH)	400.00 g	18.20 g	4.55
S <sub>2</sub> (MeOH)	400.00 g	17.30 g	4.32

Table 2. Yield of extract in different solvent

Ginger sample	S1(EtOAc)	S1(DCM)	S2(EtOAc)	S2 (DCM)
Yield (g)	4.50 g	3.70 g	4.30 g	3.40 g

Phytochemical screening

Phytochemical screening was carried out on the selected ginger extracts as mentioned in Magar et al., 2024 and the results are mentioned in Table 3.

Table 3. Phytochemical screening of ginger extracts of all ginger samples

Phytochemicals	S <sub>1</sub> (EtAc)	S <sub>1</sub> (MeOH)	S <sub>2</sub> (EtOAc)	S <sub>2</sub> (MeOH)
Basic alkaloids	-	+	+	++
Glycosides	+	++	+	++
Saponins	+	++	++	+++
Flavones	+	++	-	++
Tannin	++	+	++	+
Diterpenes	++	+	++	-
Steroids & terpenoids	++	-	+++	+
Cartenoids	++	+	++	-
Polyphenol	+	++	+	+
Carbohydrates	-	+	-	+
Quinone	+	-	+	+
Volatile oil	++	-	+++	+
Coumarin	+++	+	++	-

Note: (++) strongly positive, (+) weakly positive, (-) negative (absence of required ppt/color).

### Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity of ginger extracts was assessed by measuring the diameter of the zone of inhibition (ZOI) formed against specific bacteria and fungi (Joshi, P. and Khanal, S. 2021). Antimicrobial activity was carried out on gram-positive bacterium (*Salmonella typhi aureus*) and a gram-negative bacterium (*Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella Typhi*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*). Zone of inhibition (ZOI) created by extract (sample) was measured as mentioned in the table 4.

Neomycin (25.00 µg/mL) was used as the positive control. Among the two strains, i.e., gram-positive bacteria were more effectively inhibited than gram-negative bacteria. This difference proves unique attributes of outer membrane found in gram-negative bacteria, which prevents the extract from being entered into the cell, a feature not present in gram-positive bacteria, (Shirin, et al., 2010; Joshi, P. and Khanal, S. 2018; Sivasothy et al., 2011).

**Table 4.** Antibacterial activity of ginger extract.

S. N.	Ginger sample	Bacteria	ZOI (mm) of extract 25.00 µg/mL	ZOI (mm) of neomycin control (25.00 µg/mL)
1	S <sub>1</sub> (EtOAc)	<i>E coli</i>	-	18.00
		<i>K. pneumonia</i>	7.00 ± 0.00	20.00
		<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	-	16.00
		<i>S. aureus</i>	15.40 ± 0.20	18.00
		<i>S. typhi</i>	9.00 ± 0.00	22.00
		<i>E coli</i>	-	18.00
2	S <sub>1</sub> (MeOH)	<i>K. pneumonia</i>	11.00 ± 0.00	20.00
		<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	-	16.00
		<i>S. aureus</i>	15.80 ± 0.50	18.00
		<i>S. typhi</i>	12.30 ± 0.20	22.00
		<i>E coli</i>	-	18.00
		<i>K. pneumonia</i>	-	20.00
3	S <sub>1</sub> (DCM)	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	-	16.00
		<i>S. aureus</i>	11.20 ± 0.30	18.00
		<i>S. typhi</i>	10.50 ± 0.80	22.00
		<i>E coli</i>	-	18.00
		<i>K. pneumonia</i>	9.60 ± 0.40	20.00
4	S <sub>2</sub> (EtOAc)	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	-	16.00
		<i>S. aureus</i>	15.50 ± 0.10	18.00
		<i>S. typhi</i>	13.70 ± 0.50	22.00

S. N.	Ginger sample	Bacteria	ZOI (mm) of extract 25.00 µg/mL	ZOI (mm) of neomycin control (25.00 µg/mL)
5	S <sub>2</sub> (MeOH)	<i>E. Coli</i>	-	18.00
		<i>K. pneumonia</i>	7.60 ± 0. 60	20.00
		<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	6.00 ± 0.00	16.00
		<i>S. aureus</i>	16.30 ± 0.70	18.00
		<i>S. typhi</i>		22.00
		<i>E Coli</i>	-	18.00
6	S <sub>2</sub> (DCM)	<i>K. pneumonia</i>	6.00 ± 0.00	20.00
		<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	4.00 ± 0.00	16.00
		<i>S. aureus</i>	12.00 ± 0.00	18.00
		<i>S. typhi</i>	9.00 ± 0.00	22.00

Well size = 6.00 mm, Zone of inhibition of DMSO (negative control) = 6.00 mm, (-) the sign indicates the absence of antibacterial activity.

