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#### Abstract

In this paper, we propose a family of fourth order method for solving non-linear equations with multiple roots. The method is based on the arithmetic mean of Weerakoon method and Chebyshev method for multiple roots. Some numerical examples are provided in support of the theoretical results. The numerical results obtained by the method for different values of the parameter are compared with some known methods. The dynamical behaviour of methods is discussed and basins of attraction around the multiple roots for some polynomial is shown at the end of the work.
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## 1 Introduction

The following Newton's method is the well known iterative method for solving non-linear equation $f(x)=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ has simple zero then the above method converges quadratically. There are numerous methods available with higher order each one claims to be the better than the other in some or the other aspect. We mention here two cubically convergent methods, namely Chebyshev's method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)^{2} \cdot f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{3}} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the method of Weerakoon and Fernand [11] given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

It is known that all the three methods described above are only linearly convergent in case the multiplicity of roots is more than one. For a known multiplicity $m$, Liu et al. [6], presented a fourth order method given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-m \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
w_{n} & =\left(\frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \\
G & =w_{n}+\frac{2 m}{m-1} \cdot w_{n}^{2}, \\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}-m\left(G \cdot \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In 2010, Sharma et al. [8] presented a fourth order method based on modified Jarrat's method [5] given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 m}{m+2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-a_{1} w_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)-a_{2} w_{2}\left(x_{n}\right)-a_{3} \frac{w_{2}^{2}\left(x_{n}\right)}{w_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
w_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad \text { and } \quad w_{2}\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}
$$

and the values of the parameters are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\frac{1}{8} m\left(m^{3}-4 m+8\right) \\
& a_{2}=\frac{1}{4}(-m)(m-1)(m+2)^{2}\left(\frac{m}{m+2}\right)^{m} \\
& a_{3}=\frac{1}{8} m(m+2)^{3}\left(\frac{m}{m+2}\right)^{2 m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, Li et al. 7] also presented the following fourth order method:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 m}{m+2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-a_{1} \cdot \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{a_{2} \cdot f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+a_{3} \cdot f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=-\frac{\left(m\left(m^{4}+4 m^{3}-16 m-16\right)\right)\left(\frac{m}{m+2}\right)^{m}}{2\left(m^{3}-4 m+8\right)}, \\
& a_{2}=-\frac{\left(m^{3}-4 m+8\right)^{2}}{m\left(m^{4}+4 m^{3}-4 m^{2}-16 m+16\right)\left(m^{2}+2 m-4\right)}, \\
& a_{3}=\frac{m^{2}\left(m^{3}-4 m+8\right)\left(\frac{m+2}{m}\right)^{m}}{\left(m^{4}+4 m^{3}-4 m^{2}-16 m+16\right)\left(m^{2}+2 m-4\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the present paper, we propose a new optimal fourth order iterative method for finding multiple roots of $f(x)=0$. Our method is based upon (2) and (3). The new aspect of our method that we discuss is its dynamical behavior, which was not done for the methods (4), (5) and (6).

## 2 Development of Methods and Their Convergence Analysis

To develop our method, we proceed as follows. We first rewrite method (3) by involving the multiplicity $m \geq 1$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 m}{m+2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, the method (2) for multiple roots, as proposed by Behl et. al. [2], is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 m}{m+2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)\left((5 m+2) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-(m+2) f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}{4 m f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, taking the arithmetic mean of (7) and (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 m}{m+2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}+\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)\left((5 m+2) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-(m+2) f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}{4 m f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}\right] . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be worked out that the error equation for the method (9) is given by

$$
e_{n+1}=\frac{\left(m^{m}(m+2)^{2-m}+\frac{8 m^{m+2}(m+2)}{(m+2) m^{m}+(m+2)^{m} m}+m(m(8 m-13)-2)\right)}{8 m^{3}} e_{n}+O\left(e_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

so that the method (9) is linearly convergent for multiple zeros. We introduce some parameters to increase its order of convergence. Precisely, we propose the following method:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 m}{m+2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2 a_{1} f\left(x_{n}\right)}{a_{2} f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}+\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)\left(a_{3}(5 m+2) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-(m+2) a_{4} f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}{4 m f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

