Multilingual Turn and the Need of Multilingual Education in the Nepalese Context

Man Bahadur Jora Assistant Professor Department of English Education, Kailali Multiple Campus, Kailali Far Western University, Nepal PhD Scholar Graduate School of Education, Tribhuvan University Nepal Email: manjora323@gmail.com

Abstract

This article is related with multilingual turn, multilingual education, and the need of it in the Nepalese context. It has highlighted the shifting of monolingual trend into multilingual education. Multilingual turn is a revolution in the sector of education. Day by day the demands of it are increasing for minority and indigenous languages. Similarly, there is need of multilingual education for people from such groups. Accordingly, the need of multilingual education is the focal point of this article. It has linked multilingual turn with multilingual education in the context of Nepal. Multilingual education is significant for learners' cognitive and literacy skills. These skills are possible through the access of home language, or mother tongue is better and more effective for language learners. In true sense, multilingual education is bridging between learners' first language and target language. Therefore, linguistic diversity is flourished from the multilingual education. Nepal, a multilingual country, has linguistic diversity requires multilingual education.

Keywords: Multilingualism, multilingual education, linguistic diversity, Nepal

Introduction

Educating children is providing them education in an enhanced and friendly way. They have to be facilitated to learn better and more effectively. They have to get education in an autonomous way. In doing so, their language diversity is either favoured, or disfavoured in the classroom. If it it is favoured, it is termed as bilingual or multilingual class. On the contrary, if learners' mother tongues are disfavoured and not used in the classroom, that is a monlingual classroom. Frankly speaking, there are two types of classes existed in terms of language. They are known as monolingual and bilingual classes. But the recent studies have shown that monolingual classes are better for learners in learning languages.

— Journal of Durgalaxmi (JDL), Volume 1 May, 2022 ———

This article has focused on multilingual turn and education. Language learning directing from the linguistic diversity is the main aim of it. Particularly, the need of multilingual education in the Nepalese context is the lightening point of this article. In Nepal, there is a need of multilingual education owing to its multilingual and multicultural richness. Inside this article, multilingual turn is talked first, and multilingual education is mattered in the latter part. Then Nepalese context is another part of this article. In this way, multilingual turn, need of multilingual Education, and Nepalese context are the central concerns of it.

Multilingual Turn

Multilingual turn is concerned with multilingualism. It is the concept developed against the monolingualism. Linguistic diversity is the flavor it enhances in the classroom. The term multilingual turn is related to super diversity (Vertovec, 2007). Along with the lens turning to the multilingualism and avoiding linguistic genocide (Skutnab-Kangass, 2000), monolingual hegemony went towards lessening. It started to foreground multilingualism rather than monolingualism. It has increasingly challenged bounded, unitary, and reified conceptions of languages and related notions of native speaker and mother tongue, arguing instead for the more complex fluid understandings of voice (Makoni & Pennycook, 2007, 2012), language as a social practice (Heller, 2007), and a related sociolinguistics of mobile resources (Bloommaert, 2010). There has got the conception of metrolingualism. The aim of urban applied linguistics is to describe the ways in which people of different and mixed backgrounds use, play with and negotiate identities through language (Makoni & Pennycook, 2012, p. 449). Therefore, multilingual turn existed with the need of identity formation and continuation of self-language in between communities or among them.

Multilingual turn flourished when people from minority and indigenous groups realized the value of their language. Writing in the early 1990s on the monolingual bias inherent in second language acquisition (SLA) research, as May (2014) reiterates Kachru (1994) despondently observed that, up until that point "few attempts [had] been made to gather evidence [of second language acquisition] from stable contexts of bi-/multilingualism in Africa, Asia, Europe and Latin America" (Kachru, 1994, p. 796).Multilingual turn offers a critique of, and alternative to dominant monolingual theories, pedagogies and practices in SLA, TESOL, and bilingual education (May, 2013).It is an advocacy for multiple competencies of bi/multilingual learners. It is boundary-breaking in subdisciplinary boundaries particularly, those between SLA, TESOL, and bilingual education. All the languages in the multilinguals' repertoire complement one another to produce the type of composite language competence that suits their needs (Kachru, 1994). Interdisciplinary perspectives for theory, pedagogy, and practice in each of these fields, Sridhar (1994) suggests a 'reality check' to

— Journal of Durgalaxmi (JDL), Volume 1 May, 2022 —

evaluate SLA theories in which an L2 does not replace an L1, but is used along with it.Multilingualism is becoming a social phenomenon governed by the needs of globalization and cultural openness. Multilingual turn proffers a vision of accepting the linguistic diversity and dealing with it accordingly.

