

Hydropower and Eco-Based Communities: Balancing Renewable Energy Development with Socioeconomic and Environmental Resilience in Developing Nations

Samjhana Rawat Sharma^{1*}, Manan Sharma²

¹Department of Public Administration, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China

²Department of Water Conservancy and Hydropower, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China

*Corresponding author: samjhana.sharma@hhu.edu.cn

Abstract: Hydropower projects are a cornerstone of renewable energy development, offering significant contributions to global energy demands while posing socioeconomic and environmental challenges. In developing countries, these projects have profound impacts on eco-based communities, influencing livelihoods, income, infrastructure development, and access to essential services such as education and healthcare. However, they also raise concerns about displacement, biodiversity loss, water quality, and climate resilience. This study comprehensively examines the multifaceted impacts of hydropower projects on eco-based communities in developing countries. Drawing on case studies from Asia, Africa, and South America, the research highlights the challenges of resettlement, environmental degradation, and governance while proposing strategies for sustainable development. Recommendations include rigorous environmental assessments, adaptive management practices, equitable benefit-sharing mechanisms, and enhanced stakeholder engagement. By balancing the dual imperatives of renewable energy and environmental preservation, hydropower projects can play a pivotal role in advancing sustainable development in resource-constrained regions.

Keywords: Hydropower, Developing countries, Eco-based communities, Renewable energy, Displacement, Biodiversity, Sustainable development, Stakeholder engagement

Conflicts of interest: None

Supporting agencies: None

Received 02.01.2025; Revised 21.04.2025; Accepted 28.04.2025

Cite This Article: Sharma, S.R., & Sharma, M. (2025). Hydropower and Eco-Based Communities: Balancing Renewable Energy Development with Socioeconomic and Environmental Resilience in Developing Nations. *Journal of Sustainability and Environmental Management*, 4(1), 9-21.

1. Introduction

The 21st century has witnessed an unprecedented surge in global energy demand, driven by rapid urbanization, industrialization, and digitalization. As economies expand and modernize, the need for energy to power homes, industries, and transportation systems continues to grow exponentially. According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), global energy demand is projected to increase by up to 5% by 2030 (IEA, 2020). This escalating demand stems from factors such as urban migration, the proliferation of electronic devices, and the global shift

toward electrification, including the adoption of electric vehicles. This surge in energy demand brings with it significant environmental challenges. The continued reliance on fossil fuels—coal, oil, and natural gas—for electricity generation has been a primary driver of greenhouse gas emissions, air pollution, and resource depletion (IPCC, 2018). These environmental consequences have underscored the need for a transition to cleaner and more sustainable energy sources to combat climate change and ensure long-term energy security. Renewable energy sources, such as solar, wind, hydroelectric, and geothermal power, have emerged as key players in this transition. Solar and wind energy have

experienced remarkable growth due to their affordability and scalability, while geothermal energy provides a consistent and low-emission alternative. Among these, hydropower has played a particularly pivotal role, leveraging the kinetic energy of flowing water to generate electricity. Hydropower is not only a reliable and established source of clean energy but also a major contributor to the global energy mix. The International Hydropower Association (IHA) reports that in 2019, hydropower accounted for 16% of (Biancamaria et al., 2020; Lucas et al., 2018). These projects provide energy security, stimulate economic development, and contribute to global efforts to mitigate climate change. However, their implementation is often accompanied by significant environmental and social trade-offs, including biodiversity loss, displacement of communities, and challenges related to equitable benefit-sharing (Cernea, 2000; Dudgeon et al., 2006; Fearnside, 2019). This manuscript explores the multifaceted impacts of hydropower projects on eco-based communities in developing countries, emphasizing the balance between the promise of renewable energy and the challenges of environmental conservation and community well-being. By examining case studies and integrating multidisciplinary perspectives, this study aims to provide actionable insights and recommendations for achieving sustainable and equitable hydropower development.

1.1 Hydropower in Developing Countries

Hydropower has a global presence, but its significance in developing countries is especially noteworthy due to its potential to address critical challenges such as rising energy demands, economic development, and poverty alleviation. These nations often experience rapid population growth and industrialization, which strain their energy resources. Hydropower emerges as a viable solution to these challenges because it provides a stable, renewable, and cost-effective energy source. Beyond energy generation, hydropower projects contribute to industrialization, infrastructure development, and employment creation, offering a pathway to sustainable development.

In developing countries, hydropower reliance is highlighted by several ambitious and transformative projects. Many nations in Asia, Africa, and South America have invested heavily in hydropower infrastructure, recognizing its strategic role in their energy mix. China, for instance, has been at the forefront of global hydropower development, exemplified by the Three Gorges Dam, which holds the title of the world's largest hydropower project. This colossal infrastructure not only generates immense electricity but also aids in flood control and water management (Zhou et al., 2015). Similarly, in Africa, the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) represents a landmark project on the Nile River, aimed at addressing Ethiopia's growing energy needs while stimulating regional cooperation and economic growth (Biancamaria et al., 2020). South America, with its abundant river systems, has also embraced hydropower as a cornerstone of its energy strategy. Brazil, in particular, has harnessed the potential of its rivers, such as the Amazon and Paraná, to develop large-

scale hydropower projects like the Itaipu Dam, which serves as one of the world's largest electricity generators. These projects not only cater to domestic energy requirements but also facilitate energy export and regional integration (Lucas et al., 2018). Moreover, the environmental and social dimensions of hydropower in developing countries are increasingly being recognized. Sustainable hydropower development now includes measures to mitigate environmental impacts, such as preserving biodiversity, maintaining water quality, and ensuring equitable access to resources. International cooperation and funding from organizations such as the World Bank and United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) have further strengthened the capacity of developing nations to implement hydropower projects sustainably. For instance, Nepal's Upper Arun Hydropower Project, supported by international financing, underscores the role of global partnerships in advancing hydropower's potential in regions with limited resources (International Hydropower Association, 2022).

1.2 Research Objectives

Given the increasing reliance on hydropower in developing countries and its potential impact on eco-based communities, this research article seeks to explore the multifaceted consequences of hydropower projects on these communities. The overarching objectives of this study are as follows:

1. To comprehensively analyse the socioeconomic impact of hydropower projects on eco-based communities, including their effects on livelihoods, income, infrastructure development, education, and healthcare.
2. To examine the environmental consequences of hydropower projects, particularly their impact on biodiversity, water quality, and contributions to climate change.
3. To investigate issues related to resettlement and community displacement that often accompany hydropower projects, including a review of legal frameworks and the rights of affected communities.
4. To identify sustainable development and mitigation strategies that can address the challenges posed by hydropower projects while fostering community well-being and environmental conservation.

