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Abstract

In this paper, we establish a common fixed point theorem for three pairs of self mappings in semi-metric space using compatible mappings of type (R) which improves and extends similar known results in the literature.
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Introduction


Let \( X \) be a non-empty set and \( d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty) \). Then, \( (X, d) \) is said to be a semi-metric space (symmetric space) if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

W1: \( d(x, y) = 0 \) if and only if \( x = y \), and

W2: \( d(x, y) = d(y, x) \) for any \( x, y \in X \).

The difference of a semi-metric space and a metric space comes from the triangle inequality.

Definition 1.1 [1] Let \( A \) and \( B \) be two self-mappings of a semi-metric space \( (X, d) \). Then, \( A \) and \( B \) are said to be compatible if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} d(AX_n, BAX_n) = 0 \), whenever \( \{x_n\} \) is a sequence in \( X \) such that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Ax_n, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Bx_n, t) = 0, \quad \text{for some} \quad t \in X. \]

Definition 1.5 [10] Let \( A \) and \( B \) be two self-mappings of a semi-metric space \( (X, d) \). For each \( x \in X \) is said to be a commuting point of \( A \) and \( B \) if \( ABx = BAx \).

Definition 1.6 [9] Let \( A \) and \( B \) be two self-mappings of a semi-metric space \( (X, d) \). Then \( A \) and \( B \) are said to be compatible mapping of type (P) if for all \( x \in X \)

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Ax_n, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Bx_n, t) = 0, \quad \text{for some} \quad t \in X. \]

Definition 1.7 [9] Let \( A \) and \( B \) be two self-mappings of a semi-metric space \( (X, d) \). Then \( A \) and \( B \) are said to be compatible mapping of type (R) if for all

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Ax_n, t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Bx_n, t) = 0, \quad \text{for some} \quad t \in X. \]
Proposition 1.1 [10] Let $A$ and $B$ be two self-mappings of a semi-metric space $(X,d)$. If a pair $\{A, B\}$ is compatible of type (R) on $X$, for some $z \in X$, then we have

i) $\lim_{n \to \infty} AAx_n = Bz$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} ABx_n = Bz$ if $B$ is continuous,

ii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} BBx_n = Az$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} BAx_n = Az$ if $A$ is continuous and

iii) $ABz = BAz$ and $Bz = Az$ if $A$ and $B$ are continuous at $z$.

In order to establish our result, we consider a function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying

$(\phi 1) 0 < \phi(t) < t$, for $t > 0$ and $(\phi 2)$ for each $t > 0$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0.$

Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X,d)$ be a semi-metric space. Let $A, B, T, S, P$ and $Q$ be continuous self-mappings of $X$ such that

(i) $\{AB, P\}$ and $\{TS, Q\}$ are compatible mappings of type (R) and

(ii) $d(ABx, TSy) \leq \phi(\max \{d(Px, Qy)\}_{/2} [d(ABx, Px) + d(TSy, Qy)]$,

then $AB, TS, P$ and $Q$ have a unique common fixed point. Furthermore, if the pairs $(A, B)$ and $(T, S)$ are commuting pair of mappings then $A, B, T, S, P$ and $Q$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Suppose $\{TS, Q\}$ is compatible mappings of type (R). $\lim_{n \to \infty} TSQx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} QAQx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} TSQx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} QTSx_n$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is sequence in $X$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} TSx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Qx_n = \nu$, for some $\nu \in X$. This implies that

$TSv = Qv = z$ (say). Since compatible mappings of type (R) implies commutativity at coincidence point.

So from proposition 1.1, we have $Qv = QTSv$. This implies $TSTsv = TSQv = QTSv = QQv$. So that for a given $\nu$, we have $TSz = Qz$ whenever $TSv = Qv = z$.

Similarly, if $\{AB, P\}$ is compatible mapping of type (R), using proposition 1.1, we have $ABu = Pu = w$ (say), since compatible mappings of type (R) implies commutativity at coincidence point.