Monolingualism may be the exception and multilingualism is the norm advocated by the multilingual turn. Multilingual turn is moving beyond the monolingual and psycholinguistic biases. This type of turn is ongoing critique of the monolingual bias in 'traditional/mainstream' SLA and TESOL (Sridhar, 1994). There is L1/L2 dichotomization in the array of it. SLA models have dichotomized L1 and L2 code-switching, code-mixing, transfer, convergence, and translanguaging. Different scholars try to conceptual multilingual turn with different terminologies. It can be termed as critical pedagogy (May &S leeter, 2010) and pedagogy of engagement (Pennycook,1999). To quote Block (2003), it is a social turn. Sociocultural view critiques linguistic-cognitive SLA. Leung, Harris, and Rampton (1997) opinethat the terms native speaker and mother tongue should be replaced with the terms language expertise, language inheritance, and language affiliation.

Cook (2008) advocates for the notion of 'multicompetence' to describe bi/multilingual speakers. Teaching English as an international language needs to be rehearsed with multilateral participation. Teachers in different communities have to devise curricula and pedagogies that have local relevance and linkage. Teaching materials have to be accommodated with the values and needs of diverse settings, with sufficient complexity granted to local knowledge. In this regard, we need to learn from diverse traditions of professionalization in different communities to develop a richer TESOL discourse (Canagarajah, 2006). Moreover, there is a must of disciplinary cross-fertilization (Corson, 2000). This is meant to say that English has to be taught with the access and ease of learners. The teaching of English does not have to be from the angle of nativization, but not from the aspiration of localization. The linguistic eye has to be with the milieu of learners from the conceptualization of sociolinguistic turn. A language learner needs to create identity. The interconnectedness between cultural globalization, identity formation, and English language education has started getting the attention it truly deserves from EIL educators (Higgins, 2009; Kubota & Lin, 2009; Kumaravadivelu, 2008; Lin, 2008).

May (2014) argues that there has to be developed an additive bilingual approach to SLA and TESOL because disciplines and their sub disciplines, such as SLA and TESOL, themselves construct, validate, contain, and exclude particular forms of knowledge. Result of their disciplinary histories and the academic hierarchies established within them. May examines these issues via Pierre Bourdieu's notions of 'habitus' and 'field' and Basil Bernstein's closely related notions of classification and framing. These views are discussed as below in accordance with May (2014) mostly.

Bourdieu's Conceptualization towards Multilingual Turn

May (2014) alleges that Bourdieu (1991) is connected with the notion of habitus. Habitus is represented dispositions, or ways of viewing and living in the world which affect, shape, and even structure our options and actions. Field is a specific site of economic, cultural, and/or intellectual reproduction, with its own logic of practice. Fields are structured spaces. Capital is refers to economic, cultural, intellectual values, established norms and boundaries in any field. Habitus is the embodiment of dispositions or ways of viewing and living in the world that influence, shape, and frame our choices and actions in our surrounding. Practice is a combination of the interrelationship between the structure of the relevant field and the habitus of the agents involved. Reproductive processes of field, habitus and practice may change over time.SLA and TESOL are as specific examples of Bourdieu's notion of intellectual [or academic] fields.

Bernstein's View towards Academic Disciplines

As May (2014) by linking the idea with Bernstein states that classification signifies the boundaries that are established both within, and between, academic disciplines or subjects. Framing is the locus of control over pedagogic communication and its context. Singulars are strong boundary maintenance (classification). Distributive (what research is valued), recontextualizing (how teachers enact acceptable research) and evaluative rules. Bernstein's and Bourdieu's analysis helps to explain why academic disciplines, and sub disciplines such as SLA and TESOL, are so often confined by a narrowly derived set of research assumptions, approaches, and related models of teaching and learning. Significant shifts in the structure and distribution of power and in principles of control - that is, in who controls, and what counts as, disciplinary knowledge. Inter disciplinarity reconceptualizes and reconfigures existing disciplines in order to overcome theoretical and research impasses (Albright, 2008), particularly where these impasses arise directly from existing disciplinary hierarchies of power and control.