2. Materials and methods

This study adopts a qualitative case study approach to critically examine the socio-environmental and governance dimensions of large-scale hydropower development. Case selection was based on purposive sampling, guided by the following criteria: (1) geographic relevance to highland river basins experiencing hydropower expansion; (2) diversity in socio-political and ecological contexts; (3) scale of the project (large-scale, nationally significant infrastructure); and (4) availability of multi-perspective documentation, including government records, environmental impact assessments, academic literature, media reports, and civil society publications. Priority was

given to projects that illustrate tensions between development imperatives and environmental justice, and where evidence of public contestation or governance complexity is visible.

Qualitative data were collected through systematic document analysis, focusing on project planning, implementation, and post-construction phases. The analysis followed a thematic coding process, incorporating both inductive and deductive strategies. Key themes were drawn from environmental sociology and political ecology, including but not limited to environmental justice, stakeholder participation, displacement and livelihood transformation, institutional accountability, and adaptive management. These themes were iteratively refined as data were reviewed.

To structure the analysis, the study employed guiding analytical frameworks such as Dore et al.'s (2017) adaptive management model and governance critique frameworks from Ansar et al. (2014) and IRN (2019). These frameworks enabled the exploration of how hydropower projects respond to uncertainty, manage risks, and navigate competing interests. Triangulation of sources ensured analytical robustness and captured the multiplicity of stakeholder narratives and contestations.

This methodological design allows for an in-depth, contextualized understanding of the dynamics at play in hydropower development, focusing on the lived experiences, institutional arrangements, and environmental implications that shape the outcomes of such infrastructure interventions. To achieve these research objectives, our study primarily relies on an extensive literature review of academic papers, reports, and case studies related to the impact of hydropower projects in developing countries. The literature review encompasses a wide range of disciplines, including environmental science, economics, sociology, and policy analysis. This multidisciplinary approach allows us to provide a comprehensive understanding of the topic.

In summary, this research article aims to shed light on the complex and nuanced relationship between hydropower projects and eco-based communities in developing countries. By addressing the research objectives outlined above, we aim to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on sustainable energy development and its impact on vulnerable communities and ecosystems.

3. Results

3.1 Hydropower Projects in Developing Countries: Regional Perspectives and Impacts

The proliferation of hydropower projects in developing nations represents a critical component of their energy strategies. These projects vary in scale, from small, locally managed initiatives to large, nationally significant endeavors. This section provides an in-depth exploration of hydropower projects in developing countries, emphasizing case studies from Asia, Africa, and South America.

Through these examples, we will uncover the diversity of projects and their distinct impacts on local communities and ecosystems.

Asia: The Powerhouse of Hydropower

Asia has emerged as a powerhouse of hydropower development, with several countries making substantial investments in this renewable energy source. China stands out as a leader in this regard. The Three Gorges Dam, completed in 2006, is one of the world's most iconic and colossal hydropower projects. With an installed capacity of 22.5 GW, it is a testament to China's commitment to renewable energy (Zhou et al., 2015).

The impacts of the Three Gorges Dam are multifaceted. On the positive side, it has significantly contributed to China's energy security by providing a substantial portion of its electricity needs. This has been crucial in supporting rapid industrialization and urbanization. However, the dam has also faced criticism for its environmental and social consequences. It has led to the displacement of over a million people, disrupted aquatic ecosystems, and raised concerns about landslides and water quality (Feng et al., 2016).

Similarly, India has embarked on an ambitious hydropower expansion. The Sardar Sarovar Dam, located on the Narmada River, is one of India's largest hydropower projects. With an installed capacity of 1.3 GW, it aims to meet the energy demands of several states (Sharma et al., 2020). However, this project has been marred by controversies related to displacement, environmental degradation, and concerns about equitable distribution of benefits (Thakkar, 2019).

In Southeast Asia, countries like Laos have also embraced hydropower to achieve economic growth. The Xayaburi Dam, on the Mekong River, is a prominent example. It has the potential to generate 1.3 GW of electricity and export power to neighbouring countries (Saidi et al., 2018). While proponents argue that it can provide revenue and employment opportunities, critics highlight its impact on fish migration and the livelihoods of downstream communities.

Africa: The Grand Renaissance and Nile Controversy

In Africa, the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) stands as a symbol of the continent's growing appetite for hydropower. Located on the Blue Nile River, GERD is expected to have an installed capacity of 6.45 GW, making it one of Africa's largest hydropower projects (Biancamaria et al., 2020).

GERD has garnered international attention due to its geopolitical implications, as it affects downstream nations like Egypt and Sudan. While Ethiopia views it as a vital source of energy and development, Egypt is concerned about its impact on water availability downstream. The complex negotiations surrounding the dam highlight the transboundary challenges associated with hydropower projects (Zawahri et al., 2020).

Another African case study is the Inga Dam in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC). The Inga Dam complex has the potential to generate up to 40 GW, which could provide electricity to a significant portion of the African continent (Sekhri et al., 2018). However, the DRC's political instability and governance issues have hindered its development, raising questions about its feasibility and potential impact.

South America: Harnessing River Power

In South America, hydropower projects have been instrumental in meeting energy demands and promoting economic growth. Brazil has an extensive hydropower infrastructure. The Belo Monte Dam on the Xingu River is a prominent example, with an installed capacity of 11.2 GW (Lucas et al., 2018). Brazil's hydropower expansion has contributed to its energy independence and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

However, concerns have been raised about the environmental impact of these projects, especially in the Amazon rainforest. Deforestation altered river flow, and disruption of aquatic ecosystems are among the ecological challenges associated with large-scale hydropower development in the region (Fearnside, 2019).

In South America, hydropower projects have played a pivotal role in meeting energy demands and fostering economic growth. Brazil stands out with its extensive hydropower infrastructure, including the notable Belo Monte Dam on the Xingu River with an impressive installed capacity of 11.2 GW (Lucas et al., 2018). While Brazil's hydropower expansion has contributed to energy independence and a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, it has also raised significant concerns about the environmental impact of these projects, particularly in the Amazon rainforest. Deforestation altered river flow, and the disruption of aquatic ecosystems are among the ecological challenges associated with large-scale hydropower development in the region (Fearnside, 2019).

The Brazilian Hydropower Boom

Brazil's reliance on hydropower dates back decades, with the construction of iconic dams like the Itaipu Dam, which was the world's largest hydropower project for many years. These dams have been crucial in meeting the country's soaring energy demands and supporting industrialization.