So, we have $ABPu = PABu$. This implies $ABABu = ABPu = PABu = PPu$. So that for a given $u$, we have $ABw = Pw$ whenever $ABu = Pu = w$.

We claim that $ABABu = TSz$. If not, then putting $x = ABu$ and $y = z$ in condition (ii), we get

$d(ABABu, TSz) \leq \phi(\max \{d(PABu, Qz)\}_{/2} [d(ABABu, PABu) + d(TSz, Qz)]$,

$= \phi(\max \{d(ABABu, TSz)\}_{/2} [d(ABABu, ABPu) + d(Qz, Qz)]$,

$= \phi(\max \{d(ABABu, TSz)\}_{/2} [d(ABABu, TSz) + d(TSz, ABABu)]$,

$= \phi(\max \{d(ABABu, TSz)\}_{/2} [d(ABABu, TSz) + d(TS, ABABu)]$,

$= \phi(\max \{d(ABABu, TSz)\}_{/2} [d(ABABu, TSz) + d(TS, ABABu)]$,

$< d(ABABu, TSz)$.

This is a contradiction. So, we get $ABABu = TSz$. Therefore, we have
\[ ABw = Pw = TSz = Qz. \]

We claim that \( ABu = TSz \). If not, then putting \( x = u \) and \( y = z \) in condition (ii), we get
\[
d(ABu, TSz) \leq \Phi(\max \{d(Pu, Qz), \frac{1}{2} [d(ABu, Pu) + d(TSz, Qz)]\),
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} [d(ABu, Qz) + d(TSz, Pu)]\}
\]
\[
= \Phi(\max \{d(ABu, Qz), \frac{1}{2} [d(Pu, Pu) + d(Qz, Qz)]\),
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} [d(ABu, TSz) + d(TSz, ABu)]\}
\]
\[
= \Phi(\max \{d(ABu, Qz), 0, d(ABu, TSz)\})
\]
\[
= \Phi(d(ABu, TSz))
\]
\[
< d(ABu, TSz).
\]

This is a contradiction. Therefore, we get \( ABu = TSz \). Hence, we have
\[ ABu = TSz = Qz = Pu = ABw = ABPz = PABu = ABABu. \] It follows that \( ABu \) is a common fixed point of \( AB \) and \( P \).

We claim that \( TSz = z \). If not, putting \( x = u \) and \( y = v \) in condition (ii), we get
\[
d(TSz, z) = d(ABu, T Sv)
\]
\[
\leq \Phi(\max \{d(Pu, Qv), \frac{1}{2} [d(ABu, Pu) + d(TSv, Qv)]\),
\]
\[
\frac{1}{2} [d(ABu, Qv) + d(TSv, Pu)]\}
\]
\[
= \Phi(\max \{d(ABu, Qv), \frac{1}{2} [d(Pu, Pu) + d(Qv, Qv)], \frac{1}{2} [d(ABu, TSv) +
\]
\]
\[
d(TSv, ABu)\})\}
\]
\[
= \Phi(\max \{d(ABu, z), 0, d(ABu, z)\})
\]
\[
= \Phi(d(ABu, z))
\]
\[
< d(TSz, z).
\]

This is a contradiction. Therefore, we get \( TSz = z \). Thus, we have
\[ z = ABu = TSz = Qz = Pu. \] It follows that \( z \) is a common fixed point of \( TS \) and \( Q \). Since \( z = ABu, z \) is common fixed point of \( AB, TS, P \) and \( Q \).

For uniqueness, let \( z_0 \) be another common fixed point of \( AB, TS, P \) and \( Q \). Then by putting \( x = z \) and \( y = z_0 \) in condition (ii), we get
\[
d(z, z_0) = d(ABz, TSz_0)
\]
\[
\leq \Phi(\max \{d(Pz, Qz_0), \frac{1}{2} [d(ABz, Pz) + d(TSz_0, Qz_0)], \frac{1}{2} [d(ABz, Qz_0) + d(TSz_0, Pz)]\})
\]
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\[ = \phi(\max \{d(z, z_0), \frac{1}{2} [d(z, z) + d(z_0, z_0)], \frac{1}{2} [d(z, z_0) + d(z_0, z_0)]\}) \]

\[ = \phi(\max \{d(z, z_0), 0, d(z, z_0)\}) \]

\[ = \phi(d(z, z_0)) \]

\[ < d(z, z_0). \]

This is a contradiction. Therefore, we get \( z = z_0 \). Thus \( AB, TS, P \) and \( Q \) have unique common fixed point.

