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ABSTRACT
The net benefit of replacing diesel bus by electric bus in Kathmandu Valley is found positive. The net benefits of replacing diesel bus by electric bus is found to be NRs 8,31,776. So, per bus per year in the context of Sajha yatayat, the government should encourage the introduction of electric bus inside Kathmandu Valley. The four types of scenarios including one Business as usual scenario and other three different replacement scenarios, namely, ESB25, ESB50 and ESB100 for the period 2019-2035 are analyzed in this study. The 15.5, 31.2 and 62.4 Million Mega joule of energy can be saved in ESB25, ESB50 and ESB100 scenarios respectively in comparison to BAU scenario. The cumulative cost saved from avoided energy is 0.12, 0.25 and 0.5 million US dollars at constant price of 2019 under ESB25, ESB50 and ESB100 scenarios respectively in comparison to BAU scenario. The total GHG emissions avoided is 15.6, 31.2 and 62.41 thousand tons under ESB25, ESB50 and ESB100 scenarios respectively in comparison to BAU scenario. The cumulative revenue generated is 0.15, 0.31 and 0.62 million US dollars at constant price of 2019 under ESB25, ESB50 and ESB100 scenarios respectively in comparison to BAU scenario. Since net benefit of replacing both old and new diesel bus is positive, ESB100 scenario is the best option to replace diesel buses by electric uses.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In recent years, scholars, policy-makers, and the general public in many parts of the world have become increasingly concerned over health and environmental damages associated with air pollution. As urban transport is among the most important contributors to urban air pollution, this concern has led to a heightened interest in Electric Vehicles (EVs) as well as other environmentally friendly alternative forms of transport. Consequently, many industrialized countries have revised the policies to encourage the use of alternative-fuel vehicles. In the United States, for example, California, New York and a few other states had mandates that required 10% of all motor vehicles sold after 2003 to be zero-emissions.
vehicles or, in other words, EVs. California, in particular, provides certain sales credit to encourage the purchase of EVs (Bhatta & Joshi 2004). Decreasing air quality and rapidly increasing fuel price with frequent fuel strikes has increased the interest of people of Kathmandu valley towards electrical vehicle. Public awareness about benefits of reducing air pollution level by replacing ICEVs by EVs is in increasing rate. Many researchers, advocates and environmentalists are encouraging government to make favorable policies to expand electrical vehicle system in Kathmandu.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Nepal imports 100% of fossil fuel it needs, since there are not any natural fossil fuel reserves found yet in Nepal. Nepal is expending huge portion of its budget to buy fossil fuel mainly from India. The demand of petroleum products is about 1.8 million tons per annum with annual increase by 20% whereas petroleum products constitute about 11% of total energy consumed in Nepal (MOF, 2019). In 2012, the valley consumed 46% of the total petrol and 16 % of the total diesel sales in Nepal (NRB,2012).The price of fossil fuel is increasing very rapidly in recent years. In 2002/11/23, the price of diesel was 26.50 NRs/L, whereas 17 years later in 2019/12/21, the price of diesel increased to 97.5 NRs/L (NOC, 2019). In other words, the price of diesel has been increased by 270 % in the period of 17 years (NOC, 2019). But in same duration, price of electricity increased by only 20% maximum (NEA, 2019).The above difference in increment of price of diesel and electricity favors strongly to replace diesel fueled vehicles by electrical vehicles.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

3.1. ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN NEPAL

Total energy consumption in Nepal in year 2008/09 was 401 million GJ. The same in year 2000/01 was 335 million GJ. Total energy consumption in the country in this period is increased by about 2.4% annually which is about in line with the present growth of GDP to some extent. The residential sector ac-counts for the major share of energy consumption (89.1%), followed by transport (5.2%), industry (3.3%), commercial (1.3%) and then the agricultural and others. Transport sector consumed 14 million GJ of energy in year 2000/01 which was increased to 21 million GJ in year 2008/09. Total petroleum consumption in year 2008/09 was 33 million GJ.

3.2. AIR POLLUTION STATUS OF KATHMANDU VALLEY

The ambient air quality of Kathmandu valley is very poor, comparable to some of the most polluted cities in the world. In terms of PM10 pollution, for example, it outranks cities like Kolkata, Mumbai and Mexico City. Kathmandu average annual PM10 concentration of 198 in 2003 is well above the National guideline of (CEN, 2003).

3.3. SAJHA YATAYAT

Sajha Yatayat is a cooperative public transportation organization which was established n 1961/1962 to provide efficient and affordable public transportation to commuters in Kathmandu Valley, as well to inter-district travelers. In the last decade, the organization suffered some institutional turbulence, but now it has been revived, with the participation of the Government of Nepal, as a cooperative
organization. Its goal is to provide public transportation that is efficient, transparent and professional in terms of service delivery.

