ACR with semitendinosus autograft for type III to V ACJ dislocation. MATERIALS AND METHODS: It was a single centered, cross sectional, observational study conducted at Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Dhulikhel Hospital. Twenty-three consecutive patients who underwent ACCR with semitendinosus autograft from Jan 2017 to Dec 2019 were included in the study. Patients below 18 years of age and patients with previous ipsilateral shoulder injury were excluded. The radiological outcome was assessed using coracoclavicular (CC) distance and functional outcome using DASH score and Constant score. Paired t-test and Pearson correlation were used for inferential analysis. RESULTS: Mean age of the patient was 33.83 ± 7.08 years. Mean duration of follow up was 28.17 ± 6.19 months. Mean CC distance at final follow up was 9.93 ± 1.12 mm. Mean DASH score was 5.60 ± 5.35 and mean Constant score was 88.04 ± 12.13. There were 12 (52.17%) excellent outcomes, 6 (26.08%) good outcomes, 2 (8.69%) fair outcomes and 3 (13.04%) poor outcomes based on Constant scores. CONCLUSIONS: ACCR with suture augmentation is an effective method for management of type III to V acromioclavicular joint dislocation.
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INTRODUCTION

Acromioclavicular joint (ACJ) dislocations are commonly encountered shoulder injuries. More than 150 techniques are described in the literature for surgical management of AC joint dislocation [1]. Mazzocca et al. described anatomic coracoclavicular ligament reconstruction (ACCR) using semitendinosus allograft which was found to be biomechanically more superior than other techniques [2-5]. This technique involves graft fixation in the bone tunnel using an interference screw [2]. We have been using an alternative method of fixation of the graft by tying the free ends of the graft together in a knot after the passage of the graft through the clavicle tunnel, and the graft is passed beneath the coracoid. Advantages of this technique include lower cost, less graft injury from the screws and decreased rate of clavicle and coracoid fracture. Very limited study of ACCR without interference screw is found in the literature [6]. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the radiological and functional outcome of ACCR using semitendinosus autograft for ACJ dislocation.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and setting
This is a single centered, cross sectional observational study conducted at Dhalikhel Hospital in the Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology from February 2021 to July 2021. Data from the hospital’s electronic medical records and physical records were collected for all patients who underwent ACCR for acromioclavicular joint dislocation from January 2017 to December 2019.

Participants and procedure
Patients with acromioclavicular joint dislocation (type III- type V) for < 4 weeks who underwent ACCR with semitendinosus autograft were included while patients <18 years and ipsilateral shoulder injury were excluded from the study. Twenty-six consecutive patients with acromioclavicular joint dislocation who underwent ACCR during this 3 years period were reviewed. All patients were contacted by phone and informed about the study and were invited to participate. Three cases could not be contacted. Thus a cohort of 23 patients who fulfilled the criteria was included in the study. All the patients were operated on by the same surgical technique as described below.

Surgical technique:
All patients were operated under general anaesthesia. A rolled sheet was placed beneath the scapula on the involved side and the head end of the operating table was raised 30 degrees to improve access to the clavicle. An incision was made 3 cm medial to the AC joint beginning at the posterior edge of the clavicle and extending toward the coracoid process. Deltotrapezial fascia was dissected using electrocautery and elevated off the clavicle as a full-thickness flap. Distal 5 mm of clavicle was excised using a saw. Reduction was performed by pushing the elbow upward and clavicle downward. Reduction was maintained by provisional fixation with k-wire. Two bone tunnels were drilled into the clavicle. A 4.7 mm posteromedial tunnel was made 4.5 cm medial to the AC joint along with the insertion of the conoid ligament. Another 4.7mm tunnel was made 2.5 cm medial to the AC joint along with the insertion of the trapezoid ligament. Semitendinosus autograft was harvested by giving 2 cm oblique incision over the pes anserinus. Sartorial fascia was opened horizontally overlying the semitendinosus and gracilis. Semitendinosus was isolated and the tendon was detached from the bone. The tendon was harvested using a closed tendon stripper. Ends of the graft were secured with whipstitches by using a non-absorbable suture (NO 5 Ethibond). Graft was passed beneath the coracoid from medial to lateral direction using curved vascular clamp. Two ends of the graft were crossed before shuttling into the bone tunnel. A NO 5 Ethibond suture was passed with the graft to provide additional non-biological fixation. Cyclical load of graft was done to remove any slack. Graft was arranged such that shorter limb exited conoid tunnel and longer limb exited trapezoid tunnel. First, the suture was tied over the clavicle. Then the two limbs of the graft were tied on themselves and were sewn together with non-absorbable suture (NO 2 Ethibond). The AC joint capsule and ligaments were repaired with the figure of eight stitches using absorbable sutures. This repair was supplemented with the long limb of the graft exiting trapezoid tunnel thus recreating superior and posterior AC ligaments. Tight closure of deltotrapezial fascia was done by interrupted stitches.

