

Research Articles

Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Ergonomics Principles among Undergraduates Studying Bachelor of Dental Surgery

Deepak Kumar Roy, ¹ Anuranjan Maharaj, ² Priyanka Poudel, ³ Deepti Shrestha, ¹ Smriti Kharel ¹, Roshan Kumar Roy ⁴

> ¹ Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Kathmandu Medical College Public Limited, Kathmandu, Nepal; ² Department of Paediatric Dentistry and Preventive Dentistry, Kathmandu Medical College Public Limited, Kathmandu, Nepal; ³ Dental Surgeon, Om Chabahil Dental Clinic, Kathmandu, Nepal; ⁴ Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, Madan Bhandari Academy of Health Sciences, Hetauda, Nepal.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Ergonomics is the scientific discipline concerned with the understanding of interactions among humans and other elements of a system, and the profession that applies theory, principles, data and methods to design in order to optimize human well-being and overall system performance. Successful application of ergonomics, assures high productivity, evasion of illnesses and injuries, leading to increased satisfaction among workers. On the other hand, unsuccessful application can lead to work-related musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) among dental professionals.

Objective: The objective of this study was to assess knowledge, attitude, and practice of ergonomics principles among undergraduates studying bachelor of dental surgery.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study design was carried out among 172 students studying bachelor of dental surgery at Kathmandu Medical College, Kathmandu Nepal. Census technique was used to collect the data. A structured questionnaire was used as a data collection tool.

Results: The study revealed that nearly (69) 40% of the students had a knowledge about the ergonomic principles. Whereas, (112) 65% students of all the years as a whole had a good attitude towards ergonomics. But only (34) 20% students as a whole were using the principles of ergonomics into practice.

Conclusions: Although, (69) 40% had knowledge about ergonomics in dentistry. Only (34) 20% of the students had a practice of ergonomics. Thus, improvement in the level of knowledge is required but more importantly the application of knowledge into practice is recommended for better dental practice.

Keywords: Awareness; ergonomics; musculoskeletal disease.

INTRODUCTION

Ergonomics is defined as "an applied science

Correspondence Dr. Deepak Kumar Roy Email: drdeepak48@gmail.com Citation



Roy DK, Maharaj A, Poudel P, Shrestha D, Kharel S, Roy RK. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Ergonomics Principles among Undergraduates Studying Bachelor of Dental Surgery. Nepal J Health Sci. 2023 Jul-Dec; 3(1): 86-95. concerned with designing and arranging things people use so that they can interact most efficiently and safely". Following the basic norms of ergonomics assures high productivity, avoidance of illnesses and injuries, and increased satisfaction among workers.^{1,2.} Most

of the time, the dentist works for a longer period of time without taking a rest in between the procedures. This may lead to multiple occupational musculoskeletal problems.³ The degree of musculoskeletal problems encountered by a dentist ranges from discomfort, pain, functional disability and diminished work performance. The symptoms are usually ignored until they worsen and becomes chronic.³ Following the basic principles of ergonomics can prevent workrelated musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs).⁴ This study was intended to assess knowledge, attitudes, and practice of ergonomics among dental undergraduate studying at Kathmandu Medical College, Kathmandu, Nepal.

METHODS

An observational, descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted after the approval of the study from Institutional Review Committee(IRC) of Kathmandu Medical College Public Limited, on February 10,2023(Ref:10022023/04). The data Collection time period was from March 2023 to April 2023. The College of Dental Surgery, Kathmandu Medical College Public Limited, was chosen as the study site. As all the students from first years to final year were the study unit so Census was used for collecting the data. Total of 172 students were involved in the study, out of which 110 were female and 62 were males (table 1 and 2). A written informed consent was taken

from each student before participating in this study. The valid and reliable set of questionnaire was used as a study tool in this study.⁵ The study respondents were asked to fill a pre-designed set of questionnaires. The valid and reliable semistructured questionnaires were taken from the study conducted by El-Sallamy RM et al⁵. The questionnaire included 9 questions related to knowledge, 5 questions related to attitude and 8 questions related to practice.⁵ Confidentiality was maintained at all the stage of data processing. All the data collected via questionnaire were entered in a MS-Excel sheets and master table were prepared. Later the data was imported in SPSS - IBM version 20 for the further analysis. For descriptive statistical calculations, mean, was calculated. Student t- test was used for checking the variation for age of the students of different academic years. For testing association between different variables, chisquare test was used. The Significance level was kept 5% (p < 0.05).

