



The Lumbini Journal of Business and Economics

Peer Reviewed

FinTech-Driven Financial Inclusion in Rural India: Barriers, Opportunities, and Behavioral Insights

Aryan Priyadarshi¹

Abstract

Article Info

Purpose: *The study aims to investigate how FinTech can drive financial inclusion in rural India by analyzing rural consumers' financial behavior and the factors influencing FinTech adoption. Additionally, the research explores socio-cultural norms, technological limitations, and trust issues in relation to FinTech platforms like PhonePe and Google Pay.*

Received:
29 January 2025

Revised:
14 April 2025

Accepted:
27 April 2025

Methods: *This study employed an empirical, quantitative methodology, data were collected via a standardized online questionnaire from 257 respondents, focusing on financial behavior, digital financial literacy, and overall financial inclusion. Analysis of this data by implying ANOVA and Regression analysis revealed critical insights into the strategies, impacts, and challenges encountered by FinTech initiatives.*

Results: *The findings reveal that societal norms often overlook the gender gap and limit women's involvement in financial decision-making. Yet, FinTech is beginning to address this issue with its advanced technologies. The pervasive lack of digital and financial literacy in rural regions is a serious obstacle to the broad adoption of m-transactions. Moreover, the uptake of FinTech app solutions is largely dependent on factors such as system accessibility and ease of use. While financial services have become more available and are contributing to economic empowerment, persistent issues like poor digital infrastructure and regulatory constraints continue to hinder progress.*

Conclusion: *Investors, regulators, and legislators may use the study's important insights to develop best practices that enhance FinTech's social and financial benefits. Additionally, it outlines how financial institutions might manage cross-border transactions for low-income populations and makes suggestions for mobile service providers to provide more reasonably priced services.*

Keywords: FinTech, Financial inclusion, Gender gap, Rural population, Digital financial literacy, Systematic barriers

¹Mr. Priyadarshi is a Research Scholar at Department of Commerce, Siddharth University, Kapilvastu, UP, India. He can be reached at <priyadarshi605@gmail.com>

I. Introduction

Financial inclusion is an important driver of long-term economic development since it ensures that individuals and companies of all backgrounds have access to critical financial services. Due to its vital role in promoting stability and economic success, financial inclusion, a key element of sustainable economic development, has drawn more attention globally (Priyadarshi, 2024). Connecting excluded communities to formal financial institutions improves social equality and increases economic resilience. In areas where traditional banking is scarce, greater access to financial goods and services is critical for successful financial management and overall growth.

Innovative digital solutions, collectively known as FinTech or Financial Technology, have emerged as powerful tools to bridge gaps in traditional systems. Despite rapid advancements in digital technologies, research has scarcely explored how FinTech can overcome the unique challenges faced by rural Indian communities. While urban areas benefit from digital innovation, the critical issues of inadequate infrastructure and low consumer trust in rural settings remain underexplored. This gap in understanding the tailored application of FinTech underscores an urgent need for research that delves into the transformative potential of digital financial services to reshape economic behaviors and foster inclusivity in under banked regions. By integrating advanced digital platforms, FinTech offers alternative pathways for delivering financial services to underserved communities, particularly in developing economies (Hasan, 2023). This shift not only streamlines service delivery but also addresses systemic inefficiencies and longstanding structural barriers.

Empirical research demonstrates that FinTech is revolutionizing access to formal financial services for underserved populations by reducing systemic inefficiencies and dismantling structural barriers. In India, the rapid expansion of FinTech can be linked to factors such as a significant unbanked population, widespread mobile technology adoption, and robust government initiatives promoting financial inclusion. However, sustaining this growth necessitates addressing persistent challenges, including regulatory gaps, infrastructural shortcomings, and concerns over data privacy (Arora, 2023). Beyond improving access, FinTech has the potential to address larger social issues such as gender inequality, low digital literacy, and service affordability. Promoting digital and financial literacy, particularly among rural customers, is critical to ensure that technology developments produce widespread benefits. Tailored educational programs and focused legislative measures can assist bridge socioeconomic gaps, ensuring that digital tools reach those who need them the most.