We prove the following:
Theorem 1. Let the function $f: D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the open interval $D$ has a zero $\alpha$ with multiplicity $m \geq 1$. Let $f(x)$ has sufficient number of smooth derivatives in the interval $D$ and the initial point $x_{0}$ is close enough to $\alpha$. Then the order of convergence of the method 10 is four for the following values of the parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=-\frac{1}{8} m^{m-11}(m+2)^{-4 m}\left(a_{4} m^{m}(m+2)-2 m^{4}(m+2)^{m}\right)^{3} \\
& a_{2}=m^{m-5}(m+2)^{-2 m}\left(-a_{4}(m+2) m^{m}-(m+2)^{m} m^{5}\right), \\
& a_{3}=\frac{-a_{4}^{2} m^{2 m}(m+2)^{2-2 m}+a_{4} m^{m+4}(m+6)(m+2)^{1-m}-4(m-2) m^{7}}{m^{5}(5 m+2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let, $f(x)=0$ has a multiple root $\alpha$ of multiplicity $m$, then $f^{(i)}(\alpha)=0$ for $i=0,1,2, \cdots, m-1$ and $f^{(m)}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Write $e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha$ and $d_{n}=y_{n}-\alpha$, and $c_{j}=\frac{m!}{(m+j)!} \frac{f^{(m+j)}(\alpha)}{f^{(m)}(\alpha)}$. Using Taylor series expansion of $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ about $\alpha$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{f^{(m)}(\alpha)}{m!} e_{n}^{m}\left(1+c_{1} e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+c_{4} e_{n}^{4}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{f^{(m)}(\alpha)}{m!} e_{n}^{m-1}\left(m+(m+1) c_{1} e_{n}+(m+2) c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+(m+3) c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+(m+4) c_{4} e_{n}^{4}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using first equation of 10, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)=\frac{f^{(m)}(\alpha)}{m!} d_{n}^{m-1}\left(m+(m+1) c_{1} d_{n}+(m+2) c_{2} d_{n}^{2}+(m+3) c_{3} d_{n}^{3}+(m+4) c_{4} d_{n}^{4}\right)+O\left(d_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using (11), (12) and (13) in (10), we obtain the error equation of the method (10) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=A_{1} e_{n}+A_{2} e_{n}^{2}+A_{3} e_{n}^{3}+A_{4} e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}= & \frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{2 a_{1}}{a_{2} m+(m+2) \lambda}+\frac{a_{4} \lambda(m+2)^{2}-a_{3} m(5 m+2)}{4 m^{3}}+2\right], \\
A_{2}= & \frac{c_{1}}{8 m^{5}}\left[\frac{8 a_{1} m^{3}\left(a_{2} m^{2}+\left(m^{2}+2 m-4\right) \lambda\right)-a_{4} \lambda\left(m^{3}+4 m^{2}+8 m+8\right)\left(a_{2} m+(m+2) \lambda\right)^{2}}{\left(a_{2} m+(m+2) \lambda\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+a_{3}(5 m+2) m^{2}\right], \\
A_{3}= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2 a_{1} c_{1}^{2}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+1)+\left(m^{3}+3 m^{2}+2 m-4\right) \lambda\right)}{m^{2}\left(a_{2} m+(m+2) \lambda\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 a_{1} c_{2}}{a_{2} m+(m+2) \lambda}\right. \\