3.4. COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS IN PUBLIC TRANSPORT ELECTRIFICATION

Cost-Benefit analysis is a decision-making tool that is used to systematically develop useful information about desirable and undesirable effects of public projects. In a sense, we may view benefit-cost analysis in the public sector as profitability analysis in the private sector. In other words, benefit-cost analysis attempts to determine whether the benefits of a proposed public activity outweigh the costs (Park, 2011).

4. METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

4.1. FIELD SURVEY
- Data Collection from Sajha Yatayat
- Calculation of Maintenance cost and Fuel Consumption
- Estimation of Passenger-km

4.2. DATA ANALYSIS
- Travel Demand Estimation
- Vehicle kilometers
- Data flow in LEAP model
- Scenario description and relevancy

5. BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS

5.1. ESTIMATION OF COST

Since diesel and electric buses are not manufactured in Nepal, the production cost of vehicle is the purchasing cost of vehicle before addition of any type of tax. In other words, production cost is the total cash outflow from nation. The production cost of diesel bus is taken as the reference of Ashok Leyland 54 Seater Passenger bus and that of electric bus is taken as the reference of New Bus 54 seater Chinese electric bus introduced by Sundar Yatayat Pvt. Ltd was claimed very high (NRs 1,64,00,000) but during the last visit to China while visiting the Foton Motor Group, Beijing which is the main Producer of Electric Vehicles operating in Beijing and the quotation of the different model of the Buses and for the 54 Seater i.e. 12 m long bus the was gotten with quoted price of 80000 USD. The current market price of Ashok Leyland bus is NRs. 55,00,000(From Official Dealer) and that of electric bus described above is NRs 1,150,000 in Nepal. The government charges 225% customs duty and 13% VAT on diesel vehicle and 10% customs duty and 13% VAT on electric vehicle.
Table 1: Estimation of cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Cost Item (NRs/Km)</th>
<th>New diesel bus</th>
<th>Electric bus</th>
<th>Electric bus-New diesel bus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Battery</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Maintenance/Repair</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Fuel/Energy</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>-19.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23.01</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>-13.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. ESTIMATION OF BENEFITS

The method used here to calculate net benefits is similar to Bhatta & Joshi (2004). The emission factors for different pollutants are given in column (a) of figure 4.2a and 4.2b. The costs due to health damage from polluting gases given in column (b) for context of United States. The emission factors and un-adjusted damage cost used in table 4.2a and 4.2b are taken as used by Bhatta Joshi (2004). In order to use these damage estimates in context of Nepal, these damage estimates have been multiplied by purchasing power parity(GDP) adjusted per capita income (PPP-adjusted PCP) ratio between US and Nepal, and given in Table 4.2a and 4.2b as adjusted damage in column(c). The GDP adjusted per capita income of United States and Nepal are $59,532 and $2,682 in 2017 (World Bank, 2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Emission (g/km)</th>
<th>Unadjusted damage (Cents/gm)</th>
<th>Adjusted damage (Cents/gm)</th>
<th>Adjusted damage (NRs/g)</th>
<th>Damage (NRs/km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>44.648</td>
<td>2.011455</td>
<td>229.3059</td>
<td>2.407712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NO2</td>
<td>9.100</td>
<td>1.856</td>
<td>0.083615</td>
<td>9.532156</td>
<td>0.867426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SO2</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>3.248</td>
<td>0.146327</td>
<td>16.68127</td>
<td>0.04554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.904</td>
<td>0.00232</td>
<td>0.000105</td>
<td>0.011915</td>
<td>0.000227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>541.5</td>
<td>0.00336</td>
<td>0.000151</td>
<td>0.017256</td>
<td>0.093444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NMVOC</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.10788</td>
<td>0.00486</td>
<td>0.554057</td>
<td>0.00374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.418088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The net benefit of replacing old diesel bus with electric bus was found to be NRs 8,31,776.7/year per vehicle, i.e, society will be gaining NRs. 8,31,776.7/year per vehicle if diesel bus is replaced by electric bus.

6. TRANSPORT DEMAND AND FORECAST

6.1. VEHICULAR POPULATION

The average annual growth rate has been 7.22% in the last five years for Kath-mandu Valley. At this rate the population of vehicles (except 2 wheelers) will be doubled after 10 years (DOTM 2019). Travel demand estimation
6.2. POPULATION AND GDP ELASTICITY

The value of population elasticity and GDP elasticity are taken as 1.44 and 0.41 respectively as assumed by Shrestha & Rajbhandari (2009) for road passenger transport sector of Kathmandu Valley.

