Socio-economic Status of Parents and Their Perception of Public and Private Schools in Nepal

Sukuman Dangol

Lecturer at Nuwakot Adarsha Multiple Campus Bidur, Nuwakot, MPhil, from Central Department of Population Studies (CDPS), and PhD candidate at Tribhuvan University (TU), Kirtipur Kathmandu

Bishnu Lamichhane

Research Department of Transcultural Psychosocial Organization (TPO) Nepal, Baluwatar Kathmandu, MPhil from Central Department of Population Studies (CDPS), Tribhuvan University (TU), Kirtipur Kathmandu

Abstract

Background: Education is essential for individuals as it provides skills and opportunities for livelihood. The privatization of education, which was promoted by the UK government in 1979. In Nepal, public and private schools differ in academic reputation, with private schools often perceived as having higher standards.

Methods: This study aimed to compare parents' perceptions of school quality based on whether their children attend public or private schools. A survey of 128 publics and private school's students' parents was conducted using a cross-sectional design.

Results: The study found that parents of children in private schools had a more favorable view of school quality than parents of children in public schools. This could result in public schools losing high-achieving students to private schools. Factors such as land ownership (P=0.018), owning an ox at home (P=0.011), having a television (P=0.030), and receiving scholarships, textbook prizes, and free exam fees from the school (P<0.001) were statistically significant.

Conclusions: Public schools play a vital role in providing quality education, but their standards have been declining due to neglect. Despite having trained teachers and good facilities, parents are increasingly choosing private schools. The government invests in all sectors of public schools, but there is a need for a plan to improve their image.

Keywords: Education, Private, Public, Quality, Parent satisfaction

Background

Education is essential for every individual as it provides skills and opportunities for livelihood(Lawrence, 1998; Oxenham, Diallo, Katahoire, Petkova-Mwangi, & Sall, 2002). The term 'education' originates from the Latin word 'educare,' which means to learn and teach. Education is a lifelong process that begins from infancy and continues throughout life(Kumar & Ahmad, 2008; Patra, 2017; Ravi, 2022; Taneja, 1995). It encompasses not only formal schooling but also a change in attitude. UNICEF in the 1960s defined education as "learning to know, learning to do, learning to live together, and learning to be(Villani, 2021; Yeung, 2016)."Privatization, a concept often discussed by economists, gained momentum with the conservative government in the UK in 1979, which promoted private enterprises by reducing public spending. Milton Friedman, a proponent of the neoliberal perspective, introduced the idea of public vouchers.

Today, education is a complex and debated topic, with questions about school quality, curriculum, and teacher training being raised regularly (Arsenijević, Andevski, & Milin, 2012; Ball, 2021; Jacobson, Kapur, & Reimann, 2016). The distinction between public and private schools is no longer straightforward, as factors such as academic reputation, religious beliefs, location, costs, and school size influence parents' decisions (Burgess, Greaves, Vignoles, & Wilson, 2015; Chubb & Moe, 1988; Nichols, 2010; Nicholson, 2023). While some research suggests that private schools offer superior academic programs, this is not always the case, and various factors play a role in parents' school choices (Dronkers & Robert, 2003; Dronkers & Robert, 2008; Lubienski, 2006; Suppramaniam, Kularajasingam, & Sharmin, 2019; Zuilkowski, Piper, Ong'ele, & Kiminza, 2018).

When comparing public and private schools in Nepal, several differences emerge. Academic reputation is a contentious issue, with private schools often perceived as having higher standards. However, research indicates that public schools may be closing the gap academically. Questions arise about the eligibility of faith-based schools for government funding, the extent of privatization in education, and the overall impact of private versus public schooling on student outcomes and character development.

This study aims to explore the reasons why parents choose to send their children to private or public schools in Nepal. Specific objectives include identifying the enrollment proportions in private and community schools, examining the demographic and socioeconomic differences among households choosing private or public schools, and understanding the implications of parents' preferences for private education. This study addresses the gap between private and public education in Nepal, where private schools are increasingly meeting the demand for education. The findings will inform education policy, NGOs, researchers, and students studying the education sector. Understanding the dynamics of private education in Nepal is crucial for assessing its impact on quality, access, and equity in education.

