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In this study, we will undertake a comparative study of the syntax of the Tense and Aspect of Standard Khasi, an Austro-Asiatic language spoken in the state of Meghalaya and its two varieties Trangblang and Mawlong. Trangblang belongs to the War-Jaiñtia dialect of Khasi and is spoken in Trangblang village situated in Amlarem Block in Jaiñtia Hills District. Mawlong, on the other hand, is a War-Khasi dialect of Khasi and is spoken in Mawlong village located in the East Khasi Hills District. The main aim of this study is to compare and contrast the similarity and variation between these varieties when compared with the Standard Khasi using a comparative methodology. This study also aims to present the morphology of Tense and Aspect and to account for the syntactic analyses of Tense and Aspect in these varieties.
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1. Introduction

Khasi belongs to the Austro-Asiatic family of language and is spoken in the Central and Eastern part of the state of Meghalaya. Before 1813, Khasi had no script of its own. In the year 1813-14, translation of the Bible into the Khasi language was done using Bengali script because literacy was possible only in Bengali. Around 1816, a few more translated versions of the Gospel of Matthew were printed and distributed among some Khasis who could read the Bengali script. It was only with the coming of the Welsh missionary (1842) that the Roman script was introduced and translations were done in the standard dialect.

Khasi has significant dialectal variations; Grierson (1904) mentions of four dialects. These are Khasi proper, Pnar or the Synteng, the Lyngngam, and the War dialects. Khashi proper is the standard dialect used as the formal language and for all literary purposes. War dialects of Khasi are subdivided into two groups i.e. War-Khasi and War-Jaiñtia. They are spoken in the South-east corner of East Khasi Hills District and Jaiñtia Hills District respectively.

In Sidwell (2009), Daladier (2007, 341) remarks that the Mon Khmer group of languages has three main branches: The Khasi now standardized and fixed by written use, yet there are still unwritten dialects, particularly in the War region, the Pnar and War.

2. Varieties under Study

In this study, War-Jaiñtia is represented by Trangblang variety spoken in Trangblang village situated in Amlarem Block in Jaiñtia Hills district. It is located 25 km towards south from district headquarters Jowai and 46km from the state capital Shillong. And on the other hand, War-Khasi is represented by Mawlong variety spoken in Mawlong village which is located in the East Khasi Hills district adjacent to Bangladesh and is about 65 km from the capital city Shillong.

3. Aim of the study

The present paper aims to compare and contrast the similarity and differences between the tense and aspect of the two varieties of War dialects i.e. Trangblang variety and Mawlong variety when compared with the standard Khasi.

4. Tense and Aspect

Tense as a grammatical concept has been defined as a grammatical expression of location in time (Comrie, 1985: 9). Therefore, tense specifies whether an action described and denoted by the verb is present, past or future. Lyons (1968: 305)
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explaining this category says that “[t]he essential characteristic of the category of the tense is that it relates the time of an action, event, or state of affairs referred to in the sentence to the time of utterance: the time of utterance begins now”. According to Binnick (2012), the most influential syntactic definition of tense restricts the category to the morphemes that refer to present and past. The notion of aspect according to Comrie (1976:3) refers to “the different ways of viewing the internal temporal constituency of a situation”. A further crucial aspectual difference is that between perfective and imperfective aspects. Comrie characterizes these notions as follows.

“...perfectivity indicates the view of the situation as a single whole, without distinction of the various separate phases that make up the situation, while the imperfective pays essential attention to the internal structure of the situation.” Comrie (1976:16).

4.1 The morphology of tense in standard Khasi and its varieties

There are three tense distinctions in Standard Khasi (SK), Mawlong variety (MV) and Trangblang variety (TV) as discussed below.

4.1.1 Present tense

In standard Khasi as well as in both the varieties Mawlong and Trangblang, the present tense is

\[\text{unmarked (e)}.\]

It is interesting to note that unlike in the standard Khasi in (1a) where the agreement marker \( u \) ‘third Singular Masculine’ occurs before the verb, it is found that the agreement marker in the two varieties in (1b) and (1c) occurs after the verb \( go \) as shown in the following sentences below.