### Antifungal activity

Antifungal activity was carried out against *Fusarium oxysporium*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Candida albicans*, *Candida parapsilosis*. The antifungal activity of the plant extracts was assessed by measuring the diameter of the zone of inhibition (ZOI) against specific fungi and the result is mentioned in the table 5. Amphotericin B (25.00 µg/mL) was employed as the positive control. Ginger extracts of S<sub>1</sub>(EtOAc), S<sub>1</sub>(MeOH), S<sub>2</sub>(EtOAc), S<sub>2</sub>(MeOH) exhibited a zone of inhibition against *Candida albicans*, *Candida parapsilosis*, and *Aspergillus flavus*. S<sub>1</sub>(DCM), S<sub>2</sub>(DCM) samples shows a zone of inhibition for *Fusarium oxysporum*. Above data concludes that ginger extract can be used as antifungal activity. Extracts of samples S<sub>1</sub>(EtOAc) and S<sub>2</sub>(EtOAc) show the highest ZOI in *Candida parapsilosis* (14.00 mm) and (11.00 mm) respectively. Ginger extracts of samples S<sub>1</sub>(MeOH) and S<sub>2</sub>(MeOH) shows the same ZOI for *Candida albicans* (13.00 mm). This result is consistent with the findings reported by Rawal et al. (2016) and here different solvent extracts were used and antifungal activity increased with increasing concentration (Sivasothy et al., 2011).

The observed antifungal activity is due to the presence of alkaloids and flavonoids. Al-Nafie et al. (2024) demonstrated that the growth of *Aspergillus* spp. obtained from rice seeds were inhibited by secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, flavonoids and terpenoids. These are the key components for antifungal properties. (Modaressi et al., 2013; Faisal Z. 2019; Kumari S. 2016; Gacem et al., 2019). This study investigated that ginger rhizome extract is capable of suppressing various pathogenic microorganisms.

**Table 5.** Antifungal activity of ginger extracts.

S. N.	Ginger sample	Fungi	ZOI (mm) of extract 50.00 µg/mL	ZOI (mm), Amphotericin B, (25.00 µg/mL)
1	S <sub>1</sub> (EtOAc)	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	-	20.00
		<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	8.40 ± 0.30	20.00
		<i>Candida ablicans</i>	1.50 ± 0.21	19.00
		<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	14.00 ± 0.40	16.00
		<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	-	20.00
2	S <sub>1</sub> (MEOH)	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	7.00 ± 0.61	20.00
		<i>Candida ablicans</i>	13.00 ± 0.18	19.00
		<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	9.00 ± 0.33	16.00
		<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	8.00 ± 0.37	20.00
		<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	6.80 ± 0.41	20.00
3	S <sub>1</sub> (DCM)	<i>Candida ablicans</i>	-	19.00
		<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	-	16.00
		<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	-	20.00
		<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	7.30 ± 0.61	20.00
4	S <sub>2</sub> (EtOAc)	<i>Candida ablicans</i>	8.20 ± 0.28	19.00
		<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	11.00 ± 0.35	16.00
		<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	-	20.00
		<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	9.30 ± 0.45	20.00
5	S <sub>2</sub> (MEOH)	<i>Candida ablicans</i>	13.00 ± 0.21	19.00
		<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	9.00 ± 0.28	16.00
		<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	6.00 ± 0.30	20.00
		<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	-	20.00
6	S <sub>2</sub> (DCM)	<i>Candida ablicans</i>	-	19.00
		<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	-	16.00
		<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	-	20.00

## Conclusions

Glycosides, Saponins, tannin, and polyphenol are present in all ginger extracts. Methanol (MeOH) extracts more polar compounds like glycosides, saponins, flavones, polyphenols, and carbohydrates, while ethyl acetate (EtOAc) is more effective for non-polar compounds such as volatile oils, diterpenes, carotenoids, and coumarin, with both solvents showing varying levels of phytochemical presence across the S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>2</sub> samples.