This research investigates the transformative role of FinTech in reshaping financial habits in rural Indian communities by addressing current obstacles with digital innovations. It explores how the dynamic interaction between technological advancements, active stakeholder involvement, and government policies can enhance service accessibility and promote inclusive economic growth. The study also highlights critical challenges such as limited infrastructure and low consumer trust, which are key to the effective adoption of digital financial services in under banked areas. In the end, by looking at these interdependencies, the study offers practical advice on how to get beyond these obstacles and create an inclusive, tech-driven financial environment.

Despite tremendous progress in financial inclusion across India over the last decade, significant discrepancies remain, particularly in rural regions. While ground-breaking programs like the Jan Dhan Yojana, Aadhar-enabled identity systems, and UPI have constructed crucial infrastructure, systemic obstacles continue to impede development. Persistent hurdles, such as a lack of digital literacy, wealth disparity, a lack of formal documentation, and distrust of financial institutions, limit financial service use. Rural populations have additional hurdles, including inadequate digital infrastructure, inconsistent internet access, frequent service outages, and cost-related constraints. These difficulties are exacerbated by complicated regulatory frameworks, fragmented policy implementation, and increased concerns about cybersecurity and privacy. Collectively, these barriers restrict the integration of micro and small

businesses (MSMEs) into the formal financial sector, perpetuating inclusion gaps. FinTech advances, on the other hand, have the potential to significantly reduce these inequities. Mhlanga (2024) highlights digital wallets, micro-lending platforms, and mobile banking as solutions that might democratize access to financial services and empower vulnerable groups. However, harnessing this potential necessitates a detailed understanding of region- and demographic-specific constraints. The main problem goes beyond simply providing access; it also requires supporting egalitarian, secure, and long-term adoption of FinTech apps in Rural India and Semi-Urban India. Addressing these multidimensional concerns is crucial for promoting inclusive economic growth and increasing financial resilience in neglected areas.

This study aims to explore the transformative influence of FinTech solutions on enhancing financial inclusion among rural consumers by examining trends in accessibility, usage, and adoption and the role of digital financial literacy, accessibility, and socio-economic factors in shaping adoption patterns. It delves into demographic dynamics with a keen focus on the gender gap in FinTech service uptake, highlighting unique challenges and opportunities within these communities. Additionally, the research investigates the primary obstacles that rural consumers encounter when engaging with FinTech platforms, including technological, social, and financial barriers. Together, these objectives seek to provide a comprehensive understanding of how digital financial innovations can drive inclusive economic growth in under banked regions.

II. Reviews

Catalyzing Economic Empowerment in Rural Communities

Recent research increasingly emphasizes FinTech's role in rural economic empowerment. Mhlanga (2022) argues that FinTech improves the economic status of rural communities by providing affordable access to necessary financial services like bank loans, savings and insurance thus helping to bridge socio-economic divides. Cost-effective and scalable FinTech solutions have allowed many rural consumers to obtain financial products that were once out of reach. Similarly, Babar (2023) and Hasan (2023) highlight the benefits of digital financial services for women entrepreneurs, who use these platforms to secure credit and grow their businesses. Further studies by Alkaseasbeh (2023) and Alsmadi (2023) reveal that FinTech platforms also enhance banking efficiency and accessibility for household entrepreneurs and the informal sector. Despite these promising insights, there is still a notable research gap regarding the long-term effects of FinTech driven economic empowerment on community development and resilience. Moreover, while the current literature focuses predominantly on positive outcomes, it pays insufficient attention to potential risks, such as over-indebtedness and the digital exclusion of certain groups. This underscores the need for more comprehensive research that evaluates both the benefits and challenges of FinTech in rural economic contexts.

FinTech as a Catalyst for Financial Inclusion

FinTech innovations are widely acknowledged for broadening access to financial services in rural areas. Priyadarshi (2024) asserts that digital solutions such as mobile banking and digital wallets offer affordable, convenient means to bridge persistent financial gaps, while also addressing the distinct needs of underbanked populations through services like credit, savings, and insurance. Angeles (2022) further highlights that digital financial literacy and regulatory support play key roles in mediating FinTech adoption. Complementary studies by Mhlanga (2024) and Mahmud (2023) reveal the sustainable growth potential of the FinTech ecosystem in developing regions, suggesting these technologies can fundamentally transform both the scale and quality of financial services for rural consumers. Moreover, Maknickienė (2024) emphasizes that such innovations are critical to cultivating an inclusive financial landscape that empowers underserved groups. Despite these promising findings, a notable gap remains in the literature concerning the long-term sustainability and potential unintended consequences of rapid digital transformation. Future research should therefore

investigate whether the initial successes in expanding access will evolve into sustained financial inclusion, especially within changing regulatory contexts.