(1) a. \( u \) \( b a n \) \( u \) \( o \) \( l e y t \) \( s k u l \) (SK)  
\( 3S M \) \( B a n \) \( 3S M \) \( g o \) \( s k u l \) 
‘Ban goes to school’

b. \( u \) \( b a n \) \( o \) \( d a \) \( s k u l \) \( u \) (MV)  
\( 3S M \) \( B a n \) \( 3S M \) \( g o \) \( s k u l \)  
‘Ban goes to school’

c. \( u \) \( b a n \) \( o \) \( l e \) \( p u r e \) \( k o t \) \( u \) (TV)  
\( 3S M \) \( B a n \) \( 3S M \) \( g o \) \( r e a d \) \( k o t \)  
‘Ban goes to school’

4.1.2 Past tense

In standard Khasi (SK), the past tense is indicated by the marker \( la \) and it occurs pre-verbally as shown in (2a) below. Mawlong variety also has the same marker \( la \) (2b), whereas, Trangblang variety has \( da \) as the past tense marker (2c). The placement of the past tense markers is consistent across all the varieties i.e. pre-verbal.

The only difference is in the placement of the agreement marker \( u \) ‘third singular masculine’. In SK, it is placed before the tense marker and in MV and TV \( u \) is placed at the final position. We can also observe that the lexical item for 'buy' in MV and SK is different from TV.

(2) a. \( u \) \( h e p \) \( u \) \( l a \) \( i^{t}i e d \) \( k o t \) (SK)  
\( 3S M \) \( H e p \) \( 3S M \) \( P S T \) \( b u y \) \( k o t \)  
‘Hep bought a book’

b. \( u \) \( h e p \) \( l a \) \( i^{t}i e d \) \( k o t \) \( u \) (MV)  
\( 3S M \) \( H e p \) \( 3S M \) \( P S T \) \( b u y \) \( k o t \)  
‘Hep bought a book’

c. \( u \) \( h e p \) \( d a \) \( k t i \) \( k o t \) \( u \) (TV)  
\( 3S M \) \( H e p \) \( 3S M \) \( P S T \) \( b u y \) \( k o t \)  
‘Hep bought a book’

4.1.3 Future tense

Following Nagaraja (1985), in standard Khasi the future marker is \( i n \) and it has two forms (i) -\( n \) after a vowel, which appears to be contracted from

---

1Map from Reddy et al. (2007)
in and it is attached with the personal pronoun to indicate future tense as seen in (3a-b); and (ii) in, after a consonant as shown in sentence (4a). The remote future sa in standard Khasi can occur along with the future marker -n which generally means to indicate work in the near future but without any specific or definite time as shown in (3c). The future marker of Trangblang variety is daw and there is no remote future marker in this variety as seen in sentence (3d-e). Mawlong variety (MV) does not show any definite overt future tense marker (3f). The remote future sa (in correspondence with the standard Khasi remote future sa in (3c)) is used to refer to the future as seen in (3g).

(3) a. ka iba ka-n leyt minto (SK)  
3SF iba 3SF-FUT go school  
‘Iba will go now.’

b. u ban u-n leyt yew (SK)  
3SM Ban 3SM-FUT go market  
‘Ban will go to the market.’

c. u ban u-n sa  
3SM Ban 3SM-FUT REM.FUT leyt yew (SK)  
go market  
‘Ban will go to the market.’

d. ko iba daw le ko hynle (TV)  
3SF iba FUT go 3SF now  
‘Iba will go now.’

e. u ban daw le jai u (TV)  
3SM Ban FUT go market 3SM  
‘Ban will go to the market.’

f. ka iba da ka minto (MV)  
3SF iba go 3SF now  
‘Iba will go now.’

g. u ban sa? da yu (MV)  
3SM Ban REM.FUT go market 3SM  
‘Ban will go to the market’

The equivalent of the future tense marker in as seen in standard Khasi (4a) is absent in Mawlong variety (4b), whereas Trangblang variety uses ju (4c).