Ginger sample S<sub>2</sub>(EtOAc) collected from Dang district has a good scavenging ability as compared with other samples. Extracts of all ginger samples do not show any zone of inhibition against *E. Coli*. Extract of S<sub>2</sub>(MeOH) shows maximum ZOI for *S. aureus*, and *P. aeruginosa*. Ginger Extracts of sample S<sub>1</sub>(EtOAc), S<sub>1</sub>(MEOH), S<sub>1</sub>(DCM), S<sub>2</sub>(EtOAc) and sample S<sub>2</sub>(DCM) show the highest ZOI for *S. aureus* (15.40 mm), (15.80 mm), (11.20 mm) (15.50 mm) and, (12.00 mm) respectively, and that of sample S<sub>2</sub>(EtOAc) shows the highest ZOI for *Salmonella typhi* (13.70 mm).

All ginger extracts displayed a ZOI against *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida albicans*, and *Aspergillus flavus*, but no inhibition was observed against *Fusarium oxysporum* whereas zone of inhibition is shown by extracts of S<sub>1</sub>(DCM), S<sub>2</sub>(DCM). Extracts of S<sub>1</sub>(EtOAc) and S<sub>2</sub>(EtOAc) show the highest ZOI in *Candida parapsilosis* (14.00 mm) and (11.00 mm) respectively.

**Conflicts of interest:** The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

## References

- Abozenadah, H., Bishop, A., Bittner, S., Lopez, O., Wiley, C., and Flatt, P.M. (2017), CH105 – Chapter 6, Consumer Chemistry: How Organic Chemistry Impacts Our Lives. CC BY-NC-SA. Available at: <https://wou.edu/chemistry/courses/online-chemistry-textbooks/ch105-consumer-chemistry>.
- Adhikari, A., & Bhandari, T. (2022). Socio-economic analysis of ginger production in Terhathum district, Province no. 1, Nepal. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 7(1), 61–69. <https://doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2022.070109>
- Aher, V., & Wahi, A. (2011). Immunomodulatory Activity of Alcohol Extract of *Terminalia chebula* Retz Combretaceae. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 10(5). <https://doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v10i5.5>
- Al-Fatimi, M., Wurster, M., Schröder, G., & Lindequist, U. (2007). Antioxidant, antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of selected medicinal plants from Yemen. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 111(3), 657–666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2007.01.018>
- Al-Nafie F.S., Hussein H.J., Al Rubaye A.F. (2024). Antifungal Efficacy of the crude Alkaloid, Flavonoid, and Terpenoid of *Saussurea costus* (Falc.) Lipschitz Roots against *Aspergillus* species isolated from Rice Seeds. *Adv. Life Sci.* 11(2): 392-397.
- Bhattacharjee, A., Anadón, J., Lohman, D., Doleck, T., Lakhankar, T., Shrestha, B., Thapa, P., Devkota, D., Tiwari, S., Jha, A., Siwakoti, M., Devkota, N., Jha, P., & Krakauer, N. (2017). The impact of climate change on biodiversity in Nepal: Current knowledge, lacunae, and opportunities. *Climate*, 5(4), 80. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli5040080>
- Bhattarai, K., Pokharel, B., Maharjan, S., & Adhikari, S. (2018). Chemical Constituents and Biological Activities of Ginger Rhizomes from Three Different Regions of Nepal. *J Nutri Diet Probiotics*, 2018(1), 180005. <https://www.academicstrive.com/JNDPS/JNDPS180005.pdf>