Challenges to FinTech Integration in Rural Communities

FinTech holds transformative promise, yet its widespread adoption in rural India remains challenged by several factors. A primary obstacle is the inadequate digital infrastructure; as Nanduri (2021) notes, reliable internet and mobile connectivity are crucial for FinTech success, yet these resources are often lacking in rural areas. This shortfall significantly limits the reach and impact of FinTech services, a point further emphasized by Mulyono (2023). Additionally, pervasive digital illiteracy hampers progress. Research by Priyadarshi et al. (2025) and Chandran (2022) reveals that low internet penetration and ongoing concerns about data privacy and security diminish consumer confidence in digital platforms. Lastly, regulatory hurdles characterized by inconsistent policies and overly complex frameworks, as highlighted by Adeola (2021) further complicate the integration of FinTech solutions into rural markets.

Consumer Behavior and Digital Financial Literacy

Digital Financial Literacy (DFL) is fundamental in shaping rural consumers' willingness to adopt FinTech solutions. Singh (2023) argues that higher levels of DFL are strongly associated with better financial outcomes, a claim that Aryan et al. (2024) reinforce by demonstrating that digitally proficient consumers are more capable of effectively utilizing FinTech services. However, research by Priyadarshi et al. (2025) and Mpofu (2023) reveals that challenges such as a lack of trust, insufficient awareness, and infrastructural limitations can significantly obstruct adoption.

Although the significance of digital literacy is well documented, current studies often neglect the complex dynamics between trust and perceived risk in rural settings. While increased digital competence generally encourages usage, the crucial issue of trust in digital platforms remains underexamined, pointing to a vital area for further research. Additionally, the interplay between DFL and factors like cultural attitudes and prior financial experiences requires deeper investigation. This review therefore lays the groundwork for exploring how these behavioral insights might influence broader economic outcomes in rural areas.

Gender and Socio-Economic Factors in Digital Financial Inclusion

Gender disparities and socio-economic conditions are pivotal in shaping financial behavior in rural communities. Mpofu (2023) highlights that rural women frequently face significant challenges in accessing digital financial services due to entrenched socio-cultural barriers and lower financial literacy. Complementing this, Miah (2023) argues that FinTech innovations such as mobile banking and targeted financial education programs can empower women and promote more balanced financial inclusion.

Rahayu (2023) examines in more detail how digital financial literacy might help women become more economically empowered, demonstrating that improved digital skills enable rural women to engage more actively in activities like payments and savings. Karangara (2023) reinforces this notion by linking enhanced digital literacy with better financial well-being for women.

Despite these valuable insights, there remains a pressing need to explore the socio-cultural dynamics that sustain gender disparities. Future research should examine why specific interventions succeed in some contexts while failing in others, providing a more detailed understanding of the barriers to gender-inclusive FinTech adoption.

While the literature generally acknowledges these barriers, there is a notable lack of critical analysis regarding how these challenges interact with one another. For instance, few studies have examined how infrastructural deficits exacerbate issues of digital illiteracy and trust simultaneously. This gap suggests that a more integrated research approach is needed to

fully understand the multifaceted challenges to FinTech adoption in rural areas. Addressing these limitations could pave the way for more targeted and effective policy interventions, thereby unlocking the full potential of FinTech for financial inclusion.

III. Methodology

This study adopts a quantitative approach to systematically analyze FinTech strategies, their impact, and the challenges associated with financial inclusion in rural India. A comparative research design was employed to examine the adoption of FinTech applications across diverse socio-economic and demographic groups. The study's target population includes key stakeholders in the financial ecosystem, such as financial institutions, regulatory bodies, FinTech firms, rural entrepreneurs, and consumers. Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure direct engagement with individuals actively involved in FinTech and financial inclusion efforts. However, recognizing that purposive sampling may lead to biases such as overrepresentation of certain perspectives, we made deliberate efforts to include a diverse range of participants across different regions and demographics to enhance representativeness.