& +\frac{c_{2}}{2 m^{5}}\left(a_{3} m^{2}(5 m+2)-a_{4} \lambda\left(m^{3}+4 m^{2}+8 m+8\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{4 m^{7}}\left(a_{4} \lambda\left(m^{5}+5 m^{4}+12 m^{3}+16 m^{2}+16 m+16\right)-a_{3} m^{3}\left(5 m^{2}+7 m+2\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{2 a_{1}}{m^{4}\left(a_{2} m+(m+2) \lambda\right)^{3}}\left(c_{1}^{2}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+1)+\left(m^{3}+3 m^{2}+2 m-4\right) \lambda\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left(a_{2} m+(m+2) \lambda\right)\left(c_{2} m^{2}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+2)+\left(m^{3}+4 m^{2}+4 m-8\right) \lambda\right)-4 c_{1}^{2}(m-2) \lambda\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{4}= & \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2 a_{1} c_{1} c_{2}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+1)+\lambda\left(m^{3}+3 m^{2}+2 m-4\right)\right)}{m^{2}\left(a_{2} m+\lambda(m+2)\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& -\frac{2 a_{1} c_{1}}{m^{4}\left(a_{2} m+\lambda(m+2)\right)^{3}}\left(\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+1)+\lambda\left(m^{3}+3 m^{2}+2 m-4\right)\right)^{2} c_{1}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(a_{2} m+\lambda(m+2)\right)\left(m^{2} c_{2}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+2)+\lambda\left(m^{3}+4 m^{2}+4 m-8\right)\right)-4 c_{1}^{2} \lambda(m-2)\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{2 a_{1} c_{3}}{\left(a_{2} m+\lambda(m+2)\right)}+\frac{1}{12 m^{9}(m+2)}\left(( m + 2 ) \left(3 a_{3} m^{4}(m+1)^{2}(5 m+2)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-a_{4} \lambda\left(3 m^{7}+18 m^{6}+47 m^{5}+68 m^{4}+96 m^{3}+136 m^{2}+112 m+96\right)\right) c_{1}^{3} \\
& -3 m^{2}(m+2)\left(a_{3} m^{3}\left(15 m^{2}+26 m+8\right)-a_{4} \lambda\left(3 m^{5}+16 m^{4}+36 m^{3}+48 m^{2}+64 m+64\right)\right) c_{1} c_{2} \\
& \left.+3 m^{4}\left(3 a_{3} m^{2}\left(5 m^{2}+12 m+4\right)-a_{4} \lambda\left(3 m^{4}+18 m^{3}+44 m^{2}+72 m+48\right)\right) c_{3}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 a_{1}}{3 m^{6}\left(a_{2} m+\lambda(m+2)\right)^{3}}\left(-3 c_{1}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+1)+\lambda\left(m^{3}+3 m^{2}+2 m-4\right)\right)\right. \\
& \times\left(m^{2} c_{2}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+2)+\lambda\left(m^{3}+4 m^{2}+4 m-8\right)\right)-4 c_{1}^{2}(m-2) \lambda\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(a_{2} m+\lambda(m+2)\right)} 3 c_{1}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+1)+\lambda\left(m^{3}+3 m^{2}+2 m-4\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+1)+\lambda\left(m^{3}+3 m^{2}+2 m-4\right)\right)^{2} c_{1}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(a_{2} m+\lambda(m+2)\right)\left(c_{2} m^{2}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+2)+\lambda\left(m^{3}+4 m^{2}+4 m-8\right)\right)-4 c_{1}^{2} \lambda(m-2)\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{\left(a_{2} m+\lambda(m+2)\right)}{(m+2)^{2}}\left(4 c_{1}^{3} \lambda(m+2)^{2}\left(m^{4}+5 m^{3}-4 m^{2}+4 m-12\right)-12 m^{2} \lambda(m+2)^{2}\left(m^{2}+4 m-8\right) c_{1} c_{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+3 c_{3} m^{4}\left(a_{2} m^{2}(m+2)^{2}(m+3)+\lambda\left(m^{5}+9 m^{4}+30 m^{3}+36 m^{2}-24 m-48\right)\right)\right)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$ with $\lambda=\left(\frac{m}{m+2}\right)^{m}$. In order to get fourth order method, we must have