Figure 1 | AC joint reconstruction using a hamstring tendon autograft looped under coracoid and brought up through 2 bone tunnels in the clavicle and tied in a knot over the clavicle augmented with NO 5 Ethibond. The larger limb of the graft exiting the trapezoid tunnel is brought up to the acromion to recreate superior and posterior AC ligament.
**Postoperative Rehabilitation:**
Shoulder was immobilized in a sling for 4 weeks allowing pendulum and elbow, wrist, and hand range of motion exercise. Then restricted ROM exercise was initiated up to 90 degrees for another 8 weeks. Full range of motion was given only after 3 months.

**Follow-up:**
Patients were evaluated using x-ray, both true AP view and axillary lateral view of shoulder. Coracoclavicular (CC) distance was calculated in AP view, as the perpendicular distance between the uppermost point of superior cortex of coracoid and undersurface of clavicle and CC difference was calculated by measuring CC distance of normal side. The finding of CC distance on the affected side greater than 25% as compared to the normal side was considered radiological failure [7]. Clinically, patients were evaluated using DASH score and Constant score at final follow up [8,9]. Functional outcome was graded according to Constant score. Constant score difference between the normal side and abnormal side, if less than 11, it was graded as excellent, if 11-20, it was graded as good, if 21-30, it was graded as fair and if more than 30, it was graded as poor.

**Statistical analysis and data management**
All data were recorded in a Microsoft excel chart. Data analysis was done using the SPSS version 23. Descriptive statistics in the form of mean and standard deviation were used for continuous variables and proportion for categorical variables to characterize the study sample. Paired t-test, Pearson correlation, and Spearman correlation were used for inferential analysis. Statistical significance was set at p <0.05.

**Ethical considerations**
Ethical clearance was taken from IRC, Dhulikhel Hospital (Reference No: IRC-KUSMS 13/21). Informed consent was taken from all the patients.

**RESULTS**
Out of total 23 patients, there were 14 males (60.86%) and 9 females (39.13%). The mean age of the patient in this study was 33.83 ± 7.08 years. Mean duration of follow up was 28.17 ± 6.19 months (Range 19 - 42) (Table 1).

The mean pre-operative CC distance was 17.88 ± 3.86 mm. The mean post-operative CC distance decreased to 9.31± 0.95 mm which was statistically significant. The mean CC distance at final follow up was 9.93 ± 1.12 mm which was slightly more as compared to immediate post-operative CC distance and was statistically significant. The mean CC difference at final follow-up which is the difference between injured and non-injured side was 1.13 ± 0.82 mm (Table 2).

At the final follow up, mean DASH score was 5.60 ± 5.35 and the constant score was 88.04 ± 12.13. There were 12 (52.17%) excellent outcomes, 6(26.08%) good outcomes, 2(8.69%) fair outcomes, and 3(13.04%) poor outcomes based on constant scores. There was no statistically significant correlation between final CC distance and clinical scores; DASH score (p = 0.652), Constant score (p = 0.897). Similarly, there was no significant correlation between CC difference and clinical scores; DASH score (p = 0.440). Also, there was no correlation between the type of dislocation and clinical scores; DASH score (p = 0.707), Constant score (p = 0.665) (Table 3).

There was more than 25% loss of reduction as compared to normal shoulder in 3 (13.04%) cases suggesting radiological failure. Post-operative wound

### Table 1 | Demographic characteristics of patients (n=23)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>33.83 ± 7.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14 (60.86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 (39.13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>15 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>8 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of injury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>13 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>10 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of dislocation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>10 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type V</td>
<td>12 (53%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 | Comparison of CC distance between pre-operative vs post-operative and post-operative vs final follow up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>CC distance (mm)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative</td>
<td>17.88 ± 3.86</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative</td>
<td>9.31 ± 0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final follow up</td>
<td>9.93 ± 1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
complication occurred in 2 cases in the form of superficial infection which eventually healed with regular dressing. Two patients developed adhesive capsulitis accounting for an overall complication rate of 30.43%. There was no case of clavicular fracture or coracoid fracture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>DASH score</th>
<th>Constant score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC distance Pearson correlation</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2 tailed)</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC difference Pearson correlation</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>-0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2 tailed)</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of dislocation rho coefficient</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2 tailed)</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