In-depth interviews and semi-structured questionnaires were used to gather primary data., yielding a total of 257 respondents was chosen to ensure sufficient statistical power for robust quantitative analysis while allowing us to capture diverse perspectives from various regions of India. This sample size was deemed appropriate based on prior studies in similar contexts, balancing resource constraints with the need for reliable and generalizable insights into FinTech adoption and financial inclusion. The questionnaire included a five-point Likert scale for structured, quantifiable responses, alongside open-ended questions to capture deeper insights. Purposive sampling was used to target individuals with direct experience in FinTech and financial inclusion initiatives. While this approach effectively ensured that the respondents were knowledgeable about the subject matter, it also introduced potential biases, such as an overrepresentation of more accessible or vocal groups. To mitigate these biases, we deliberately sought a diverse set of participants by engaging respondents across different socio-economic, demographic, and geographic segments. Additionally, secondary data were sourced from an extensive review of literature, policy reports, statistical databases, and government publications related to FinTech and financial inclusion in India.

For data analysis, descriptive statistics including mean values, standard deviations, and frequency distributions, were used to summarize demographic and behavioral trends. To explore key factors influencing FinTech adoption advanced statistical techniques such as factor analysis, regression analysis, and correlation tests were applied. Factor analysis was particularly useful in identifying underlying variables that explain correlations among observed measures, thereby simplifying complex data relationships. Regression and correlation analyses further examined the impact of determinants like accessibility, digital financial literacy, and perceived usefulness on FinTech adoption.

IV. Results and Discussion

This section centers on presenting and discussing the research findings. A two-phase analysis was employed, beginning with a descriptive overview, followed by an inferential analysis that utilized data collected from primary sources through questionnaires.

As seen in Table 1, the demographic details of the 257 participants offer valuable knowledge into rural consumers' financial behavior in the context of FinTech-driven financial inclusion. The gender distribution reveals that males comprise 57.58% of the sample compared to 42.41% females, suggesting a disparity in FinTech adoption among women. International research, such as the 2021 World Bank Global Findex report, emphasizes that gender significantly influences financial inclusion, with women in rural India often facing challenges like limited digital access, cultural barriers, and lower financial literacy. The age profile indicates that most respondents are between 20 and 30 years old (43.19%), followed by those aged 30 to 40 (27.23%), echoing findings from the RBI's 2023 Digital Payments Index which shows higher engagement with digital financial platforms among younger individuals.

Table 1*Demographic Information (N=257)*

Variables	Description	Frequencies	%
Gender	Male	148	57.58
	Female	109	42.41
Age (Years)	<20	51	19.84
	20-30	111	43.19
	30-40	70	27.23
	More than 40	25	09.72
Education level	Up to 12 th	33	12.84
	Graduation	76	29.57
	Post Graduation	117	45.52
Area of Residence	Above Post Graduation	31	12.06
	Urban	134	52.14
	Semi-Urban	41	15.95
	Rural	82	31.90

Note. Primary data compiled by Researcher

Notably, only 9.72% of respondents are over 40, which may point to either resistance or limited exposure to FinTech among older populations. In terms of education, 45.52% of respondents have postgraduate qualifications, suggesting a strong link between higher education and the effective use of FinTech, as financial literacy and technical skills enhance the ability to leverage digital financial services. Finally, while 31.90% of respondents live in rural areas and 52.14% in urban regions, the focus remains on rural consumers, who often face obstacles such as lower smartphone penetration and inconsistent internet connectivity a contrast that underscores the urban-rural divide in FinTech penetration and financial inclusion efforts.

The data reveals that younger, highly educated males from urban areas dominate the sample. This trend suggests that FinTech apps need to be ready to overcome the digital backwardness faced by older, less-educated individuals in semi-rural and rural settings, thereby fostering fairer financial inclusion. Moreover, adopting gender-specific strategies, along with bolstered educational and infrastructural support, may enhance both the uptake and efficacy of FinTech services in rural communities.