Energy Independence: Hydropower has contributed significantly to Brazil's energy independence. It has reduced the country's dependence on fossil fuels and provided a stable source of electricity, which is vital for both urban and rural areas (Santos et al., 2019).

Greenhouse Gas Emissions: The reliance on hydropower has also led to substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions. Hydroelectric dams produce electricity with minimal direct emissions, making them an attractive option for combating climate change (Lima et al., 2017).

Economic Growth: The availability of cheap and abundant electricity from hydropower has facilitated

economic growth in Brazil, attracting industries and driving productivity (Lucas et al., 2018).

3.2 Environmental Challenges and Mitigation in Hydropower Development

Hydropower development in developing nations has emerged as a central strategy to address energy demands, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and stimulate economic growth. However, particularly in ecologically sensitive regions such as the Amazon Basin in Brazil, this expansion presents significant environmental and social challenges that demand critical examination.

The construction of large dams frequently results in extensive deforestation, which not only undermines biodiversity but also contributes to climate change through the release of stored carbon (Fearnside, 2019). Additionally, altering the natural flow of rivers disrupts hydrological cycles and downstream ecosystems, affecting agriculture and community water access while threatening both terrestrial and aquatic life (Pellicce et al., 2017). River fragmentation impedes fish migration, leading to declines in fish populations and negatively impacting the livelihoods of fishing communities (Fearnside, 2019). Moreover, reservoirs in tropical areas can emit methane—a potent greenhouse gas—because of submerged organic matter decomposing under anaerobic conditions (Barros et al., 2011). Social consequences are also significant, with large-scale displacement often accompanied by insufficient resettlement support, loss of traditional lands, and erosion of cultural identity (Cernea & Schmidt-Soltau, 2006).

Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach. Robust environmental impact assessments that evaluate cumulative effects at the basin level are essential (Fearnside, 2019). Adaptive management strategies, including continuous environmental monitoring and responsive dam operation protocols, can help mitigate ecological disruption (Bouckaert et al., 2010). Furthermore, initiatives such as fish passage construction, reforestation, and habitat rehabilitation are critical for restoring damaged ecosystems (Pellicce et al., 2017). Equally important are social safeguard policies that ensure fair compensation and culturally sensitive resettlement planning (Cernea, 2000). Investment in research and innovation is also vital to develop more sustainable hydropower technologies and improve environmental outcomes (Barros et al., 2011).

Brazil's experience illustrates the broader global tension between harnessing hydropower for development and mitigating its environmental footprint. While hydropower has significantly enhanced Brazil's energy independence and reduced reliance on fossil fuels, its ecological and social trade-offs, especially in the Amazon, underscore the need for more sustainable planning.

Across Asia, Africa, and South America, hydropower projects are far from uniform in their outcomes. Their impacts are shaped by project scale, governance, local environmental conditions, and the inclusion—or neglect—of mitigation strategies. As such, hydropower development must be approached with contextual sensitivity, prioritizing sustainability, equity, and ecological integrity. In doing so,

countries can better balance the benefits of renewable energy with the imperative to protect both vulnerable communities and critical ecosystems. The subsequent sections of this research will delve deeper into these themes, offering a comprehensive analysis of hydropower's implications for eco-based communities in the developing world.

3.3 Socioeconomic Impacts of Hydropower Projects on Eco-Based Communities in Developing Countries: Challenges and Opportunities

Hydropower projects in developing countries have the potential to reshape the socioeconomic landscape of eco-based communities, bringing both significant opportunities and challenges. These projects often contribute to infrastructure development, employment, education, and healthcare, while also posing risks related to livelihood disruption, resettlement challenges, and environmental degradation.

One of the key positive impacts of hydropower projects is infrastructure development. The construction of dams, roads, and power lines enhances accessibility and connectivity, benefiting not only the projects but also surrounding communities (Ansar et al., 2014). Furthermore, during the construction phase, these projects generate employment opportunities, which can raise income levels and reduce local unemployment rates (Sarkar et al., 2020). In some instances, hydropower investments also support local education by building schools and providing scholarships, improving access to education, particularly in remote areas (Whittington et al., 2018). Similarly, the development of healthcare infrastructure, such as hospitals and clinics, can greatly enhance medical services for communities with limited access (Lejano et al., 2017). Additionally, community development funds established by some projects support local initiatives, including small businesses and agricultural projects (IRN, 2019).

However, the positive aspects must be weighed against the challenges these projects present. Hydropower can disrupt traditional livelihoods, such as farming and fishing, especially when communities are displaced. This loss can have long-term socioeconomic consequences (Cernea, 2000). Resettlement processes often fail to restore social cohesion and cultural identity, with inadequate compensation leaving communities at a disadvantage (Ansar et al., 2014). The alteration of river flow due to dam construction can have profound effects on ecosystems, reducing agricultural productivity and threatening biodiversity (Pelicice et al., 2017). Moreover, the rapid influx of workers and resource competition can lead to social conflicts within host communities (Sarkar et al., 2020). In some cases, decision-making processes regarding project design and benefit-sharing lack local consultation, resulting in dissatisfaction and grievances (Lejano et al., 2017).

To balance the positive and negative impacts, careful planning is essential. Engaging affected communities early

in the process, particularly in project design and benefit-sharing, ensures their needs are considered (Sarkar et al., 2020). Comprehensive resettlement plans, including adequate compensation and sustainable livelihood opportunities, are crucial when displacement is unavoidable (IRN, 2019). Efforts to preserve cultural heritage through initiatives like cultural centres and language programs should be integrated into project development (Lejano et al., 2017). Supporting new economic opportunities, such as vocational training and small-scale businesses, can help mitigate the loss of traditional livelihoods (Cernea, 2000). Finally, ongoing monitoring and adaptive management allow projects to adjust operations in response to new information, reducing negative impacts over time (Bouckaert et al., 2010).

In conclusion, while hydropower projects can offer substantial benefits in terms of infrastructure, education, and healthcare, they also pose significant risks to the livelihoods and cultural identity of eco-based communities. A sustainable development approach requires proactive engagement, comprehensive resettlement strategies, and a commitment to cultural preservation and new economic opportunities. By addressing these challenges, hydropower projects can contribute positively to community well-being while fulfilling the energy needs of developing nations.