6.3. FORECAST OF FUTURE PASSENGER TRAFFIC

As per the survey conducted under this study, the total no. of diesel bus running in Kathmandu Valley is 67 and current no. of passenger/trip of diesel bus is 95.47. The electric bus is assumed to be introduced only after 2019 onwards. As shown in Figure 1 the TOD based average electricity price is calculated as 5.8 NRs/KWh.

6.4. FUEL CONSUMPTION

Fuel consumption in transport sector is dependent upon vehicle activity, type of vehicle, specific fuel consumption and other driving parameters like speed, vehicle ages etc. (Pradhan, 2004). While in this study, parameters like specific fuel consumption, type of vehicle and the vehicle activity are taken into account for determining the fuel consumption. The trend of fuel consumption for each vehicle type in different scenarios is determined. Specific fuel consumption for the diesel bus in year 2019 is determined from primary survey from data taken from Sajha Yatayat of diesel bus. The specific fuel consumption for diesel bus is found to be 0.322 from survey. The specific fuel consumption for electric bus is taken as 1.34 KWh/km (Foton Motor Corp). The Figure 2 shows the fuel properties for each type of fuel.

![Figure 1: Forecasted passenger kilometers of existing diesel bus](image)
The fuel consumption in the alternative scenarios shows that the avoided fuel consumption in the ESB100 scenario is highest. Also total of 15.5, 31.2 and 62.1 Million Mega joule of energy can be saved in ESB25, ESB50 and ESB100 scenarios respectively in comparison to BAU scenario. The cumulative cost saved from avoided energy is 0.12 million US dollars in ESB25 scenario at con-stant price of 2019 in comparison to BAU scenario. Similarly it is 0.25 million US dollar and 0.8 million US dollar in ESB50 and ESB100 scenarios respectively.

6.5 GHG EMISSIONS

The air pollutants considered in this study are CO, NO2, SO2 and PM10 only. The Green houses gases taken into account in this study include CO2 and NO2 only. The one hundred year Global Warming Potential is considered in this study. The Global Warming Potentials (GWPs) of CO2 and NO2 are taken 1 and 310 t CO2 equivalent for this analysis based on LEAP-IPCC Assessment Report (1995) integrated in LEAP (2011). GWPs show their relative strength to have effect in Global Warming Potential. As shown in Figure 3, the direct GWP of NO2, for example, is defined as the cumulative direct effect on the atmosphere’s energy budget resulting from one kilogram release of NO2, relative to the direct effect of a one kilogram release of CO2.
7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1. CONCLUSION

The net benefit of replacing diesel bus by electric bus in Kathmandu Valley is found positive. The net benefits of replacing diesel bus by electric bus are found to be NRs 8,31,776.7 So, the government should encourage the introduction of electric bus inside Kathmandu Valley. The four types of scenarios including one business as usual scenario and other three different replacement scenarios ESB25, ESB50 and ESB 100 were analyzed in the study. The total passenger service demand of bus in 2019 is 285 million passenger kilometers and this will increase to more than three folds in 2035. The total energy consumption is increased from 39 Million Mega joule in 2019 to 101 Million Mega joule in 2035. In other units, the diesel consumption is increased from 975 thousand liters in 2019 to 2437 thousand liters in 2035. The 15.2, 31.1 and 62.2 Million Mega joule of energy can be avoided in ESB25, ESB50 and ESB100 scenarios respectively in comparison to BAU scenario.

The cumulative cost saved from avoided energy is 0.12 million US dollars in EMB25 scenario at constant price of 2013 in comparison to BAU scenario. Similarly it is 0.25 and 0.5 million US dollar in EMB50 and EMB100 scenarios respectively. Relative to BAU scenario, there will be 25%, 50% and 100% total Emissions reduction in end year 2035 in EMB25, EMB50 and EMB100 scenarios respectively. The total GHG emission in 2019 is 3.6 thousand tons. In 2035, it is in creased to 9.7 thousand tons, which is more than 3 times that of 2019. The cumulative GHG emission of 2019 to 2035 is 105.4 thousand tons. The cumulative GHG emission of 2019 to 2035 is 6,928 tons in BAU scenario. The total GHG emissions avoided is 15.6, 31.2 and 62.41 thousand tons under ESB25, ESB50 and ESB100 scenarios respectively in comparison to BAU scenario.

The cumulative revenue generated from year 2019 to 2035 is $150000, $310000 and $615000 in ESB25, ESB50 and ESB100 scenarios respectively at constant price of 2019. The cumulative revenue generated will be $150000, $310000 and $615000 if carbon is traded at the price of $12 or higher.
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