Table 2*The level of Consumer Knowledge and Recognition of FinTech Products.*

	Policy Bazaar	Google Pay	Banking Apps	Paytm	Groww	PhonePe
Responses	257	257	257	257	257	257
x_1	0.21	0.65	0.40	0.61	0.42	0.91
M	0	0	0	0	0	1
SD	0.37	0.28	0.21	0.23	0.135	0.38
σ^2	0.103	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.19	0.051

Table 2 provides valuable insights into the level of awareness and understanding rural consumers have regarding various FinTech products a key element in interpreting their

financial behaviors in an inclusive context. The findings reveal that Phone Pe app has the maximum level of awareness score ($\bar{x} = 0.91$), making it the most acknowledged and frequently used app among these users, with Google Pay coming in second ($\bar{x} = 0.65$). Notably, Paytm, despite entering the market early, shows a lower average score ($\bar{x} = 0.61$), while the Groww app ($\bar{x} = 0.42$) and banking apps ($\bar{x} = 0.40$) register even lower levels of awareness. Policy Bazaar scores the lowest ($\bar{x} = 0.21$), indicating very limited penetration. Although the low standard deviation for Groww ($SD = 0.135$) suggests that respondents generally exhibit consistent awareness, the variance figures provide further nuance Phone Pe shows the least variability ($\sigma^2 = 0.051$), highlighting its stable and widespread use, whereas Groww ($\sigma^2 = 0.19$) and Policy Bazaar ($\sigma^2 = 0.103$) showed greater inconsistencies. Overall, these results highlight the need for focused efforts to promote a broader range of FinTech solutions among rural consumers, particularly investment platforms like Groww and insurance aggregators such as PolicyBazaar, to boost financial inclusion and empower rural communities within the digital financial ecosystem.

Table 3

Statistical Inference on the Role of FinTech in Enhancing Financial Inclusion

Model Summary ^b

M	(R)	(R ²)	(Adjusted R ²)	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.377 ^a	.45	.09	.526

a. Independent Variables: Availability of Financial Technology Services, Proficiency in Digital Finance, Perceived Usefulness, Adoption of FinTech Solutions

b. Dependent Variable: Financial Behavior

ANOVA^a

Model	(Sum of Squares)	(df)	Mean Square	(F)	Significance
Regression	4.127	4	.597	4.01	.037 ^b
Residual	37.051	231	.426		
1 Total	41.178	235			

a. Dependent Variable: Financial Behavior

b. Predictors: Independent Variables: Availability of Financial Technology Services, Proficiency in Digital Finance, Perceived Usefulness, Adoption of FinTech Solutions

Regression analysis was used to inferentially analyze the financial behavior of rural customers. Regression analysis not only confirms the relevance of key factors in influencing financial behavior but also offers actionable insights for enhancing financial inclusion in rural areas. The tables and charts below illustrate the results of this analysis. The regression analysis detailed in Table 3, which investigates rural consumers' financial behavior in relation to FinTech-driven financial inclusion, shows that the combined effect of FinTech Service Accessibility, Digital Financial Literacy, $R^2 = 0.45$ indicates that 45% of the variation in financial behavior can be explained by FinTech service usage and perceived usefulness. However, the Adjusted.R² value of 0.09 indicates a relatively modest explanatory power when accounting for multiple predictors, suggesting that other factors might also influence financial behavior. The model's statistical significance ($p = 0.037$) confirms a meaningful association among these variables and rural financial practices, emphasizing the role of accessibility, usage, and digital literacy as key drivers. To enhance predictive accuracy, future research should incorporate additional factors such as demographic characteristics and trust in FinTech apps. Practically, FinTech providers and policymakers are encouraged to prioritize expanding rural digital literacy initiatives, improving service accessibility, and bolstering consumer perceptions of FinTech's benefits to drive wider adoption. Refining the model with these additional variables could lead

to more robust strategies for advancing financial inclusion in rural communities.