LEAP: Crossing the Borderlands of Bilingual, SLA, and TESOL Research

As May (2014) highlights LEAP which refers to Language Enhancing the Achievement of Pasifika (New Zealand Ministry of Education, 2007). It addresses/redresses directly the long-standing criticism of the monolingual bias in SLA and TESOL. Monolingual bias is considered in English-dominant contexts. Integrated ESL program includes the situation of using the using the mother tongue of students to scaffold their learning. Currently, integration of research on bilingualism, SLA and TESOL has orientation towards learner language friendliness. An interactive web-based resource for teachers also is regarded

Journal of Durgalaxmi (JDL), Volume 1 May, 2022

within the classroom from the nesting of multi lingual turn. It is worth closely attending to recent developments in cultural theory and research that offer ways of accommodating to patterns of change in language use and social identity (Leung, 2005). This type of thinking is worth considering the social identity and cultural background of the bilingual/multilingual learners. Research from contexts of world Englishes that are by nature multilingual reveal that multilingual class is better for effective teaching and learning. The goal of it is to recapitulate the multilingual education. Multilingual education nourishes the educational attainment of children.

Multilingual Education

Multilingual education is the education which fosters the mother tongues of children in their learning process. Sometimes it is known as bilingual education. Simply, learner' languages become the medium of instruction. It is the education system that evokes the usage of learners' language in the classroom. Multilingual Education usually denotes first-language-first education, that is, schooling which begins in the mother tongue and transitions to additional languages. It depicts the bridge used at the time learning the second or foreign languages.

In mother tongue-based multilingual education programs, the students' home language, a regional or national language and an international language are used for instruction. There are many reasons behind the need of multilingual education. Due to such obstacles, their schooling is getting disturbed. One of the reasons for this is that children from minority language communities are forced to attend schools in which only the language of the majority population is used. The fact is children cannot succeed in school if they do not understand what their teachers are saying. That is why multilingual education is a boon for children from the minority and indigenous groups. In respect of defining multilingual education, the UNESCO resolution of 1999 (cited in UNESCO, 2003, p.17) which was instrumental in providing an impetus to the MLE movement, defined MLE as ,Bilingual and multilingual education refer to the use of two or more languages as mediums of instruction.

Multilingual education garners the multilingual competence and participatory involvement of learners in language classroom. They are able to develop communicative competence and multilinguistic skills for comprehending the communicative skills contextually. They can grasp the content and linguistic information significantly by the pairing of multilingual classes. In this regard, Garcia and Flores (2014, as cited in May, 2014) depict that common core ate standards are necessary to be in the educators. They say that individuals require the capacities to demonstrate independence as self-directed learner, build strong content knowledge, respond to the varying demands of audience, task, purpose, and discipline, comprehend as well as critique, and value evidence. Along with it, they opine that:

— Journal of Durgalaxmi (JDL), Volume 1 May, 2022 —

Multilingual Turn and the Need of Multilingual Education

The Common Core Standards (CCSS) are an excellent opportunity to provide U.S. students with a rigorous and challenging education that would enable to reach their full potential as learners and scholars. But to do so, the United States would have to come to terms with its own multilingualism and with the complex languaging of its bilingual speakers...the complex language practices of language practices of bilinguals open up multiple worlds to comprehend and critique and offer plural perspectives and multifaceted evidence that that provide further opportunities for rigorous analysis. (p.162)

Multilingual education is a need in the linguistically diverse classes for leading learning to the successful arenas. It handles learners in the correct ways of learning. The learners can develop the skills cognitively. They become motivated to expand their linguistic horizons. They even become able to learn in easy and comprehensible way. Their mother tongues become the means of learning languages. Learners not only learn the target language, but also they try to maintain the relationship between their L1 and L2. So, multilingual education is type of bridging which reduces the gaps.

Benefits of Multilingual Education

Multilingual education is better and more effective for learners in learning language. It supports their learning through the situation for using the home language of children. They perform better in the class and they get encouraged for learning language in the classroom. Adgai (2016) avers that multilingual education benefits learners for learning the target language through their language and can develop them socially. She states that multilingual education helps to learn English and to maintain their home language. She argues that it helps learners to maintain relationship with their families and communities. Adgai opines that multilingual education provides monolingual children the opportunity of learn the second language and become the bilingual.

Multilingual education shapes the cognitive skills of children in effective way. They can be familiar with the target language through the scaffolding of multilingual or bilingual education. Regarding this, Tsimpli (2017) believes that the bilingual education supports in cognitive tasks. Moreover, she states that home language practices usually refer to the time the child spends daily using the minority and the majority language at home as well as to the type of activities she uses in each language, such as shared book reading and storytelling usually referred to as emergent literacy skills. Her aim is here that multilingual or bilingual education helps for developing literacy skills.