Finally, we need to show that \( z \) is only the common fixed point of mappings \( A, B, T, S, P \) and \( Q \). Suppose the pairs \( (A, B) \) and \( (T, S) \) are commuting pair.

For this, we can write,

\[ Az = A(ABz) = A(BAz) = AB(Az). \]

This implies that \( Az = z \). Also,

\[ Bz = B(ABz) = BA(Bz) = AB(Bz). \]

This implies that \( Bz = z \).

Similarly, we get \( Tz = z \) and \( Sz = z \).

Hence \( A, B, T, S, P \) and \( Q \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Example 2.1.** Consider \( X = [0, 2] \) with the semi-metric space \((X, d)\) defined by

\[ d(x, y) = (x - y)^2. \]

Define continuous self mappings \( A, B, T, S, P \) and \( Q \) as \( Ax = \frac{x+1}{2}, Bx = \frac{2+3x}{5}, \)

\[ Tx = \frac{2x+1}{3}, S(x) = \frac{x^2+3}{4}, P(x) = \frac{3x+1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(x) = \frac{2x+3}{5}. \]

Also define the sequence \( x_n = \frac{1}{n} + 1 \). Then, the mappings satisfy all the conditions of the above

**Theorem 2.1** and hence we have a unique common fixed point at \( x = 1 \).

Now we have the following corollaries.

In the above Theorem 2.1, if we take \( A = B \) and \( T = S \), then we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1** Let \((X, d)\) be a semi-metric. Let \( A, T, P \) and \( Q \) be self-mappings of \( X \) such that

(i) \{\( A, P \)\} and \{\( T, Q \)\} are compatible mapping of type (R), and

(ii) \( d(Ax, Ty) \leq \phi(\max \{d(Px, Qy), \frac{1}{2} [d(Ax, Px) + d(Ty, Qy)], \frac{1}{2} [d(Ax, Ty) + d(Ty, Tx)]\}) \) for all \( (x, y) \in X \times X \), then \( A, T, P \) and \( Q \) have a unique common fixed point.

In Theorem 2.1, if we take \( A = B = Q \) and \( T = S = P \), then we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2** Let \((X, d)\) be a semi-metric. Let \( A \) and \( T \) be self-mappings of \( X \) such that

(i) \( A \) and \( T \) are compatible mapping of type (R), and

(ii) \( d(Ax, Ty) \leq \phi(\max \{d(Tx, Ay), \frac{1}{2} [d(Ax, Tx) + d(Ty, Ay)], \frac{1}{2} [d(Ax, Ty) + d(Ty, Tx)]\}) \) for all \( (x, y) \in X \times X \),

then \( A \) and \( T \) have a unique common fixed point.

**Conclusion**

Our result generalizes the result of U. Rajopadhyaya, K. Jha and Y. J. Cho [11], D. Sinha [10] and improves other similar results in the semi-metric space.

In 2014, U. Rajopadhyaya, K. Jha and Y. J. Cho have proved the fixed point theorem in semi-metric space using occasionally converse commuting however we have used the compatible mapping of type (R) to
prove the same theorem. The theorem is the generalization of the result of U. Rajopadhyaya, K. Jha and Y. J. Cho as we have used the different tools to prove the same theorem.

In 2014, D. Sinha proved the fixed point theorem in metric space using compatible mapping of type (R) for four mappings, however we have proved the same theorem in semi-metric space using compatible mapping of type (R) for six mappings. The theorem is the generalization of the result of D. Sinha as we have used the different tools to prove the same theorem.
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