Methods Study site

Nuwakot district is characterized by diverse geographical and socio-cultural features, ranging from 457 to 5144 meters above sea level. The district covers a total area of 1121 sq. km, situated between latitudes 850 eastern to 850 45' east and longitudes 270 45' northern to 280 to 20' north. The study was conducted in Bidur municipality, which has a total population of 40,842 and a literacy rate of 69.6 percent (Census 2021). The area is inhabited by various caste and ethnic groups such as Brahmins, Chhetri, Newar, Tamang, and others (DDC, 2071). Bidur municipality consists of 11 wards, among them 3 wards being purposively selected for the study aims to comparison between private and public schools.

Research design and sampling

The research design employed cross-sectional, used for data collection. The study aimed to compare parents sending their children to public and private schools through quantitative methods household survey. A total of 128 households were selected from a population of 869. Selection of households in the study area using systematic random sampling and purposive sampling for study area selection. The inclusion criteria for respondents were parents with at least one child attending either a public or private school.

Data collection tools and technique

Data were collected through structured questionnaires using face-to-face interviews. The questionnaire included two sections a household schedule and an individual questionnaire. The questionnaire was developed in the Nepali language by the first author and pilot-tested before the interviews. Pilot testing was conducted in Bidur municipality ward no.4. Changes were made to the questionnaire based on the pilot testing and suggestions from the thesis supervisor and others MPhil collages.

Data analysis

The data processing included editing the questionnaires post-field survey, creating a master table in Epi Data and SPSS, and entering and cleaning the data. The study utilized structured questionnaires for quantitative data collection, concentrating on the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of parents sending their children to private or public schools. The data analysis involved descriptive analysis utilizing frequency tables, and cross-tabulations with interpretations drawn from comparisons with existing statistics. Stata SE 18 software is used for data analysis.

Limitations: The study has some limitations. Firstly, due to a small sample size, the results of this study may not be generalizable to the entire population of Nepal. And it was conducted in only one municipality 3 wards of Nuwakot district.

Secondly, this study was undertaken to fulfill the requirements of a Master of Philosophy in Population Studies at CDPS, TU, and only a small part of the first author's thesis.

Results

Respondents' perceptions of private school education

Three-fourths of the respondents are male (75.0%), while nearly all households (90.06%) own land. A minority of people cultivate others' land (3.9%). Approximately half of the respondents send their children to private schools (49.2%), with the remaining proportion (50.8%) opting for public schools. Table 1 illustrates the respondents' perceptions of private school education. More than half (58.4%) reported it as good, followed by very good (18.4%) and true (16.0%). Only a few respondents described it as general, and less than 1% were undecided. Respondents whose children receive textbooks, scholarships, etc., tend to have higher satisfaction levels.

Table 1: percentage distributions of respondent perceptions on private school education by socio-demographic characteristics

Socio-demographic status	Very good	Good	TRUE Gener		Do not stayed	Total				
Sex of the respondent										
Male	20.8	58.3	12.5	7.3	1	75				
Female	12.5	56.2	28.1	3.1	0	25				
Having land ownership)									
No	8.3	66.7	8.3	8.3	8.3	9.4				
Yes	19.8	56.9	17.2	6	0	90.6				
Cost of land										
Less than 1000000	11.8	58.8	17.6	11.8	0	14.7				
1000001-2000000	18.2	59.1	22.7	0	0	19				
2000001-3000000	16.7	72.2	5.6	5.6	0	15.5				
3000001-6000000	26.9	42.3	19.2	11.5	0	22.4				
6000000 and more	21.2	57.6	18.2	3	0	28.4				
Cultivate others land	Cultivate others land									
No	19.5	56.9	16.3	6.5	0.8	96.1				
Yes	0	80	20	0	0	3.9				
Sufficiency of food from	n land									
Less than 6 months	11.1	61.1	19.4	5.6	2.8	28.1				

6 months-1 years	26.3	52.6	14	7	0	44.5				
More than one years	14.3	62.9	17.1	5.7	0	27.3				
House ownership										
No	9.1	63.6	27.3	0	0	8.6				
Yes	19.7	57.3	15.4	6.8	0.9	91.4				
Main sources of income	!									
Own agriculture and livestock	25.4	54.9	15.5	4.2	0	62.3				
Others land	0	61.5	23.1	7.7	7.7	11.4				
Business	23.1	69.2	0	7.7	0	11.4				
Nonagricultural labor, Service, Remittance	11.8	64.7	17.6	5.9	0	14.9				
Type of school of baby										
Private	36.5	46	12.7	4.8	0	49.2				
Public	1.5	69.2	20	7.7	1.5	50.8				
Get a scholarship from	the school									
No	30.3	51.5	13.6	4.5	0	52				
Yes	6.6	65.6	18	8.2	1.6	48				
Get textbook from scho	ol									
No	31.1	50.8	13.1	4.9	0	48				
Yes	7.6	65.2	18.2	7.6	1.5	52				
Get price from school	Get price from school									
No	30.5	50.8	13.6	5.1	0	46.8				
Yes	7.5	64.2	19.4	7.5	1.5	53.2				
Get free exam fees from	Get free exam fees from school									
No	28.4	53.7	11.9	6	0	53.6				
Yes	6.9	63.8	20.7	6.9	1.7	46.4				
Total	18.4	58.4	16	6.4	0.8	100.0				