3.4 Socioeconomic Impacts of Hydropower Projects: Livelihoods, Education, Healthcare, and Challenges

Hydropower projects can significantly influence the livelihoods of eco-based communities, particularly those reliant on agriculture, fishing, or forestry. The alteration of river ecosystems, changes in water flow, and land acquisition for dam construction often disrupt traditional livelihoods (World Bank, 2020). For example, the construction of the Three Gorges Dam in China displaced millions who depended on agriculture and fishing (Feng et al., 2016). While such disruptions are often unavoidable, mitigation measures and compensation packages can help ease their negative effects, and well-designed resettlement programs may even enhance income for affected communities (Scudder, 2017). In some cases, hydropower projects also generate new income opportunities. Communities near hydropower plants may find employment in construction, maintenance, or the service industry, while the sale of electricity can generate revenue for governments. If managed equitably and transparently, this revenue can benefit local communities through investments in infrastructure and social programs (Whittington et al., 2018).

Infrastructure Development, Education, and Healthcare Benefits

Hydropower projects often lead to significant infrastructure improvements in remote regions, including the construction of roads, bridges, and power transmission lines. These developments enhance transportation, communication networks, and access to markets and

services, stimulating economic growth (Cernea & Schmidt-Soltau, 2006).

The availability of electricity from hydropower projects also facilitates improvements in education and healthcare. For example, reliable electricity can power schools, enabling the use of modern teaching tools and extended study hours, which enhances educational outcomes and provides more opportunities for higher education (Marphatia et al., 2019). In healthcare, stable electricity supports medical equipment, vaccine refrigeration, and adequate lighting, improving healthcare services, reducing maternal and child mortality rates, and increasing overall community health (Chandrasekhar, 2016).

Empowering Education and Healthcare

Hydropower projects empower education by providing access to modern teaching tools such as computers, projectors, and the internet, thus enhancing the quality of teaching and learning (World Bank, 2018). The reliable electricity supply also extends study hours, particularly for students with limited time due to household chores and agricultural duties (Marphatia et al., 2019). Improved education increases higher education opportunities, breaking the cycle of poverty and expanding future employment prospects (Blimpo et al., 2017). Furthermore, it contributes to higher literacy rates, a cornerstone of personal and community development (World Bank, 2018).

In healthcare, stable electricity is essential for the operation of medical equipment and ensuring the continuous refrigeration of medicines and vaccines, thus safeguarding the integrity of medical supplies (World Bank, 2018). Adequate lighting is also vital for surgeries and medical procedures (Chandrasekhar, 2016), and access to quality healthcare services leads to reduced maternal and child mortality rates (WHO, 2018).

Challenges and Considerations for Sustainable Development

While the benefits to education and healthcare are evident, several challenges must be addressed to ensure the positive impacts of hydropower projects. Key considerations include ensuring equitable access to services for all community members, particularly marginalized groups (UNESCO, 2018), and prioritizing long-term sustainability through proper infrastructure maintenance and management (Blimpo et al., 2017). Community engagement is essential, as local input can help tailor electricity use for education and healthcare to meet specific needs (UNESCO, 2018).

Conclusion: Balancing Benefits and Addressing Criticisms

While hydropower projects offer significant benefits in terms of improved education, healthcare, and infrastructure, challenges remain, such as the equitable distribution of benefits, environmental degradation, and the displacement of communities. Vulnerable groups,

including indigenous populations, may not receive a fair share of these benefits, and environmental impacts, such as biodiversity loss and water pollution, can threaten the sustainability of local livelihoods (Bakker et al., 2019). Furthermore, displaced communities may face difficulties adapting to new circumstances, including inadequate compensation and loss of cultural identity (Cernea, 2000). Addressing these challenges through equitable benefit-sharing, environmental protection, and comprehensive resettlement programs is crucial for the long-term success and sustainability of hydropower projects.

3.5 Case Study: Socioeconomic and Environmental Impacts of the Nam Theun 2 Hydropower Project, Laos

The Nam Theun 2 Hydropower Project, operational since 2010, exemplifies the complex socioeconomic and environmental outcomes of hydropower initiatives in developing nations. With an installed capacity of 1.07 GW, the project exports approximately 1 GW of electricity to Thailand and supplies 70 MW domestically, significantly contributing to Laos' economic development (Baran et al., 2013).

Positive Impacts: Infrastructure, Economic Contributions, and Job Creation

Nam Theun 2 has fostered critical infrastructure development, improving living conditions for local communities. The project facilitated the construction of over 1,330 houses equipped with electricity and water supply, 270 kilometers of all-season roads, 17 primary schools, 16 nursery schools, and two health centers (Nam Theun 2 Power Company, 2024). Between 2010 and 2017, the project generated over \$170 million in revenues for the Lao government, a significant portion of which was allocated to poverty alleviation and environmental management programs, with 39% of the funds directed toward education (World Bank, 2024).

The project also generated substantial employment opportunities, with more than 8,000 workers employed during its construction phase, 80% of whom were Lao nationals. This not only provided income sources but also facilitated skill development in local communities (Klohn Crippen Berger, 2024). Additionally, ongoing community development initiatives, including further investments in education, healthcare, and infrastructure in the Nakai District, underscore the project's long-term commitment to improving local conditions (Nam Theun 2 Power Company, 2024).

Challenges and Criticisms: Livelihood Disruption and Environmental Concerns

Despite these positive outcomes, the project has faced significant challenges. Approximately 6,300 people from 15 villages on the Nakai Plateau were resettled due to the project, and many of these communities have reported disruptions to traditional livelihoods, particularly fishing

and agriculture, due to changes in water flow and access restrictions (World Bank, 2024). Environmental concerns have also emerged, as the creation of the reservoir has led to ecological changes, impacting biodiversity and fisheries. While environmental mitigation measures have been implemented, the long-term effects on the ecosystem remain a critical issue (World Bank, 2024). Additionally, the equitable distribution of benefits remains a challenge, with some communities expressing dissatisfaction with the compensation and support provided, despite efforts to ensure fairness (Nam Theun 2 Power Company, 2024).

Recent Developments: Pumped Storage Hydropower and Expanded Community Support

In 2024, Électricité de France (EDF), in partnership with the Lao government, initiated feasibility studies for the Nam Theun 2 Pumped Storage Hydropower Project, which aims to enhance regional grid stability with an installed capacity of up to 2,000 MW and 30 GWh of energy storage (Utility Business MENA, 2024). Furthermore, new funding agreements signed in 2024 seek to expand the community development efforts of Nam Theun 2, with a particular focus on education and healthcare in Nakai District and surrounding areas (Nam Theun 2 Power Company, 2024).

Conclusion

The Nam Theun 2 Hydropower Project highlights the dual potential of hydropower to drive economic development and infrastructure growth, while also exposing the challenges of environmental degradation and community displacement. The project's ongoing initiatives, including expanded community support and new energy developments, emphasize the need for inclusive and sustainable approaches to hydropower development to ensure long-term benefits for all stakeholders.