(4) a. in ya leh kumno? (SK)  
FUT ACC do what  
‘What will we do?’

b. ya leh kumno? (MV)  
ACC do what  
‘What will we do?’

c. ki niah ju? leh? (TV)  
NEU what FUT do  
‘What will we do?’

4.2 The morphology of aspects in standard Khasi and other varieties

In Standard Khasi, as well as in both Mawlong and Trangblang varieties, aspect is divided into perfective and imperfective as discussed below.

4.2.1 Perfective aspect

We understand that the action of the verb is complete as seen in the following sentences below where the marker of a completed action in standard Khasi and Mawlong variety is the item la? which precedes the main verb as seen in sentences (5-6) below, whereas, da in (7) is the perfective aspect marker in Trangblang variety.

Perfetive aspect in the past tense

(5) a. u ban u la? dep bam ja (SK)  
3SM Ban 3SM-PRF finish eat rice  
‘Ban had already eaten rice.’

b. ka iba ka la? dep wan na  
3SF iba 3SF-PRF finish come ABL  
sngon (SK)  
village  
‘Iba had come from the village.’

(6) a. u ban la? dep sa jia u (MV)  
3SM Ban PRF finish eat rice 3SM  
‘Ban had already eaten.’

b. ka iba la? wian ka nah  
3SF iba PRF come 3SF ABL  
sngon (MV)  
village  
‘Iba had come from the village.’

(7) a. u ban da dep ba ji u (TV)  
3SM Ban PRF finish eat rice 3SM  
‘Ban had already eaten rice.’

b. ko iba da dep wan
3SF Iba PRF finish come village
shnong ko (TV)
3SF
‘Iba had come from the village.’

Perfective aspect in the present tense

(8) a. u ban u la? sho? jiŋ-uncture (SK)
3SM Ban 3SM PRF fall NOMZ-love
‘Ban has fallen in love.’
b. ka iba ka lah bam (SK)
3SF Iba 3SF PRF eat
‘Iba has eaten.’

(9) a. u ban la? shu? joŋ-ierd
3SM Ban PRF fall NOMZ-love
u(MV)
3SM
‘Ban has fallen in love.’
b. ka iba lah bam ka (MV)
3SF Iba PRF eat 3SF
‘Iba has eaten’

(10) a. u ban da sho? maya u (TV)
3SM Ban PRF fall love 3SM
‘Ban has fallen in love.’
b. ko iba da bam ko (TV)
3SF Iba PRF eat 3SF
‘Iba has eaten.’

4.2.2 Imperfective aspect

(i) Durative

It talks about the span of the event and the utterance of the sentence. The durative marker *day* in SK and TV in (11a) and (11b), and *dɔŋ* in MV in (11c) follows the main verb, though a distinction can be made from the standard Khasi with the varieties where the agreement marker *u* occur postverbally in Mawlong and Trangblang varieties but preverbally in SK as seen in the following sentences below.

(11) a. u daŋ paŋ (SK)
3SM IMPRF sick
‘He’s still sick.’
b. dɔŋ knied u (MV)

(ii) Progressive

It points to the progression of work. The Progressive *naj* in SK and MV in (12a) and (12b) and *da* in TV in (12c) occurs before the verb as seen in the following sentences below. We can also observe for the word *ahead*, MV uses ‘*hetkhmat*, whereas, TV uses ‘*somat*’ which is different when compared to the SK which uses ‘*shakhmat*’.

(12) a. ki naŋ iaid shakhmat (SK)
3PL IMPRF walk ahead
‘They are walking ahead.’
b. ki naŋ iaid ki hetkhmat (MV)
3PL IMPRF walk 3PL ahead
‘They are walking ahead.’
c. da kap ki somat (TV)
IMPRF walk 3PL ahead
‘They are walking ahead.’

(iii) Habitual

It points to the habituality, to the events that are true in the past and time of the utterance. Following sentences below illustrate the habitual markers which are seen to occur preverbally in standard Khasi (SK) as well as MV and TV.