As Nag (2018) states, the use of children's language in multilingual education (MLE) classrooms creates possibility for the classroom discourse to become socio culturally sensitive and collaborative with more symmetrical power distribution between students and teachers. She reiterates that multilingual education is

beneficial for being socio cultural sense and collaborative. Panda & Mohanty (2009a) further add that in a cultural psychology paradigm where human action is viewed from the perspective of meaning making and as an intentional act in an intentional world, the role of language assumes further significance. Multilingual education asserts meaning making information and performing intentional act. It amalgamates the visionary categorization for using the learners' language in the classroom.

Need of Multilingual Education in the Nepalese Context

Nepal is a multicultural and multilingual country. There is linguistic diversity in this country. There was monocentric policy in Nepal till the pre-2006 period. But later the tradition has changed and directed towards the multictural views from the post-2006 in the sense of Federal Democratic Nepal (Phyak, 2013). Nurmela (2009), Hough (2009) and Hough, Thapa Magar and Yonjan-Tamang (2010) see the need of a "bottom up community-based approach" to empower multilingual education (MLE) in Nepal. There are 123 national languages in Nepal (Census, 2011). Due to this linguistic variation, there is huge value of multilingual education in it. In so far, the minority and indigenous groups are suffering from the hegemony of monolingual policy although multilingual education is in access to some districts of Nepal.

Nepal, a tripartite state geographically-i.e. Himal, Terai, and Pahad (mountain, plain and hill)is a habitat of linguistically and culturally diverse. By and large, people have to attain opportunities of education in their respective languages. For better access in education, multilingual education is paramount. In Federal Republic Nepal, people from ethnic groups have to get education in every part of the state. The teaching learning is intended to be shifted from monolingual perspectivesto bi/multilingual perspectives. The teaching of language is necessary to be diverted to the learner centered scenarios. The consideration is crucial on behalf of learners. As Jora (2018, p. 785) concedes "[t]oday, thinking skills are seen as an essential part of education, because information is easily obtained, so the essential task is now to use that information wisely". For the effective use of information, learners are better to accomplish thinking skills which can foster to perform desired task. To be specific, multilingual education is likely to proffer learners intended actions.

Multilingual education can offer lots of advantages to the linguistically diverse learners. It can deliver learners the skills to communicate, multicultural awareness and cultural meaningfulness. They behave linguistically digested. For them, learning language means relating it to other languages. That is why they have to be acquainted with multiple languages in relation with their languages. Young and Helot (2006) and Garcia and Wei (2014) point out the following advantages of multilingual education:

Journal of Durgalaxmi (JDL), Volume 1 May, 2022 7

Multilingual Turn and the Need of Multilingual Education

- Multilingual education for all children.
- It fosters multilingual and multicultural awareness.
- It strengthens multilingual competence.
- It enhances linguistic and cultural sensitivity.

Bi/multilingual education in Nepalese context is an asset to preserve the home languages of learners. The learners deserve the chances to apply their languages in the classroom. However, their ability to use the L2 for learning new, more difficult concepts is a slower process. Russian psychologist Lev Vygotsky observed that the ability to talk about ideas is what helps learners think about them. If they are unable to talk about concepts in the second or third language, minority students' thinking is reduced to rote memorization (cf. Vygotsky, 1986). Language choice for medium of instruction has also been linked to measures economic and social inequality. Children are given adequate exposure by using their language in the medium of instruction.

The student-centered pedagogical model, shaped by Northern scholars such as John Dewey and Carl Rogers and popularized in the 20th century by educators such as Maria Montessori, is also heavily dependent on the use of a language which the learner has mastered. Linking with this, Vavrus, Thomas, and Bartlett (2011:81) reveal:

Because this approach relies heavily on critical thinking and dialogue, students and teachers need not only adequate space for discussions but also the linguistic skills in the [medium of instruction] to express complex ideas and to ask critical questions. Thus, [learner-centered pedagogy] places significantly higher linguistic demands on teachers and students than teachercentered approaches.

This statement shows that teachers should get special skills to deal with linguistically different children. From the joining efforts of teachers and learners, multilingual education can be smooth and goal oriented. Student centered approaches rely on the uses of learners languages. Teaching learning becomes easier and more successful if there is the situation of using their languages. Thus, use of children children's home language in the class provides tremendous benefits for their education and successful schooling. In Nepalese context, mother tongue medium of instruction is also appropriate for developing their proficiency, cognitive skills and literacy skills as well.