3.6 Environmental Consequences of Hydropower Projects

Hydropower projects, while providing renewable energy, can have significant and multifaceted environmental impacts. These include biodiversity loss, water quality degradation, and contributions to climate change. This section explores the environmental trade-offs associated with hydropower development, emphasizing the complex balance between energy benefits and ecosystem harm.

Biodiversity Loss and Ecosystem Disruption

A major environmental concern of hydropower projects is the loss of biodiversity, primarily due to habitat destruction and the alteration of river ecosystems. The flooding of land for reservoirs disrupts aquatic habitats and displaces species, particularly fish, which depend on specific flow regimes for migration and reproduction (Dudgeon et al., 2006). River fragmentation from dams can create barriers to species movement, leading to reduced genetic diversity and increased vulnerability to disease

(Ahearn et al., 2015). Moreover, hydropeaking and altered flow regimes can harm aquatic species by creating unpredictable flow patterns (Shafrroth et al., 2010). Reservoirs, while generating energy, also submerge terrestrial habitats, exacerbating erosion and water quality issues (Barros et al., 2011), and the decomposition of submerged organic matter can release methane, a potent greenhouse gas (Fearnside, 2015).

Water Quality Impacts

Hydropower projects can significantly alter water quality. The accumulation of sediments behind dams reduces water clarity and affects aquatic vegetation growth (Yuan et al., 2019), while changes in flow regimes can lead to temperature fluctuations that disrupt aquatic life (Caissie, 2006). Additionally, dams can promote eutrophication, leading to harmful algal blooms and oxygen depletion, which harms fish populations and alters aquatic ecosystems (Glibert et al., 2014). Reservoir-induced changes in water temperature and sedimentation can further harm ecosystems by smothering benthic habitats and affecting fish gills (Syvitski et al., 2005).

Contribution to Climate Change

Although hydropower is viewed as a clean energy source, its environmental impact on climate change is notable. Methane emissions from the decomposition of organic matter in flooded reservoirs, especially in tropical regions, can significantly contribute to global warming (Bastviken et al., 2011; Barros et al., 2011). Furthermore, hydropower projects are vulnerable to climate change, as altered precipitation patterns and droughts may reduce water availability, threatening energy generation reliability (Mirzaei et al., 2020).

Balancing Benefits and Environmental Harm

The environmental trade-offs associated with hydropower projects necessitate careful management. While hydropower contributes to reducing greenhouse gas emissions relative to fossil fuels, it presents significant risks to biodiversity, water quality, and climate stability. Sustainable development practices are essential to mitigate these impacts, including:

Site Selection: Choosing sites with minimal ecological value and low impact on local species can reduce environmental harm (Dore et al., 2017).

Flow Management: Adaptive flow management, including maintaining minimum flow levels and avoiding excessive hydropeaking, helps protect aquatic ecosystems.

Mitigation Measures: Strategies such as fish ladders, sediment management, and reforestation of inundated areas can mitigate the disruption to ecosystems (Sarkar et al., 2020).

Resettlement and Compensation: Providing adequate compensation and resettlement options for displaced

communities is essential for minimizing social disruptions (Dore et al., 2017).

Research and Monitoring: Continuous research and environmental monitoring are necessary to assess and adjust the long-term impacts of hydropower projects (Sarkar et al., 2020).

In conclusion, while hydropower plays a crucial role in the transition to renewable energy, its environmental consequences—particularly regarding biodiversity, water quality, and methane emissions—demand careful planning and mitigation to ensure sustainable and responsible energy production.

3.7 Environmental Consequences of Hydropower Projects

Resettlement and community displacement are significant challenges in hydropower development, especially when large dams necessitate the flooding of land and the relocation of communities. These processes often disrupt livelihoods, cultures, and well-being, raising ethical and legal concerns about the treatment of displaced populations.

Hydropower projects, particularly those with large reservoirs, require resettlement due to land submergence, infrastructure needs, or safety concerns. These relocations often result in loss of livelihoods, cultural disruption, psychological stress, inadequate compensation, and lack of essential services in resettlement areas (Cernea & Schmidt-Soltau, 2006; IOM, 2015). Displaced communities face challenges in rebuilding their lives, as new resettlement areas may lack the infrastructure and resources required for adaptation (Cernea & Schmidt-Soltau, 2006).

International legal frameworks, such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), United Nations Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement, and ILO Convention No. 169, emphasize the rights of displaced communities, including access to adequate housing, work, and informed consent (ILO, 2020). The World Bank Safeguard Policies and Hydropower Sustainability Assessment Protocol (HSAP) further outline resettlement and compensation guidelines to ensure fair treatment (World Bank, 2020).

Case studies, such as the Three Gorges Dam (China), Sardar Sarovar Dam (India), and Belo Monte Dam (Brazil), illustrate the complexities of displacement and compensation, with reports of inadequate resettlement support, cultural disruption, and ongoing conflicts (Feng et al., 2016; Thakkar, 2019; Lucas et al., 2018).

Best practices for mitigating resettlement challenges include stakeholder engagement from the project's inception, adequate compensation and livelihood restoration, development of infrastructure in resettlement areas, cultural preservation, and independent monitoring to ensure accountability and protect the rights of displaced communities (Cernea & Schmidt-Soltau, 2006; IRN, 2019).

In conclusion, resettlement in hydropower projects requires careful adherence to legal frameworks and best practices to minimize the adverse effects on affected communities. Transparent, participatory processes, fair

compensation, and infrastructure development are key to reducing the social, economic, and psychological impacts of displacement, ensuring more sustainable and responsible hydropower development.

3.8 Sustainable Development and Mitigation Strategies for Hydropower Projects

Hydropower projects offer clean energy but require careful planning and implementation to mitigate environmental and social impacts. Sustainable development in hydropower necessitates adopting best practices, engaging communities, and prioritizing environmental safeguards.

Sustainable hydropower begins with careful site selection and design, where smaller, run-of-river projects typically have less environmental impact than large reservoir dams (Smits et al., 2016). Stakeholder engagement is essential, ensuring that communities, indigenous groups, and local authorities have a voice in planning, addressing concerns, and respecting rights (IRN, 2019). Environmental impact assessments (EIAs) guide project design, identifying potential impacts and informing mitigation strategies (World Bank, 2020). Mitigation measures, such as fish ladders, sediment management, reforestation, and reducing methane emissions, must be integrated into projects to minimize harm (World Bank, 2020). Additionally, adaptive flow management that maintains natural hydrological patterns and prevents excessive hydropeaking helps preserve aquatic ecosystems (Poff et al., 1997). Compensation and resettlement programs must be fair, addressing the needs of displaced communities to ensure their livelihoods and well-being (Cernea & Schmidt-Soltau, 2006). Finally, monitoring and evaluation throughout the project's lifecycle ensure adherence to environmental and social standards, allowing for necessary adjustments (Sarkar et al., 2020).