*Ju* and *faj* in standard Khasi (SK)

(13) a. u ban u-m ju bam soh
3SM Ban 3SM-NEG IMPRF eat fruit
‘Ban never eats fruits.’
b. ka lin ka fajt bam ja
3SF Lin 3SF IMPRF eat rice
shì’baje
l’clock
‘Lin usually eats food at 1o’clock.’

*ju*? and *fì* in Mawlong variety (MV)

(14) a. u ban m-u
3SM Ban NEG-3SM
(iv) Iterative marker

This point to the events happening many times as shown in the following sentences below. Comparing the iterative markers, it is observed that MV in (16b) uses the same form yai as SK in (16a), whereas, this marker is absent in TV. The only difference is in the placement of the agreement marker u, in SK u is placed before the iterative marker in (16a), whereas in MV, u is placed at the final position as seen in sentence (16b) below. This marker is absent in Trangblang variety but instead manla i por ‘every time’ is used.

(16) a. u ban u yai leh 3SM Ban 3SM IMPRF do
   kam sniew (SK)
   work bad
   ‘Ban continues to do bad work.’

b. u ban yai leh kam 3SM Ban 1MPRF do work
   sniew u (MV)
   bad 3SM
   ‘Ban continues to do bad work.’

c. u ban manla i por leh 3SM Ban every time do
   kam kom u (TV)
   work bad 3SM
   ‘Ban continues to do do bad work.’

The Iterative marker can also occur along with the future tense in SK and remote future in MV as shown in sentences (17a) and (17b) below, whereas, in TV for the same sentence only the future marker daw is used.

(17) a. ka iba ka-n yai 3SF Iba 3SF-FUT
   rwai beit (SK)
   IMPRF sing EMPHASIS
   ‘Iba will continue to sing (regardless of anything).’

b. ka iba sa? 3SF Iba REM.FUT
   yai rwia beit (MV)
   IMPRF sing EMPHASIS
   ‘Iba will continue to sing (regardless of anything)’

c. ka iba daw rway 3SF Iba FUT sing
   bit ka (TV)
   EMPHASIS 3SF
   ‘Iba will continue to sing (regardless of anything)’

5. Conclusion

This study basically shows that the morphemes used to indicate tense and aspect in Mawlong Variety (MV) and Trangblang Variety (TV) differ from those of standard Khasi (SK). This study has been able to highlight the morphology of tense, where the present tense is unmarked (ο) in both MV and TV similar to SK, the past tense is marked by la in the SK and MV but by da in TV. Future tense in SK is marked by -n/in and also has a remote future tense marker sa. MV does not exhibit any overt future tense marker but the remote future tense marker sa? is used among the native speakers when talking about or referring to the future. On the other hand, TV has daw and ju as future tense markers as shown in the following table 1 below.
Two aspectual categories are examined in this paper: perfective and imperfective aspect.

The perfective aspect is marked by la? in SK and MV, whereas da in TV.

The imperfective aspect markers only differ phonologically from that of standard Khasi, the durative is marked by day in SK and TV and daŋ in MV, the progressive is marked by naŋ in SK and MV, whereas in TV the progressive is marked by daŋ. Habitual aspect is marked by two markers in both SK and MV viz. ju and faɪt in SK and juʔ and fiʃ, whereas TV only has one habitual marker beʔ. Iterative aspect is marked by yai in both SK and MV and is absent in TV. The summary of aspect markers is shown in table 2.

Table 2: A Comparative list of aspect markers in SK, MV and TV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>SK</th>
<th>MV</th>
<th>TV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perfective</td>
<td>laʔ</td>
<td>laʔ</td>
<td>da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperfective</td>
<td>naŋ</td>
<td>naŋ</td>
<td>da</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durative</td>
<td>daŋ</td>
<td>daŋ</td>
<td>daŋ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>ju and faɪt</td>
<td>juʔ and fiʃ</td>
<td>beʔ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iterativity</td>
<td>yai</td>
<td>yai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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