$$
A_{1}=A_{2}=A_{3}=0
$$

solving which, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=-\frac{1}{8} m^{m-11}(m+2)^{-4 m}\left(a_{4} m^{m}(m+2)-2 m^{4}(m+2)^{m}\right)^{3} \\
& a_{2}=m^{m-5}(m+2)^{-2 m}\left(-a_{4}(m+2) m^{m}-(m+2)^{m} m^{5}\right), \\
& a_{3}=\frac{-a_{4}^{2} m^{2 m}(m+2)^{2-2 m}+a_{4} m^{m+4}(m+6)(m+2)^{1-m}-4(m-2) m^{7}}{m^{5}(5 m+2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, for these values of the parameters, from (14), the error equation of the method (10) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{n+1}= & \left(\frac{2 m^{5}(m+2)^{m}\left(3 c_{3} m^{5}+c_{1}(m+2)^{2}\left(c_{1}^{2}(m(m(m+2)+2)-2)-3 c_{2} m^{3}\right)\right)}{6 m^{9}(m+2)^{m+2}-3 a_{4} m^{m+5}(m+2)^{3}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{a_{4} m^{m}(m+2)\left(3 c_{3} m^{6}+c_{1}(m+2)^{2}\left(c_{1}^{2}(m(m(m(m+2)+2)-2)+12)-3 c_{2} m^{4}\right)\right)}{6 m^{9}(m+2)^{m+2}-3 a_{4} m^{m+5}(m+2)^{3}}\right) e_{n}^{4} \\
& +O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the assertion is proved.
Method (10) can be modify in such a way that we can also test its convergence for power mean. Precisely, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 m}{m+2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2 a_{1} f\left(x_{n}\right)}{a_{2} f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}+\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)\left(a_{3}(5 m+2) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-(m+2) a_{4} f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}{4 m f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =x_{n}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\left[\frac{2 a_{1}}{a_{2}+\frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}+\frac{a_{3}(5 m+2)-(m+2) a_{4} \frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}{4 m}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, apply power mean in the second equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 m}{m+2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\left[\frac{2 a_{1}}{a_{2}+\frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}+\frac{a_{3}(5 m+2)-(m+2) a_{4} \frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}{4 m}\right] \\
& =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\left(\frac{A^{p}+B^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{2 b_{1}}{b_{2}+\frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}} \\
& B=\frac{1}{4 m}\left(b_{3}(5 m+2)-(m+2) b_{4} \frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ are the new parameters. For different values of $p$ in 15, we obtain different methods based on different means. In particular,

For $p=1$, arithmetic mean.
For $p=-1$, harmonic mean.
For $p=\frac{1}{2}$, sqaure mean root.
For $p \rightarrow 0$, geometric mean.
For $p=1$, it can be proved that method reduces to 10 and hence $b_{1}=a_{1}, b_{2}=a_{2}$ and $b_{3}=a_{3}$. However, the convergence of the method (15) need to be analyzed for general $p$.

## 3 Numerical Examples

In this section, we check the performance of one parameter family of the method with the help of some numerical examples. We denote the method by $M_{1}$ for the parameter $a_{4}=-1$ and $M_{2}$ for the parameter $a_{4}=m\left(\frac{m}{m+2}\right)^{2}$. The results obtained are then compared with some existing methods. For this purpose, we take the methods (4), (5) and (6) denoting them by $M_{3}, M_{4}$ and $M_{5}$ respectively. Test functions together with their approximate roots $x^{*}$ upto 16 decimal places and multiplicity $m$ is given in Table 1. Table 2 shows the number of iterations $(n)$ when $\left|f\left(x_{n}\right)\right|<10^{-30}$ and the corresponding absolute

| $f(x)$ | $x^{*}$ | $m$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{1}(x)=\left(-x^{2}+\sin ^{2}(x)+1\right)^{2}$ | 1.4044916482153412 | 2 |
| $f_{2}(x)=\left(\exp \left(-x^{2}\right)+x^{2}+x \sin (x)-2\right)^{6}$ | 0.9169529326210010 | 6 |
| $f_{3}(x)=(x \exp (x)+\cos (x))^{5}$ | -1.2010606007342120 | 5 |
| $f_{4}(x)=(\exp (x)-2)^{2}(\cos (x)+1)$ | 0.6931471805599453 | 2 |
| $f_{5}(x)=(\sqrt{x}+\log (x)-5)^{5}$ | 8.3094326942315718 | 5 |

Table 1: Test functions with their approximate roots and multiplicity
value of the function for the methods $M_{i}, i=1,2,3,4,5$. From the table, it is clear that the proposed methods are competitive with other known methods. Here, $a(b)$ represents $a \times 10^{-b}$.