Conclusion

Multilingual education is opposite of monolingual education. It comes within linguistic turn because there is use of mother tongues of children. It is the system in which there are situated practice, overt instruction, critical framing and transformative action (New London Group, 1996). In it, learners have the access of associating their target language through the use of self-language. Monolingual

education is suitable for linguistically heterogeneous class. It is the type of class which encourages learners using their own languages for understanding the target language. It is the provisionary class in which they have pairing of their language in the paths of learning the target language. Mother tongue based education handovers skills of multi competence, multicultural awareness, and linguistic diversity to learners. In Nepal, multilingual education builds on the rapport between in course of teaching and learning. The multiculturality and linguistic diversity get respect in Nepal if multilingual classes are applied in the classes. Moreover, learners' motifs remain encouragement oriented, goal based, and achievement focused.

References

- Adgai, N. (2016). Benefits of multilingual education.[Online]. Psychology in Action. Retrieved from https://www.psychologyinaction.org/psychologyin-action-1/2016/08/22/5680
- Bourdieu, P. (1991). *Language and power*. Trans.G. Raymond & M. Adamson. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Bernstein, B. (1990). *The structuring of pedagogic discourse*, Vol. 4: Class, codes and control. London, UK: Routledge.
- Cook, V. (2008). Multi-competence: Black hole or wormhole for second language acquisition research? In Z. Han (Ed.), Understanding second language process (pp. 16-26). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Garcia, O., & Flores, N. (2014). Multilingualism and common core state standards in the United States. In May, S. (Ed.). (2014). *The multilingual turn: Implications for SLA, TESOL and blingual education*, pp. 146-166. New York and London: Routledge.
- Garcia, O. &Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism, and education*. New York, NY: Palgrave MacMillan. Retrieved from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/15235882.2014.965361#
- Helot, C. & Young, A. (2006). Imagining Multilingual Education in France: A Language and Cultural Awareness Project at Primary Level. *Research Gate*.Doi10.21832/9781853598968-004.
- Hough, D. A., R. B. Thapa-Magar & A. Yonjan-Tamang. (2009). Privileging indigenous knowledges: Empowering MLE in Nepal.
- Jora, M. B. (2018). ELT in Nepal: Exploring the Paradigm Shift. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 9(1), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.9.01.p8597

Journal of Durgalaxmi (JDL), Volume 1 May, 2022

Multilingual Turn and the Need of Multilingual Education

- Leung, C. (2007). Convivial communication: Recontextualizing communicative competence. International Journal of Applied Linguistics, 15(2), 119-144.
- May, S. (2014). *The multilingual turn: Implications for SLA, TESOL and blingual education.* New York and London: Routledge.
- Mohanty, A., Panda, M., Phillipson, R., & Skutnabb-Kangas, T. (Eds.). (2010).*Multilingual Education for Social Justice: Globalising the local*. New Delhi: OrientBlackswan.
- Nag, S. (2018). Transformative potentials of multilingual education models in Odisha, India European Journal of Education Studies, 4(4), 320-334. doi: 10.5281/zenodo.1218050 Hough, D. A. 2009. UN Declaration of Rights of Indigenous Peoples: Applications for MLE from Nepal to India and beyond. *Indian Folklore* 32 (April):6–10.
- Nurmela, L. (2009). The story of elders in mother tongue education in Nepal built upon notes from my field journal. *Indian Folklore*, 32 (April), 21–23.
- Panda, M., &Mohanty, A.K. (2009a). Socio-cultural construction of mind and language. In Shukla, A. (Ed.), *Culture, cognition and behaviour*, pp.191-205. New Delhi: Vedam Books.
- Phyak, P. (2013). Language ideologies and local languages as the medium of instruction policy: A critical ethnography of a multilingual school in Nepal. *Current Issues in Language Planning*, DOI:10.1080/14664208.2013.775557
- Tsimpli, I. M. (2017). Multilingual education for multilingual speakers. *Languages, Society & Policy. Retrieved from* https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.9803
- UNESCO. (2003). Education in a multilingual world. Paris: UNESCO.
- Vavrus, F., Thomas, M, & Bartlett, L. (2011). Ensuring quality by attending to inquiry: Learner-centeredpedagogy in Sub-Saharan Africa. Addis Ababa: UNESCO: International Institute for Capacity Building inAfrica.Retrieved fromhttp://www.eng.unescoiicba.org/sites/default/files/Fundamentals%20 4%20Eng.pdf.
- Vygotsky, L. (1986). Thought and language. Trans. Alex Kozulin. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Bender, P. (2006). Pedagogieconvergente (convergent pedagogy): Using participant perspectives tounderstand the potential of education reform in primary school classrooms in Mali (UnpublishedPhD dissertation). Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI.

Journal of Durgalaxmi (JDL), Volume 1 May, 2022 10