Respondents' perceptions of public school education

Table 2 illustrates the respondents' perceptions of public school education. Quite less than half (47.2%) reported it as good, followed by true (21.1%) and general (13.8%). Only one in seven respondents described it as very good, and around 5% were undecided. Respondents whose children receive textbooks, scholarships, etc., tend to have higher satisfaction levels.

Table 2: percentage distributions of respondent perceptions on public school education by socio-demographic characteristics

Socio-demographic status	Very good	Good	TRUE	General	Do not stay	Total
Sex of the respondent						
Male	15.6	45.8	21.9	13.5	3.1	76.2
Female	6.7	50	20	13.3	10	23.8
Having land ownership						
No	0	54.5	18.2	18.2	9.1	8.7
Yes	14.8	46.1	21.7	13	4.3	91.3
Cost of land						
Lessthan 1000000	0	52.9	17.6	23.5	5.9	14.8
1000001-2000000	4.5	72.7	18.2	4.5	0	19.1
2000001-3000000	16.7	33.3	33.3	16.7	0	15.7
3000001-6000000	32	36	0	20	12	21.7
6000000 and more	15.2	39.4	36.4	6.1	3	28.7
Cultivate others land						
No	14	47.1	22.3	11.6	5	96
Yes	0	40	0	60	0	4
Sufficiency of food from	land					
Less than 6 months	8.3	44.4	27.8	11.1	8.3	28.6
6 months-1 years	20	41.8	16.4	18.2	3.6	43.7
More than one years	8.6	57.1	22.9	8.6	2.9	27.8
House ownership						
No	0	50	0	40	10	7.9
Yes	14.7	46.6	23.3	11.2	4.3	92.1
Main sources of income						
Own agriculture and livestock	18.6	35.7	27.1	14.3	4.3	62.5
Others land	8.3	41.7	33.3	8.3	8.3	10.7
Business	15.4	76.9	0	7.7	0	11.6
Non-agriculture labor, Service, Remittance	5.9	64.7	11.8	11.8	5.9	15.2
Type of school of baby				1		
Private	25.8	35.5	19.4	16.1	3.2	49.2
		-	•	•		•

The Journal of Nuwakot Adarsha Multiple Campus [Volume: 1, Issue: 5, 2081/2024 AD.] / 143

Public	1.6	57.8	23.4	10.9	6.2	50.8			
Get a scholarship from the school									
No	21.5	41.5	20	13.8	3.1	52			
Yes	5	53.3	21.7	13.3	6.7	48			
Get textbook from school									
No	21.7	40	20	15	3.3	48			
Yes	6.2	53.8	21.5	12.3	6.2	52			
Get a prize from the scho	ol								
No	22.4	41.4	19	13.8	3.4	46.8			
Yes	4.5	51.5	24.2	13.6	6.1	53.2			
Get free exam fees from s	Get free exam fees from school								
No	21.2	42.4	18.2	15.2	3	53.7			
Yes	3.5	52.6	24.6	12.3	7	46.3			
Total	13	47.2	21.1	13.8	4.9	100.0			

Status of sending baby to school

Table 3 presents the distribution of respondents based on their choice of school for their babies. Approximately half of the respondents (49.2%) opt for private schools, while the remaining half (50.8%) choose public schools. Public schools offer more facilities such as textbooks, prizes, free exam fees, and scholarships compared to private schools. Respondents with better household amenities tend to send their babies to private schools rather than public schools. Factors such as land ownership (P=0.018), owning an ox in the household (P=0.011), having a television at home (P=0.030), and receiving scholarships, textbook prizes, and free exam fees from the school (P<0.001) show statistical significance.