Table 4

Regression Analysis: Assessing the Barriers to FinTech Adoption

Model Summary^b

	M	(R)	(R ²)	(Adjusted R ²)	Standard Error
1		.816 ^a	.52	.11	.608

a. Predictors: Regulatory Factors, Policy and Oversight Dynamics, Innovations in Technology, Consumer Trust and Awareness, Competitive Industry Landscape, Economic Constraints

b. Dependent Variable: Barriers to FinTech Adoption

ANOVA^a

	Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	4.580	4	.598	2.05	.000 ^b
1	Residual	32.253	231	.319		
	Total	36.833	235			

a. Dependent Variable: Barriers to FinTech Adoption

b. Predictors: Regulatory Factors, Policy and Oversight Dynamics, Innovations in Technology, Consumer Trust and Awareness, Competitive Industry Landscape, Economic Constraints

A strong correlation ($R = 0.816$) is found between the dependent variable and the regression analysis in Table 4, which examines the relationship between barriers to FinTech adoption and a number of predictors, such as technological advancement, consumer trust and awareness, market competition, and financial barriers. An adjusted R^2 of 0.11 suggests either possible overfitting or that the selected variables do not adequately represent the complexity of the issues, even though the model explains 58% of the variation in these hurdles ($R^2 = 0.52$). Although a large standard error (0.608) indicates significant data variability, the model is statistically significant overall (ANOVA $p = 0.000$). These results demonstrate the complexity of FinTech adoption issues in rural regions, suggesting that although the chosen predictors offer valuable information, other elements like cultural influences, inadequate infrastructure, and behavioral hesitations might also be significant determinants of rural consumers' financial behavior. For a more thorough understanding, further research is required.

V. Conclusion and Implication

This study illustrates FinTech's transformative role in revolutionizing how rural communities access and interact with financial services. By improving accessibility, usability, and digital financial literacy, FinTech has the potential to bridge the divide between rural, underserved populations and formal financial institutions. However, the research also identifies several complex challenges that need to be overcome to fully realize these benefits. The study highlights a significant demographic imbalance, for example, even though platforms like Phone Pey and Google Pay are widely used, particularly by younger, educated men. Older people, women, and those with less education continue to be largely excluded due to sociocultural constraints, a lack of financial awareness, and infrastructure issues. The necessity for focused efforts to expand the reach of FinTech services beyond digital payments is further highlighted by the comparatively low popularity of investment and insurance platforms like Groww and Policy Bazaar. Regression study also shows that rural customers' financial behavior is greatly influenced by elements including perceived utility, usability, digital financial literacy, and service accessibility. However, these factors only account for a portion of the observed heterogeneity, indicating that additional factors such as cultural norms, problems with trust, and infrastructure constraints are also crucial. The existing models' weak explanatory power suggests that in order to better capture the intricacies of FinTech adoption in rural settings,

future research should take into account a larger variety of variables and make use of cutting-edge techniques like machine learning or structural equation modeling.

In summary, although FinTech has become a crucial facilitator of financial inclusion, its full potential is yet to be achieved. Overcoming persistent issues such as digital illiteracy, regulatory challenges, gender disparities, and inadequate infrastructure will require a coordinated effort among policymakers, financial institutions, FinTech companies, and community leaders. By focusing on enhancing digital infrastructure, implementing comprehensive financial literacy programs, building trust in digital platforms, and developing gender-inclusive strategies, stakeholders can significantly boost rural financial engagement. Such a holistic approach is not only essential for overcoming current obstacles but also for promoting sustainable economic growth and ensuring that the advantages of digital finance are accessible to all segments of rural society.