- Chen, I-Nan., Chang, C.-C., Ng, C.-C., Wang, C.-Y., Shyu, Y.-T., & Chang, T.-L. (2007). Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activity of Zingiberaceae Plants in Taiwan. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 63(1), 15–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-007-0063-7>
- Dingle, J., Reid, W. W., & Solomons, G. (1953). The enzymic degradation of -pectin and other polysaccharides. II—Application of the ‘cup-plate’ assay to the estimation of enzymes. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 4(3), 305–312. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JSFA.2740040305>
- Kumari S. (2016). Evaluation Of Phytochemical Analysis and Antioxidant and Antifungal Activity of Pithecellobium Dulce Leaves’ Extract. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceuticals and Clinical Research*, 10, (1), 370-375.  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i1.15576>
- Faisal Z. G. (2019). Antifungal Activity of Alkaloid and Flavonoid Extracted from Curcuma longa. *Journal of Global Pharma Technology*. 11(2) 56-361
- Gacem M.A., Telli A., Gacem H., OuldElHadjKhelil A. (2019). Phytochemical screening, antifungal and antioxidant activities of three medicinal plants from Algerian steppe and Sahara (preliminary screening studies). *Springer Nature Applied Sciences*, 1, 1721 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-1797-1>
- Joshi, P., & Khanal, S. (2021). Production status, export analysis, and future prospects of ginger in Nepal. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 6(2), 202–209. <https://doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2021.0602012>
- Jamshidi-Kia F., Lorigooini Z., & Amini-Khoei H. (2018). Medicinal plants: Past history and future perspective. *Journal of Herbmed Pharmacology*. 7(1) 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.15171/jhp.2018.01>
- Magar P., Pokhrel, G., Silwal S., Thapa S., Mahato A., Adhikari B., Bagale S., Shrestha P., Bhattarai B., & Pokhrel G. (2024). Antioxidant activity and HR-LCMS Analysis of Phytochemicals Present in the Methanolic Extract of the Rhizomes of Paris Polyphylla (Satuwa). *APi Journal of Science*. 1(1), 8–17. <https://www.nepjol.info/index.php/ajs/article/view/75480>
- Mao, Q.-Q., Xu, X.-Y., Cao, S.-Y., Gan, R.-Y., Corke, H., Beta, T., & Li, H.-B. (2019). Bioactive Compounds and Bioactivities of Ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe). *Foods*, 8(6), 185. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8060185>
- Masuda, Y., Kikuzaki, H., Hisamoto, M., & Nakatani, N. (2004). Antioxidant properties of gingerol related compounds from ginger. *Bio Factors*, 21(1–4), 293–296. <https://doi.org/10.1002/biof.552210157>
- Meyer, B., Ferrigni, N., Putnam, J., Jacobsen, L., Nichols, D., & McLaughlin, J. (1982). Brine Shrimp: A Convenient General Bioassay for Active Plant Constituents. *Planta Medica*, 45(05), 31–34. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-971236>
- Mishra, K., Ojha, H., & Chaudhury, N. (2012). Estimation of antiradical properties of antioxidants using DPPH assay: Critical review and results. *Food Chemistry*, 130(4), 1036–1043. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.07.127>
- Modaressi M., Shasavari R., Ahmadi F., Rahimi-Nasrabadi M., Abiri R., Mikaeli A., Batoli H. (2013). The Evaluation of Antibacterial, Antifungal and Antioxidant Activity of Methanolic Extract of Mindium Laevigatum (Vent.) Rech. F., From Central Part of Iran. *Jundishapur Journal of Natural Pharmaceutical Product*, 8(1): 34-40.  
DOI: 10.17795/jjnpp-7730



- Rawal, P., & Adhikari, R. S. (2016). Evaluation of antifungal activity of *Zingiber officinale* against *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici*. *Advances in Applied Science Research*, 7(2), 5–9. ISSN: 0976-8610
- Sabudak, T., Demirkiran, O., Ozturk, M., & Topcu, G. (2013b). Phenolic compounds from *Trifolium echinatum* Bieb. and investigation of their tyrosinase inhibitory and antioxidant activities. *Phytochemistry*, 96, 305–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2013.08.014>
- Shirin, A. P. R., & Jamuna, P. (2010). Chemical composition and antioxidant properties of ginger root (*Zingiber officinale*). *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 4(24), 2674–2679. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JMPR09.464>
- Shrestha, R., Shakya, A., & Shrestha, K. K. (2015). Phytochemical screening and antimicrobial activity of *Asparagus racemosus* wild. and *Asparagus curillus* Buch-Ham. Ex Roxb. *Journal of Natural History Museum*, 29, 91–102. <https://doi.org/10.3126/JNHM.V29I0.19041>
- Sivasothy, Y., Chong, W. K., Hamid, A., Eldeen, I. M., Sulaiman, S. F., & Awang, K. (2011). Essential oils of *Zingiber officinale* var. *Rubrum* Theilade and Their Antibacterial Activities. *Food Chemistry*, 124, 514–517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.06.062>
- Tohma, H. (2016). Antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) determined by HPLC-MS/MS. *Food Science & Technology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-016-9423-z>
- Yuan, H., Ma, Q., Ye, L., & Piao, G. (2016). The traditional medicine and modern medicine from natural products. *Molecules*, 21(5), 559. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21050559>