Table 3: Distributions of respondent sending their baby to public and private school education by socio-demographic characteristics

Socio- demographic		baby Private ol		baby Public ol	Total	I	P value	Odds ratio (95% of CI)		
Status	N	%	N	%	N	%				
Having land ow	Having land ownership									
No	2	16.7	10	83.3	12	9.4	0.018	0.180 (0.038-0.859)		
Yes	61	52.6	55	47.4	116	90.6	0.018			
Cultivate others land										
No	61	49.6	62	50.4	123	96.1	0.674	1.47((0.000, 0.140)		
Yes	2	40	3	60	5	3.9	0.074	1.476 (0.238–9.143)		

The Journal of Nuwakot Adarsha Multiple Campus [Volume: 1, Issue: 5, 2081/2024 AD.] / 144

House ownersh	ip								
No	4	36.4	7	63.6	11	8.6	0.372	0.562 (0.156, 2.022)	
Yes	59	50.4	58	49.6	117	91.4	0.372	0.562 (0.156–2.022)	
Get a scholarsh	ip fron	n a scho	ol						
No	59	89.4	7	10.6	66	52	>0.001	120.107 (33.352-	
Yes	4	6.6	57	93.4	61	48		432.530)	
Get textbook fro	om sch	ool							
No	58	95.1	3	4.9	61	48	>0.001	235.867 (53.918-	
Yes	5	7.6	61	92.4	66	52		1031.806)	
Get prize from	school								
No	55	93.2	4	6.8	59	46.8	> 0 001	177.857(32.710-	
Yes	7	10.4	60	89.6	67	53.2	>0.001	424.654)	
Get free exam f	ees fro	m schoo	ol	•					
No	57	85.1	10	14.9	67	53.6	. 0 001	72.691 (19.385-	
Yes	5	8.6	53	91.4	58	46.4	>0.001	188.316)	
Having cow in	housel	ıold							
No	39	47	44	53	83	64.8	0.402	0.77((0.275, 1.605)	
Yes	24	53.3	21	46.7	45	35.2	0.493	0.776 (0.375–1.605)	
Having ox in ho	useho	ld							
No	58	54.2	49	45.8	107	83.6	0.011	3.788 (1.294–11.085)	
Yes	5	23.8	16	76.2	21	16.4	0.011		
Having she buf	falo in	the hou	ısehol	d					
No	28	41.8	39	58.2	67	52.3	0.079	0.522 (0.264, 1.076)	
Yes	35	57.4	26	42.6	61	47.7	0.079	0.533 (0.264–1.076)	
Having the buff	falo in	the hou	seholo	i					
No	51	47.7	56	52.3	107	83.6	0.427	0.632 (0.266, 1.755)	
Yes	12	57.1	9	42.9	21	16.4	0.42/	0.633 (0.266–1.755)	
Having goat/sh	eep in	the hou	sehold	1					
No	43	55.8	34	44.2	77	60.2	0.065	1 060 (0 054 4 027)	
Yes	20	39.2	31	60.8	51	39.8	0.065	1.960 (0.954–4.027)	
Having a pig in	the ho	ouseholo	i l						
No	57	50.9	55	49.1	112	87.5	0.316	1 707 (0 E99 E 07E)	
Yes	6	37.5	10	62.5	16	12.5		1.727 (0.588–5.075)	

Having a hen/duck in the household										
No	40	51.3	38	48.7	78	60.9				
Yes	23	46	27	54	50	39.1	0.56	1.236 (0.607-2.518)		
				34	30	39.1				
Having electricity in the household No 4 44.4 5 55.6 9 7										
Yes	59	49.6	60				0.776	0.814 (0.208-3.179)		
			60	50.4	119	93				
Having Solar at	1		l		T	T		T		
No	58	49.2	60	50.8	118	92.2	0.266	0.967 (0.266–3.5150		
Yes	5	50	5	50	10	7.8				
Having radio/ ca	astes a	t housel	nold							
No	13	50	13	50	26	20.3	0.020	1.440 (0.440-2.461)		
Yes	50	49	52	51	102	79.7	0.929			
Having a televis	sion in	the hou	sehol	d	•	•	•			
No	10	32.3	21	67.7	31	24.2	0.000			
Yes	53	54.6	44	45.4	97	75.8	0.030	0.395 (0.169–0.927)		
Having a mobil	e in th	e house	hold	I			<u>I</u>			
No	9	52.9	8	47.1	17	13.3	0.740	1 110 (0 127 0 201)		
Yes	54	48.6	57	51.4	111	86.7	0.742	1.118 (0.427–3.301)		
Food sufficiency	Food sufficiency for one year									
No	16	45.7	19	54.3	35	27.3	0.627	1 212 (0 EE7 2 (45)		
Yes	47	50.5	46	49.5	93	72.7		1.213 (0.557–2.645)		
Total	63	49.2	65	50.8	128	100				