Many techniques for surgical treatment of AC joint dislocation have been evolved. There have been more than 150 different techniques described in the literature which have evolved. This suggests that there is no consensus regarding optimal management. Various methods of fixation such as trans-articular Kirschner wire, TBW, Bosworth screw, Hook plate were used historically, however, due to complications like hardware migration, loss of reduction, hardware failure and low functional outcomes, these techniques are rarely used these days. Subsequently, various soft tissue procedures replicating the function of CC and/or AC ligaments were described. The first reported ligament reconstruction procedure was by Weaver and Dunn in 1972 [10]. The non-anatomic reconstruction, Weaver and Dunn, was the most frequently used procedure to treat AC joint dislocation. However, due
to the high rate of re-dislocation and inferior results these procedures have been abandoned nowadays. Mazzocca et al. described anatomic coracoclavicular ligament reconstruction (ACCR) using semitendinosus allograft replicating coracoclavicular ligament in its anatomic location [2]. This technique along with other anatomic reconstruction techniques is biomechanically more superior and has more favourable clinical and radiological outcomes than other non-anatomic techniques like Weaver-Dunn procedure [3-5].

In our study, we used an alternative technique of ACCR using semitendinosus autograft without interference screw and passing the graft beneath the coracoid without drilling hole in the coracoid with additional high strength suture augmentation. Majority of the patient in our study demonstrated good to excellent functional outcomes with few complications as reported in other series using interference screws or without interference screws as reported by Nicholas et al. [11], Tauber et al. [12], Mazzocca et al. [3], and Baran et al. [6]. Hence, there is a biomechanical rationale to support this technique. Tashjian et al. found superior ultimate strength with the square knot technique as compared to grafts fixed with interference screws [13].

In our study, there was a statistically significant increment of CC distance at final follow-up as compared to immediate post-operative CC distance. This may be due to graft stretch over a while. However, radiological failure occurred in only 3 cases (13.04%). This finding is similar to previous studies of ACCR [14]. We did not observe a significant correlation between maintenance of reduction and functional outcome inferred from the side to side difference of coracoclavicular distance at final follow up suggesting anatomic reduction is not required for the functional outcome as reported in other literatures. Bostrom Windhamare suggested that even elongated reconstructed ligament improves the stability of the clavicle sufficient to improve shoulder function [15]. Studies with large sample size and longer duration of follow-up are needed to determine to what extent loss of reduction may impair functional outcomes. Also, there was no significant correlation between the type of dislocation and functional outcome however, soft tissue disruption is more in type IV and type V injury as compared to type III injury. A similar finding was reported by Tauber et al. [12]. This may be due to the small sample size of our study.

We routinely performed distal clavicle excision (DCE) in all cases as it gives rise to a possible AC joint arthritis and possible source of pain generation. No case of AC joint arthritis was seen in our study which may be due to routine use of DCE. The literature is divided regarding DCE with some authors favouring DCE and others refuting it [16-18]. A recent biomechanical study showed that resection of distal clavicle lead to increased horizontal translation, therefore, only sparing resection of distal clavicle should be performed only if strictly indicated [19].

Our study has an overall complication rate of 30.43% with 3 cases of significant loss of reduction, 2 cases of superficial surgical site infection and 2 cases of adhesive capsulitis which is similar to other studies of ACCR [20]. There was no case of clavicle or coracoid fracture which has been reported with various ACCR techniques [21]. This may be due to looping the graft beneath the coracoid instead of drilling a hole in the coracoid. Also, fixing the graft in the clavicle bone tunnel using a knot without interference screw may have minimized the risk of clavicular fracture. Baran et al. also used a similar technique of fixation of the graft without interference screw did not report any case of clavicle and coracoid fracture [6]. Dumont et al. demonstrated no difference in clavicle load to failure for 5 mm tunnel with and without 5.5 mm PEEK interference screws in sawbones model [22]. Similarly, Mazzocca et al. did not report any clavicle fractures in their study with the use of interference screw fixation [3]. In our study we used 4.7 mm tunnels in the clavicle and this is at the lower end of the spectrum of tunnel size that has been associated with clavicle fractures according to multiple studies.

Recently there is an increasing trend of arthroscopic tight rope fixation for acute injuries. Biomechanically these techniques have shown to be equivalent to native ligaments. However, there is still concern of button failure as well as suture fatigue resulting in loss of reduction. The long-term result of these techniques in a large cohort is still not available [23]. This was a retrospective study with a small sample size. Three patients were lost to follow-up. All these factors may bias our findings. Various techniques and different outcome measures reported in the literature for AC joint injuries makes it difficult to compare with other studies. Also small sample size limits statistical comparison.

**CONCLUSIONS**

ACCR with hamstring tendon autograft with suture augmentation is an effective method for management of type III to type V AC joint dislocation with the
majority of patients reporting good to excellent clinical outcomes. Additionally, graft fixation without interference screw employed in this technique may offer a decrease in cost and produce a comparable clinical result with techniques employing interference screw. However, a larger, prospective, randomized comparative study with long term follow up is required to validate this statement.
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