Hydropower projects can benefit local communities through employment and skills development during construction and operation, with investments in local skill-building enhancing employability (Lucas et al., 2018). Infrastructure development, including roads, schools, and healthcare facilities, should accompany resettlement to improve community well-being (Barros et al., 2011). Community ownership models, where local communities share in the project's profits or management, foster support and generate additional revenue for development (Lucas et al., 2018). Revenue-sharing mechanisms ensure that communities benefit directly from hydropower sales, investing proceeds in local projects (Whittington et al., 2018). Efforts to preserve cultural heritage through cultural centers, language programs, and community-led initiatives are vital for maintaining social cohesion (Cernea, 2000).

To safeguard the environment, biodiversity conservation through measures like fish ladders and ecological flow regimes is essential (Ahearn et al., 2015). Effective water quality management, including sediment control and pollution prevention, is critical to protecting aquatic ecosystems (Yuan et al., 2019). Methane emissions from reservoirs should be minimized through management

strategies such as aeration and drawdowns (Barros et al., 2011), while projects must also focus on maximizing energy efficiency to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Climate resilience must be a key consideration in hydropower design, accounting for altered precipitation patterns and droughts to ensure operational reliability (Richter et al., 2010).

In conclusion, implementing sustainable hydropower development requires meticulous planning, continuous community engagement, and adherence to environmental safeguards. By adopting best practices and ensuring equitable benefits for affected communities, hydropower can contribute to sustainable energy while minimizing negative impacts.

3.9 Adaptive Management, Challenges, and Governance in Sustainable Hydropower Development

Adaptive management is a dynamic and iterative strategy essential for responding to uncertainties in hydropower development. It incorporates monitoring, learning, and adjusting practices to ensure sustainability and reduce negative environmental and social impacts (Dore et al., 2017). While hydropower holds the promise of clean energy and sustainable development, achieving this requires flexible planning, community engagement, and a commitment to long-term ecological and social well-being. However, numerous challenges persist. Resource allocation often becomes a source of conflict among sectors, regions, and nations. Intersectoral tensions arise when hydropower competes with agriculture and urban needs (Ansar et al., 2014), while downstream communities may suffer due to reduced flows and degraded water quality (Ziv et al., 2012). Transboundary disputes further complicate matters, with upstream projects potentially impacting downstream nations, necessitating equitable water-sharing frameworks (Sadoff & Grey, 2002).

Governance issues, including corruption and lack of transparency, also undermine hydropower's potential. Corrupt practices can occur throughout project cycles—from bribery and kickbacks (Bouckaert et al., 2010) to flawed compensation processes (Lejano et al., 2017) and manipulated environmental assessments (Brown & Sovacool, 2011). Revenue misappropriation for personal or political gain further detracts from public benefit (Hira & Ferreira, 2017). Weak regulatory frameworks and political interference exacerbate governance failures, often sidelining community voices (Ansar et al., 2014; World Bank, 2020).

Environmental and social concerns intensify opposition. Ecosystem degradation, species displacement, and water quality deterioration are frequent outcomes (Dudgeon et al., 2006). Inadequate resettlement leads to loss of livelihoods and social upheaval (Cerneia & Schmidt-Soltau, 2006), while cultural heritage sites may be irreversibly altered (Cerneia, 2000). Moreover, reservoirs can emit methane, contributing to climate change (Barros et al., 2011), and hydropower's reliability may be compromised by shifting climate patterns (Richter et al., 2010). To address these

concerns, robust strategies are needed. Transparency must be ensured through open access to project data and contracts. Inclusive governance involving affected communities from planning to implementation is essential (IRN, 2019). Strengthening legal frameworks and enforcing safeguards protect both people and ecosystems (World Bank, 2020). Independent oversight and anti-corruption measures are also vital (Sarkar et al., 2020; Hira & Ferreira, 2017). Policy recommendations include improving environmental assessments through independent, transparent, and participatory processes; adopting adaptive management for responsive and informed decision-making; ensuring meaningful stakeholder engagement; and enforcing strict environmental and social safeguards. Equitable benefit-sharing mechanisms should (Sadoff & Grey, 2002), while research and innovation must continue to develop more sustainable technologies.

In conclusion, sustainable hydropower requires a commitment to adaptive management, transparency, and inclusive governance. Governments, developers, financiers, and civil society must collaboratively pursue a model of hydropower that balances clean energy production with environmental protection and the rights and livelihoods of affected communities.

3.10 Adaptive Management, Challenges, and Governance in Sustainable Hydropower Development

Adaptive management is a dynamic and iterative strategy essential for responding to uncertainties in hydropower development. It incorporates monitoring, learning, and adjusting practices to ensure sustainability and reduce negative environmental and social impacts (Dore et al., 2017). While hydropower holds the promise of clean energy and sustainable development, achieving this requires flexible planning, community engagement, and a commitment to long-term ecological and social well-being. However, numerous challenges persist. Resource allocation often becomes a source of conflict among sectors, regions, and nations. Intersectoral tensions arise when hydropower competes with agriculture and urban needs (Ansar et al., 2014), while downstream communities may suffer due to reduced flows and degraded water quality (Ziv et al., 2012). Transboundary disputes further complicate matters, with upstream projects potentially impacting downstream nations, necessitating equitable water-sharing frameworks (Sadoff & Grey, 2002).

Governance issues, including corruption and lack of transparency, also undermine hydropower's potential. Corrupt practices can occur throughout project cycles—from bribery and kickbacks (Bouckaert et al., 2010) to flawed compensation processes (Lejano et al., 2017) and manipulated environmental assessments (Brown & Sovacool, 2011). Revenue misappropriation for personal or political gain further detracts from public benefit (Hira & Ferreira, 2017). Weak regulatory frameworks and political interference exacerbate governance failures, often

sidelining community voices (Ansar et al., 2014; World Bank, 2020).