Discussion

This article contributes to the argument regarding parents' preferences for school choice, whether private or public. Much of the research on parent choice in education focuses on public and private schools separately. In this article, we aim to understand the dynamics involved in parents' decision-making between public and private schools. The results of this study indicate that parents have a more positive perception of private schools compared to public schools. Additionally, when children receive textbooks, scholarships, prizes, etc., parents tend to be more satisfied with the school. Textbooks, scholarships, and prizes show significant results.

The study reveals that the choice between public and private schools varies based on socioeconomic status. Parents with higher socioeconomic status are more likely to choose private schools over public schools due to the financial burden associated with private school fees, uniforms, books, and other expenses for parents with lower socioeconomic status. Other studies have also shown similar results. Academic performance was ranked third in preference, with the quality of teachers being the fourth factor considered (Rehman, Khan, Tariq, & Tasleem, 2010; Yaacob, Osman, & Bachok, 2014; Yaacob, Osman, & Bachok, 2015). Private schools of high socioeconomic status tend to outperform similar public schools, while private schools of low socioeconomic status tend to underperform, even for students with high socioeconomic status (Pandolfini, 2013; Rowe & Perry, 2020; Yaacob et al., 2014).

Furthermore, the majority of respondents have a favorable view of private schools compared to public schools. This positive perception is influenced by factors such as payment methods, English medium instruction in private schools, and the care provided by teachers to students (Amponsah-Efah, 2021; J. S. Coleman, 1981; Dearden & Macaro, 2016). Other research studies also support this view (Dearden & Macaro, 2016), highlighting the positive influence of private schools on measures of educational attainment (Charles, 2011; Cohen-Zada & Sander, 2008; J. Coleman, Hoffer, & Kilgore, 1982).

Moreover, the availability of free textbooks, scholarships, prizes, and waived exam fees significantly influences school choice. Many participants opt for public schools due to the availability of these incentives. Others research also show same results (Glynn, 2017; Maiyo, 2016).

The study offers recommendations for improving school quality and criteria for school selection. It suggests that the government should implement policy measures to ensure the use of effective criteria in all schools. Future research recommendations include conducting studies with a larger and more diverse participant population, qualitative studies with in-depth interviews to explore educational attributes affecting perceptions of school quality, and additional measurements to assess parental satisfaction.

Conclusion

Public schools are crucial education institutions for providing quality education. However, due to negligence from stakeholders, the quality of education in public schools has been declining. Despite offering free education to children, parents are increasingly drawn to private schools. Public schools have trained teachers, and good physical facilities, and receive investments from the government in all sectors. Nepal's federal governance and local governance need to create a plan for improving people's perceptions of public schools. And School Management Comity (SMC) regularly monitors the work of public school teachers as well as students' progress.

Funding: Funding was provided by the University Grants Commission (UGC), Sanothami Bhaktapur. (Ref. No. 63)

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments: We thank the entire team participants who provided valuable information.

Disclaimers: The authors are solely responsible for the views expressed in this publication, which do not necessarily represent the decisions, policies, or views of the authors' affiliated organizations.

Author Contributions: SD is responsible for proposal design, data collection, filtering, data analysis, and manuscript writing. BL is responsible for assessing the work, especially data, analyses, and preparing manuscripts. Both of the authors review and read the manuscript and then submit it.

Availability of data and materials: All data and materials from this study are available and can be accessed from Sukuman Dangol via email at sukumandongol@gmail.com

References:

- Amponsah-Efah, I. (2021). Pedagogy of English and mathematics teachers in middle to high feepaying private schools in Ashanti Region in Ghana. University of Sussex.
- Arsenijević, J., Andevski, M., & Milin, D. (2012). Key Topics in Debate on Distance Education. *Knowledge, Education, Media*, 30-45.
- Ball, S. J. (2021). The education debate: Policy Press.
- Burgess, S., Greaves, E., Vignoles, A., & Wilson, D. (2015). What parents want: School preferences and school choice. *The Economic Journal*, 125(587), 1262-1289.
- Charles, G. W. (2011). Parental perceptions of school quality in public and private schools: Northcentral University.
- Chubb, J. E., & Moe, T. M. (1988). Politics, markets, and the organization of schools. *American political science review*, 82(4), 1065-1087.
- Cohen-Zada, D., & Sander, W. (2008). Religion, religiosity and private school choice: Implications for estimating the effectiveness of private schools. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 64(1), 85-100.
- Coleman, J., Hoffer, T., & Kilgore, S. (1982). Achievement and segregation in secondary schools: A further look at public and private school differences. *Sociology of education*, 55(2), 162-182.
- Coleman, J. S. (1981). *Public and private schools* (Vol. 3): ERIC Document Reproduction Service.
- Dearden, J., & Macaro, E. (2016). Higher education teachers' attitudes towards English medium instruction: A three-country comparison. *Studies in second language learning and teaching*, 6(3), 455-486.
- Dronkers, J., & Robert, P. (2003). The effectiveness of public and private schools from a comparative perspective.
- Dronkers, J., & Robert, P. (2008). School choice in the light of the effectiveness differences of various types of public and private schools in 19 OECD countries. *Journal of School Choice*, 2(3), 260-301.
- Glynn, J. (2017). Opening doors: How selective colleges and universities are expanding access for high-achieving, low-income students.

- Jacobson, M. J., Kapur, M., & Reimann, P. (2016). Conceptualizing debates in learning and educational research: Toward a complex systems conceptual framework of learning. *Educational psychologist*, 51(2), 210-218.
- Kumar, S., & Ahmad, S. (2008). Meaning, aims and process of education. *School of Open Learning*, 3-6.
- Lawrence, J. (1998). Adult education and jobs, or sustainable livelihoods. *Adult Learning and the Changing World of Work*, 62.
- Lubienski, C. (2006). School choice and privatization in education: An alternative analytical framework. *Journal for critical education policy studies*, 4(1), 1-26.
- Maiyo, A. K. (2016). Government subsidies and their influence on student access and retention in secondary schools in Nandi north sub-county, Kenya. Kisii University.
- Nichols, M. K. (2010). Parents' perceptions of private Christian schools and why parents pay when public education is free: Capella University.
- Nicholson, A. (2023). What factors influence school choice, with particular reference to school reputation?, Anglia Ruskin Research Online (ARRO).
- Oxenham, J., Diallo, A. H., Katahoire, A. R., Petkova-Mwangi, A., & Sall, O. (2002). Skills and literacy training for better livelihoods. *ADULT EDUCATION*, *58*(8).
- Pandolfini, V. (2013). Public or private education? Parents' choices between actual and potential pluralism. *Italian Journal of Sociology of Education*, 5(Italian Journal of Sociology of Education 5/2), 189-217.
- Patra, G. (2017). Meaning, Aims and Process of Education.
- Ravi, S. S. (2022). A comprehensive study of education: PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.
- Rehman, N. U., Khan, J., Tariq, M., & Tasleem, S. (2010). Determinants of parents' choice in selection of private schools for their children in District Peshawar of Khyber Pakhunkhwa province. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 44(2), 177-187.
- Rowe, E., & Perry, L. B. (2020). Inequalities in the private funding of public schools: Parent financial contributions and school socioeconomic status. *Journal of Educational Administration and History*, 52(1), 42-59.
- Suppramaniam, S., Kularajasingam, J., & Sharmin, N. (2019). Factors influencing parents decision in selecting private schools in Chittagong city, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 7(5), 318-330.
- Taneja, V. R. (1995). Educational thought and practice: Sterling Publishers Pvt. Ltd.
- Villani, A. (2021). Children in the Development Debate: The Role of UNICEF from 1947 to the First UN Development Decade. *Journal of World History*, 32(3), 405-438.
- Yaacob, N. A., Osman, M. M., & Bachok, S. (2014). Factors influencing parents' decision in choosing private schools. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 153, 242-253.
- Yaacob, N. A., Osman, M. M., & Bachok, S. (2015). An assessment of factors influencing parents' decision making when choosing a private school for their children: a case study of Selangor, Malaysia: for sustainable human capital. *Procedia environmental sciences*, 28, 406-417.
- Yeung, W. J. J. (2016). UNICEF. Encyclopedia of Family Studies, 1-4.
- Zuilkowski, S. S., Piper, B., Ong'ele, S., & Kiminza, O. (2018). Parents, quality, and school choice: Why parents in Nairobi choose low-cost private schools over public schools in Kenya's free primary education era. *Oxford Review of Education*, 44(2), 258-274.