| $f(x)$ | $x_{0}$ | Methods |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $M_{1}$ |  | $M_{2}$ |  | $M_{3}$ |  | $M_{4}$ |  | $M_{5}$ |  |
|  |  | $n$ | $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ | n | $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ | $n$ | $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ | $n$ | $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ | $n$ | $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ |
| $f_{1}$ | 2.5 | 3 | 1.97(31) | 3 | 1.97(31) | 4 | 1.10(31) | 3 | 7.88(31) | 3 | 1.10(31) |
|  | 3.5 | 4 | 7.88(31) | 4 | 7.88(31) | 4 | 1.10(31) | 4 | 1.10(31) | 4 | 1.10(31) |
| $f_{2}$ | 1 | 2 | 1.28(85) | 2 | 5.59(84) | 2 | 7.67(93) | 2 | 2.41(81) | 2 | 1.13(84) |
|  | 1.5 | 2 | 3.98(41) | 2 | 3.98(41) | 2 | 1.17(38) | 2 | 5.14(41) | 2 | 4.24(41) |
| $f_{3}$ | -2 | 2 | 1.03(37) | 2 | 1.03(37) | 3 | 1.86(51) | 2 | 1.02(37) | 2 | 1.03(37) |
|  | 1.9 | 4 | 4.76(72) | 4 | 4.82(73) | 4 | 3.22 (60) | 3 | 1.47(30) | 4 | 1.72(72) |
| $f_{4}$ | 0 | 3 | 7.06(30) | 3 | 3.48(31) |  | diverge | 3 | 5.58(30) | 3 | 7.85(31) |
|  | 1.5 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1.39(30) | 3 | 0 |
| $f_{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 3.06(43) | 2 | 5.24(43) | 3 | 1.77(48) | 2 | 3.83(41) | 2 | 8.03(67) |
|  | 10 | 2 | 5.65(73) | 2 | 1.81(71) | 2 | 1.09(31) | 2 | 1.09(31) | 2 | 1.34(68) |

Table 2: Numerical results obtained from various methods

## 4 Dynamical analysis

In this section, we study the dynamical behavior of the methods. In particular, we analyze the fixed points, critical points, basins of attraction and stability of the methods presented in this paper. For this, we apply the methods on complex polynomials $p(z)$ with degrees two and three having different multiplicities. It is well known that the fixed points and the critical points of any method play important role in the
understanding of the dynamics of the corresponding method. We study the effect of order, degree of the polynomial and multiplicity on the number of extraneous points. Further, stability of the method is shown visually with the help of basins of attraction of the attracting fixed points. In Subsection 4.2, we study and obtain the fixed and critical points of the methods developed in this paper while in 4.3 , basins of attraction of the methods are presented. For more details of the complex dynamics of rational functions (or operators), one may refer to [1], 4, 3] and references therein.

### 4.1 Some basics

Let $\hat{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup(\infty)$ denote the extended complex plane. Let $p: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a function. A point $z_{0} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a fixed point of $p$ if $p\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}$. A fixed point $z_{0}$ of $p(z)$ is called attracting, super-attracting, repelling or neutral if, respectively, $0<\left|p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<1, p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=0,\left|p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>1$, or $\left|p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$. It is noted that $z=\infty$ is a super-attracting fixed point for any polynomial with degree $n \geq 2$ [3].

Orbit of a point $z_{0} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ of the mapping $p(z)$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{orbit}\left(z_{0}\right)=\left\{z_{o}, p\left(z_{0}\right), p^{2}\left(z_{0}\right), \ldots \ldots\right\}
$$

The basin of attraction of an attracting (or super-attracting) fixed point $z_{0}$ of $p(z)$ is the set of all points whose orbits converge to $z_{0}$.

Consider for example the mapping $p(z)=z^{2}$. It can be checked that 0 and $\infty$ are super-attracting fixed points while 1 is a repelling fixed point for $p(z)$. Also, the basins of attraction for 0 and $\infty$ are, respectively $\{z:|z|<1\}$ and $\{z:|z|>1\}$.
Corresponding to the function $p(z)$, define a transform

$$
M_{p}(z)=z-\phi_{p}(z)
$$

where $\phi_{p}(z)$ is such that $p(z)=0 \Rightarrow \phi_{p}(z)=0$. When $\phi_{p}(z)=\frac{p(z)}{p^{\prime}(z)}$, then the corresponding transform $M_{p}(z)$ is the well known Newton's transform. Clearly, the roots of $p(z)=0$ are the fixed points of $M_{p}(z)$. However, there may be fixed points of $M_{p}(z)$ which need not be the roots of $p(z)=0$. Such points are called extraneous (or strange) fixed points. Consider, for example, $p(z)=z^{2}-3 z+2$ and

$$
M_{p}(z)=z-\frac{z^{2}-3 z+2}{2 z-3}\left(z^{2}-3 z+3\right) .
$$

Here $z=1,2$ are the roots of $p(z)=0$ and therefore fixed points of $M_{p}(z)$. The points $z=\frac{3 \pm i \sqrt{3}}{2}$ are not the roots of $p(z)=0$ but are fixed points of $M_{p}(z)$ and therefore are the extraneous fixed points of $M_{p}(z)$.