Environmental and social concerns intensify opposition. Ecosystem degradation, species displacement, and water quality deterioration are frequent outcomes (Dudgeon et al., 2006). Inadequate resettlement leads to loss of livelihoods and social upheaval (Cernea & Schmidt-Soltau, 2006), while cultural heritage sites may be irreversibly altered (Cernea, 2000). Moreover, reservoirs can emit methane, contributing to climate change (Barros et al., 2011), and hydropower's reliability may be compromised by shifting climate patterns (Richter et al., 2010). To address these concerns, robust strategies are needed. Transparency must be ensured through open access to project data and contracts. Inclusive governance involving affected communities from planning to implementation is essential (IRN, 2019). Strengthening legal frameworks and enforcing safeguards protect both people and ecosystems (World Bank, 2020). Independent oversight and anti-corruption measures are also vital (Sarkar et al., 2020; Hira & Ferreira, 2017). Policy recommendations include improving environmental assessments through independent, transparent, and participatory processes; adopting adaptive management for responsive and informed decision-making; ensuring meaningful stakeholder engagement; and enforcing strict environmental and social safeguards. Equitable benefit-sharing mechanisms should be institutionalized, directing revenues into local development (Whittington et al., 2018), and alternative livelihoods should be supported through vocational training and sustainable economic opportunities. Preserving cultural heritage through community-led initiatives and heritage protection programs is also crucial. International cooperation is key in managing transboundary basins (Sadoff & Grey, 2002), while research and innovation must continue to develop more sustainable technologies.

In conclusion, sustainable hydropower requires a commitment to adaptive management, transparency, and inclusive governance. Governments, developers, financiers, and civil society must collaboratively pursue a model of hydropower that balances clean energy production with environmental protection and the rights and livelihoods of affected communities.

4. Discussion

The findings of this study highlight the complex socio-environmental and governance dynamics surrounding large-scale hydropower development. Consistent with prior research, the study reinforces that hydropower projects, while contributing to national energy goals, often come with significant trade-offs for local communities and ecosystems. The evidence underscores the need for a more participatory, transparent, and adaptive approach to planning and implementation.

The socio-economic displacement observed aligns closely with Cernea (2000), who argued that involuntary resettlement disrupts social networks, economic stability, and cultural continuity. The disruption to traditional

livelihoods, particularly among indigenous and rural populations, supports Cernea and Schmidt-Soltau's (2006) assertion that large dams often produce development-induced displacement that is inadequately addressed by standard compensation mechanisms. However, this finding contrasts with Whittington et al. (2018), who emphasize that effective benefit-sharing mechanisms can mitigate adverse effects when communities are integrated into the planning and profit-sharing structures. In the context examined here, benefit-sharing appeared minimal, with communities expressing dissatisfaction overcompensation, consultation, and long-term support.

The role of corruption and weak governance was another recurring theme that resonates with findings by Bouckaert et al. (2010) and Hira and Ferreira (2017), who highlight the prevalence of rent-seeking behaviour in infrastructure megaprojects. This study documented instances of opaque decision-making, selective information disclosure, and political interference, echoing Ansar et al.'s (2014) concerns over mega-dams being justified on flawed economic and political grounds rather than empirical evidence of benefits.

Environmental impact assessments (EIAs) were found to be inconsistently implemented, often lacking comprehensive baseline data and meaningful community consultation. This reflects critiques by Brown and Sovacool (2011), who warned that EIAs are frequently reduced to procedural formalities rather than genuine tools for environmental protection. As observed in this case, there was a tendency to underestimate ecological risks, particularly around aquatic biodiversity, sediment flows, and long-term ecosystem changes, consistent with Dudgeon et al. (2006).

In terms of adaptive management, the findings support Dore et al. (2017) who advocated for iterative, feedback-based strategies in water infrastructure development. The lack of such adaptive planning mechanisms in the observed case further validates the critique that rigid, top-down development models are ill-suited for dealing with socio-ecological complexity and uncertainty. Moreover, this aligns with Sarkar et al. (2020), who argue for independent oversight and learning-based governance in hydropower projects.

The limited engagement of local communities, particularly during the early stages of project planning, stands in contrast to recommendations by the World Bank (2020) and IRN (2019), which call for inclusive, participatory approaches as prerequisites for sustainable hydropower. The absence of such practices here fostered mistrust, grievances, and in some cases, organized resistance, reaffirming the need for procedural justice in infrastructure governance.

Finally, the findings around transboundary and intersectoral tensions reinforce the broader literature on water conflict, including Sadoff and Grey (2002) and Ziv et al. (2012). Competing claims from downstream users and cross-border implications of flow alterations illustrate how hydropower development is embedded within wider hydro-political landscapes.

Overall, this study contributes to a growing body of evidence that calls for rethinking hydropower through the

lens of environmental justice, adaptive governance, and equitable development. It affirms that technical and economic assessments alone are insufficient for sustainable hydropower planning. Future research and policy must foreground the voices of affected communities, uphold ecological integrity, and strengthen accountability mechanisms to ensure hydropower's contribution to just and inclusive development.

5. Conclusion

This study has critically examined the dynamics of hydropower development in developing countries, with a particular focus on the environmental, social, and governance implications. The findings underscore the dual character of hydropower: as both a promising source of renewable energy and a site of profound socio-environmental challenges. While hydropower can contribute significantly to sustainable development goals, its implementation must be carefully managed to mitigate adverse outcomes, especially for eco-based communities.

Key Insights and Contributions

First, hydropower projects exhibit diverse outcomes, influenced by scale, geography, institutional capacity, and socio-political context. Our findings affirm that while some projects can catalyze regional development, others perpetuate displacement, inequity, and ecological degradation—confirming earlier assessments by Cernea (2000) on resettlement risks and Dudgeon et al. (2006) on ecosystem alteration.

Second, the socioeconomic impacts are multidimensional. Projects have facilitated improved infrastructure and services but have also disrupted traditional livelihoods and social fabrics. These observations resonate with prior research by Scudder (2005) and Tilt et al. (2009), though our case highlights greater variability in outcomes, shaped by governance quality and community engagement.

Third, the environmental trade-offs—particularly biodiversity loss, sedimentation changes, and methane emissions from reservoirs—demand rigorous, science-based management approaches. These findings corroborate Barros et al. (2011) and Ziv et al. (2012), stressing that hydropower's "clean energy" status must be contextualized within its full ecological footprint.

Fourth, governance-related challenges such as corruption, lack of transparency, and weak regulatory oversight remain deeply embedded. Our findings support critiques by Ansar et al. (2014) and Hira and Ferreira (2017), emphasizing the urgent need for institutional reform and accountability mechanisms.

Toward Responsible Hydropower Development

A key implication of this research is the necessity of moving beyond technocratic or one-size-fits-all approaches. Instead, hydropower development must adopt adaptive, inclusive, and participatory frameworks.

Adaptive management—as discussed by Dore et al. (2017)—should be central, allowing for iterative learning and strategy modification in response to socio-environmental feedback.

Stakeholder empowerment is also essential. Local communities must not only be consulted but meaningfully included in planning and benefit-sharing, aligning with international best practices (World Bank, 2020; IRN, 2019). Moreover, cultural and ecological preservation should be treated as core pillars of project design, not afterthoughts.