References

- Adeola, O. (2021). *Gendered perspectives on COVID-19 recovery in Africa*. In Springer eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-88152-8>
- Alkaseasbeh, M., Alqurran, T., Mohamed, M., & Orabi, A. (2023). Role of fintech in uncovering parallel economy initiatives among house-held business intrapreneurs. *Journal of System and Management Sciences*, 13(5). <https://doi.org/10.33168/jsms.2023.0526>
- Alsmadi, A. A., Al_hazimeh, A. M. d., Al-Afeef, M. A., Al-Smadi, A. W., Rifai, F., & Al-Okaily, M. (2023). Banking services transformation and financial technology role. *Information Sciences Letters*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120126>
- Angeles, I. T. (2022). The moderating effect of digital and financial literacy on the digital financial services and financial behavior of MSMEs. *Review of Economics and Finance*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.55365/1923.X2022.20.57>
- Arora, S., & Madan, P. (2023). Conceptual framework depicting the drivers for the fintech growth: An outlook for India. In *Emerald Publishing Limited eBooks* (pp. 197–220). <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80455-562-020231014>
- Aryan, L. A., Alsharif, A., Alquqa, E. K., Ebbini, M. M. A., Alzboun, N., Alshurideh, M. T., & Al-Hawary, S. I. S. (2024). How digital financial literacy impacts financial behavior in Jordanian millennial generation. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 8(1), 117–124. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.10.011>
- Babar, H. (2023). Fintech- a solution for financial inclusion and women's economic empowerment? Qeios. <https://doi.org/10.32388/af1eu0>
- Chandran, P., Deepa, D., & Tholath, I. (2022). Digital revolution in banking services and ethical aspects: a Review. In *Journal of Positive School Psychology* (Vol. 2022, Issue 5).
- Hamdan, R. K., & Buallay, A. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) and customer social responsibility (CSR). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-50939-1>
- Hasan, R., Ashfaq, M., Parveen, T., & Gunardi, A. (2022). Financial inclusion – does digital financial literacy matter for women entrepreneurs? *International Journal of Social Economics*, 50(8), 1085–1104. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijse-04-2022-0277>
- Heng, D., & Tok, Y. W. (2022). Fintech: Financial inclusion or exclusion? *IMF Working Papers*, 2022(080). <https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400208645.001>
- Karangara, R. (2023). Examining the role of fintech in financial inclusion and its impact on financial services to underbanked population in India. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 5(5). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i05.7473>
- Mahmud, K., Joarder, M. M. A., & Sa kib, K. (2023). Sustainable economic growth for developing countries

- through fintech ecosystem: A systematic literature review. *Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis Journal*, 4(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.54480/slr-m.v4i2.58>
- Maknickienė, N., & Lapkovskaja, J. (2024). An exploratory review of the fintech influence field. *Journal of Infrastructure Policy and Development*, 8(4), 3410. <https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i4.3410>
- Mhlanga, D. (2022). Digital financial inclusion, and the way forward for emerging markets: Towards sustainable development. In *Palgrave studies in impact finance* (pp. 323–341). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16687-7_18
- Mhlanga, D., & Dzingirai, M. (2024). Financial inclusion and sustainable development in Sub-Saharan Africa. In *Routledge eBooks*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003515715>
- Miah, M. (2023). The role of fintech in bridging the divide for economic empowerment. *Economics and Business*, 37(1), 206–219. <https://doi.org/10.2478/eb-2023-0013>
- Mpofu, F. Y. (2023). Gender disparity and digital financial inclusion in advancing the attainment of sustainable development goals in developing Countries. *International Journal of Innovation in Management Economics and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 49–70. <https://doi.org/10.59615/ijimes.3.3.49>
- Mulyono, N. (2023). The impact of digital literacy on fintech service usage through financial literacy. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 426, 02003. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202342602003>
- Nanduri, S. (2021). Digital finance: Fintech for financial inclusion and sustainability. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(6), 5135-5142.
- Priyadarshi, A., Prasad, D., & Kesari, N. (2025). Determining fintech user behaviour and intention in SAARC countries through theory of planned behaviour perspective. *Journal of Commerce and Accounting Research*, 14(2), 100-110. <https://doi.org/10.21863/jcar/2025.14.2.003>
- Priyadarshi, A., & Prasad, D. (2024). An analysis of rural consumers' financial behavior in the context of financial inclusion through fintech. *Parikalpana - KIIT Journal of Management*, 20(2), 43-54. <https://doi.org/10.23862/kiit-parikalpana/2024/v20/i2/226735>
- Rahayu, R., Juita, V., & Rahman, A. (2023). Financial literacy, digital financial literacy and women's economic empowerment. *Journal of Telecommunications and the Digital Economy*, 11(2), 118–138. <https://doi.org/10.18080/jtde.v11n2.700>
- Respati, D. K., Widyastuti, U., Nuryati, T., Musyaffi, A. M., Handayani, B. D., & Ali, N. R. (2023). How do students' digital financial literacy and financial confidence influence their financial behavior and financial well-being? *NURTURE*, 17(2), 40–50. <https://doi.org/10.55951/nurture.v17i2.154>
- Singh, A. K., & Sharma, P. (2022). A study of Indian Gen X and millennials consumers' intention to use FinTech payment services during COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 18(4), 1177–1203. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jm2-02-2022-0059>