### 4.2 Fixed and critical points

Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial having multiple zeros defined on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. We define the operator of the method 10 as below:

$$
\begin{align*}
y(z) & =z-\frac{2 m}{m+2} \frac{p(z)}{p^{\prime}(z)} \\
M_{i}(z) & =z-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2 a_{1} p(z)}{a_{2} p^{\prime}(z)+p^{\prime}(y(z)}+\frac{p(z)\left(a_{3}(5 m+2) p^{\prime}(z)-(m+2) a_{4} p^{\prime}(y(z))\right)}{4 m p^{\prime}(z)^{2}}\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1,2$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ are as obtained in Theorem (1). We obtain the methods $M_{1}(z)$ and $M_{2}(z)$ for the value of the parameter $a_{4}=-1$ and $a_{4}=m\left(\frac{m}{m+2}\right)^{2}$ respectively. The fixed points of methods are obtained by $M_{i}(z)=z$ and critical points are obtained by $M_{i}^{\prime}(z)=0, i=1,2$. For the second degree and third degree polynomials with different multiplicity the fixed and critical points of the methods are
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| Polynomial $(p(z))$ | Fixed Points |  |  | Critical Points |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Roots | Number of extraneous points |  | Roots | Number of free points |  |
|  |  | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ |  | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ |
| $\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} i \\ -i \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} i \\ -i \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 8 |
| $\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} i \\ -i \end{gathered}$ | 12 | 12 | $\begin{gathered} i \\ -i \end{gathered}$ | 14 | 14 |
| $\left(z^{3}-1\right)^{2}$ | 1 $-0.5-0.866025 i$ $-0.5+0.866025 i$ | 24 | 24 | 1 $-0.5-0.866025 i$ $-0.5+0.866025 i$ | 30 | 30 |
| $\left(z^{3}-1\right)^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ -0.5-0.866025 i \\ -0.5+0.866025 i \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 36 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ -0.5-0.866025 i \\ -0.5+0.866025 i \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 48 | 48 |

Table 3: Fixed and critical points
presented in Table 3
From the table, it is clear that the roots of the polynomials are always the fixed points as well as the critical points. The number of extraneous (or strange) fixed points and number of free critical points varies according to the polynomials used and the multiplicity of any polynomial. However, for any particular polynomial with a given multiplicity, both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ have same number of fixed as well as critical points. Which shows that the value of the parameter doesn't affect the number of extraneous fixed points and free critical points.

The existence of extraneous fixed points of any operator complicate the root finding procedure. As attractive fixed points, they may trap an iteration sequence, giving erroneous results for a root $\alpha$ of the polynomial $p(z)$. Even as the repulsive or neutral fixed points, however, they may alter the structure of the basin of attraction for the roots [10]. Therefore, large number of extraneous fixed and critical points for the higher degree polynomial make the method less stable.

### 4.3 Basins of attraction

We describe the dynamical behavior of the methods $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ in terms of their basins of attraction. We do it for second and third degree polynomials with different multiplicities.


Figure 1: Basin of attraction of the method $M_{1}(z)$ for the polynomials: $(i)\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{2}, \quad(i i)\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{3}$, (iii) $\left(z^{3}-1\right)^{2}$ and $(i v)\left(z^{3}-1\right)^{3}$.


Figure 2: Basin of attraction of the method $M_{2}(z)$ for the polynomials: $(i)\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{2}, \quad(i i)\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{3}$, (iii) $\left(z^{3}-1\right)^{2}$ and (iv) $\left(z^{3}-1\right)^{3}$.

From Figures 1 and 2, it is clear that basins of attraction of both the methods changes with the change of multiplicities of polynomials. The basins of attraction the methods are more smooth for lower multiplicity $(m=2)$ as compared to that of higher one $(m=3)$. It is due to the fact that number of extraneous fixed points and free critical points for the higher multiplicity is more than that of the smaller one.

In our work, we use Mathematica 9.0 for all the numerical calculations as well as to obtain the basins of attraction. We have divided the complex plane into $300 \times 300$ initial points in the domain $[-2,2] \times[-2,2]$ of the complex plane and use the software of Varona in 9 to determine the basins of attraction of the roots of the polynomials. Light color specifies the region where initial points require less iterations to converge to the particular root. As the color gets darker and darker, it means that the number of iteration increases to converge the root. Black color is used for the initial points which do not converge to any of the root within the maximum limit of 40 iterations.
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