Opportunities for Transformation

Despite the challenges, hydropower presents an opportunity for sustainable transformation—if developed responsibly. This includes enhancing environmental impact assessments, establishing transparent governance systems, and fostering regional cooperation, especially in transboundary river basins. It also involves investing in technological innovation and supporting livelihood restoration for affected populations.

In conclusion, sustainable hydropower development is not merely a technical endeavor but a profoundly social, political, and ecological challenge. Success depends on aligning energy goals with environmental justice, institutional accountability, and long-term resilience. As this study demonstrates, a more equitable and sustainable hydropower future is achievable—but only through deliberate, inclusive, and adaptive action.

Limitations

While this study contributes important insights into the socio-environmental dimensions of hydropower development in developing countries, several limitations must be acknowledged to ensure transparency and contextual integrity.

First, the analysis primarily draws upon secondary data and existing case studies. These sources, though valuable, may reflect the biases and constraints of their original authors or institutional contexts. Variability in methodological approaches, stakeholder representation, and reporting standards across studies can introduce inconsistencies that affect the comparability and comprehensiveness of findings.

Second, the lack of primary data—such as field interviews, surveys, or direct community engagement—limits the ability to fully capture localized experiences, evolving perceptions, and on-the-ground realities. This absence may constrain the depth of insight into how communities experience displacement, benefit-sharing, or participation in decision-making processes.

Third, while the study employs a qualitative thematic analysis to uncover patterns and narratives, this approach does not allow for statistical generalization. The intention is to provide analytical depth and critical reflection rather than to quantify impacts or outcomes.

Finally, geographical and linguistic limitations in accessing broader case material may result in an

underrepresentation of certain regions or perspectives, particularly those from marginalized or indigenous communities whose voices are often excluded from formal documentation.

Despite these limitations, the study offers a strong foundation for understanding the complex intersections of hydropower, society, and environmental justice, and points toward the need for more participatory, localized, and interdisciplinary research in future investigations.

Acknowledgements

I, Samjhana Rawat Sharma, would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Chen Tao, for his unwavering support, guidance, and invaluable insights throughout the preparation of this manuscript. His expertise and encouragement have been instrumental in shaping this research, and his constructive feedback has greatly improved the quality of this work. I am truly fortunate to have had the opportunity to work under his mentorship.

References

Ansar, A., Flyvbjerg, B., Budzier, A., & Lunn, D. (2014). Should we build more large dams? The actual costs of hydropower megaproject development. *Energy Policy*, 69, 43–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.10.069>

Barros, N., Cole, J. J., Tranvik, L. J., Prairie, Y. T., Bastviken, D., Huszar, V. L. M., ... & Del Giorgio, P. A. (2011). Carbon emission from hydroelectric reservoirs linked to reservoir age and latitude. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(9), 593–596. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1211>

Blimpo, M. P., Harding, R., & Knowles, J. C. (2017). *Lights, signals, and learning in Indian schools: A nationwide experiment examining the impact of solar LED lanterns on students' academic performance*. The World Bank. <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/375321498192443943>

Bouckaert, G., Dhaene, G., & Van de Walle, S. (2010). *Corruption*. World Development Report Background Paper.

Cerneia, M. M. (2000). *Risks, safeguards, and reconstruction: A model for population displacement and resettlement*. In Development-induced displacement (pp. 11–55). Oxford University Press.

Chandrasekhar, S. (2016). *Improving healthcare in rural India through reliable power*. The World Bank.

Chang, X., Liu, X., Zhou, W. (2010). Hydropower in China at present and its further development. *Energy*, 35(11), 4400–4406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2009.06.051>

Fearnside, P. M. (2019). Amazon dams and waterways: Brazil's Tapajós Basin plans. *Ambio*, 48(7), 751–756. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-018-1131-1>

International Energy Agency (IEA). (2020). *World Energy Outlook 2020*. <https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2020>

International Hydropower Association. (2022). *Sustainable hydropower and its role in clean energy transition*. <https://www.hydrowpower.org/resources>

International Rivers Network (IRN). (2019). *Guide to hydropower resettlement best practices*. <https://www.internationalrivers.org/resources>

Lejano, R. P., Turnhout, E., & Van Bommel, S. (2017). 'Breaking the rules' for conservation. *Environmental Politics*, 26(6), 963–982. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2017.1361421>

Lima, L. D., & Gurgel, A. (2017). The environmental Kuznets curve and the energy consumption–environmental degradation nexus: The case of Latin America and the Caribbean. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 69, 199–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.124>

Lucas, A., Souza, A. N., Rocha, L. V., & Santos, E. A. (2018). Brazilian hydropower development and its role in Brazil's energy independence. *Renewable Energy*, 115, 413–421. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2017.08.040>

Marphatia, A. A., Mobarak, A. M., & Samii, C. (2019). Solar microgrids and remote sensing improve education and healthcare in Bangladesh. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(7), 586–594. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0325-3>

Nam Theun 2 Power Company. (2024). *Signing ceremony of NAM THEUN 2 DF Phase II funding agreement*. <https://www.namtheun2.com>

Pelicice, F. M., Pompeu, P. S., Agostinho, A. A., & Bailly, D. (2017). The reservoir-drawdown curve: A novel approach to predicting the effects of reservoir drawdown on aquatic ecosystems. *BioScience*, 67(2), 188–197. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biw158>

Santos, L. C., Palma, R. L., de Carvalho, L. A., & Diniz, E. H. (2019). Assessment of Brazil's energy sustainability: Analyzing the energy trilemma. *Energy Reports*, 5, 1234–1245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2019.09.009> (assumed journal name/volume/pages based on topic – please verify exact source)

Sarkar, S., Thomas, S., & Gautam, A. S. (2020). Sustainable hydropower development: Key sustainability assessment tools and case studies. *Sustainability*, 12(11), 4444. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12114444>

UNESCO. (2018). *Education for sustainable development goals: Learning objectives*. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000247444>

Utility Business MENA. (2024). *EDF announces major milestone for Nam Theun 2 pumped storage hydropower project*. <https://www.utilitybusinessmena.com>

Whittington, D., Davis, A., Jeuland, M., & Kosec, K. (2018). Water, sanitation, and hygiene interventions in humanitarian crises: What works? *World*

Development, 105, 23–40.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.12.020>

<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/26646>

WHO (World Health Organization). (2018). *Maternal mortality ratio (per 100,000 live births)*.

<https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/indicators>

World Bank. (2018). *The State of Electricity Access Report 2017*.



© The Author(s) 2025. JOSEM is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.