RESULTS

A total of 172 students were included in the study. As a Student t-test was used to check the variation for different age groups among the students, no significant variation in age was found. Thus, the study was focused on the objectives i.e., the year wise classification of the student's knowledge, attitude and practice. Many tables were generated but only those directly assessing the objectives of this study are presented here. Table 3, illustrates the year wise classification of students and association between their educational year and levels of knowledge on the basis of the question asked. Only knowledge-based questions whose data were suitable for the tabulation for Chi- square test are reflected here. The last column shows the p-value for the significance, the level of significance was set at 95% CI, i.e., p- value, and less than 0.05 in the last column shows significant variation.

Likewise table number 4 & table number 5 illustrated on the practice of ergonomic principles by students and variation of knowledge of students on the basis of their genders respectively. Out of 8 questions related to the practice of ergonomic principles by the students, only one question fitted the chi - square test, thus it is represented in table 4. The variation was statistically insignificant (P > 0.05). Table 5, illustrates the association of knowledge of students on the basis of their gender. The level of significance was set at 5% i.e., those in the last column with the p- value less than 0.05 reflects the significant association of knowledge with respective genders, whereas others have the association but the association was statistically insignificant.

For assessing the attitude among the students, in a total 5 questions were asked. The responses were not suitable to draw an association with the academic year of students, as Chi- Square test could not give the level of association; also other statistical tests were not used to draw the association. Similarly, in regards to gender-based association in the case of questions related to attitude and practice; none of the response fitted the tabular analysis of chi- square test done, thus those table would not be helpful to give a clear inference and hence not represented here. Only the table those were suitable to apply Chi - Square test and only the variables where association could be reflected is presented in the result section here.

Table 1: Tota	l number of respondent according to
years of stud	y

Years of Study	Numbers	Percent (N=172)
First Year	41	23.8
Second Year	30	17.4
Third Year	26	15.1
Fourth Year	42	24.4
Final Year	30	17.4
Total	172	100.0

 Table 2: Sex Distribution of total Respondents.

Sex Distribution	Numbers	Percent (N=172)
Female		
Male	110	63.9
Total	62	36.1
	172	100.0

Table 3: Knowledge of students of different academic year and their knowledge.

Response	First Year (N=41)	Second Year (N=30)	Third Year (N=26)	Fourth Year (N= 42)	Final Year (N=30)	df	p- value
Yes	10	15	18	18	24	6	.039
	11.7%	17.6%	21.1%	21.1%	28.2%		
To Some Extent	15	9	6	16	9	6	.012
	27.2%	16.3%	10.9%	29%	16.3%		
No	12	7	3	6	4	1	.062
	37.5%	21.8%	9.3%	18.7%	12.5%		
Do you know the best	level of the dentist	shoulders and site	of elbow and up	per arms?			
Yes	20	15	13	21	22	6	.161
	21.9%	16.4%	14.2%	23%	24.1%		
To Some Extent	19	10	8	17	8	6	.06
	30.6%	16.12%	12.9%	27.4%	12.9%		
No	5	5	5	4	0	1	.02
	26.3	26.3%	26.3%	21%	0.0%		
Do you know the best s	site for forearms an	d operating fingers	of the dentist?				
Yes	14	5	9	11	16	6	.00
	25.4%	9%	16.3%	20%	29.0%		
To Some Extent	10	14	12	19	14	6	.00
	14.4	20%	17.3%	27.5%	20%		
No	20	11	5	12	0	1	.00
	41.6	22.9%	10.4%	25%	0.0%		
Do you know the degr	ee of the sight-line	and the light-line?					
Yes	5	5	5	11	11	6	.12
	13.5%	13.5%	13.5%	29.7%	29.7%		
To Some Extent	8	12	15	10	15	6	.11
	13.3%	20%	25%	16.6%	25%		
No	5	21	14	26	10	1	.01
	6.6%	28%	18.6%	34.6%	13.3%		
Do you know the point		ding fingertips and	l feet, that come	in contact with par	tients and objects	for stable contro	ol and
sightings of the operation	ng points?						
Yes	2	2	8	10	15	6	.00
	5.4%	5.4%	21.6%	27%	40.5%		
To Some Extent	6	8	5	20	30	6	.00
	8.6%	11.5%	7.2%	28.9%	43.4%		
No	5	8	17	28	8	1	.00
	7.5%	12.1%	25.7%	42.4%	12.1%		
Do you know, when de	esigning and equipp	oing the treatment i	room, what speci	fics should dentist	s be looking for?		
Yes	1	3	5	8	11	6	.00
100	3.7%	11.1%	18.5%	29.6%	40.7%	0	.00

T G F i i	2	(1.4	10	10		000
To Some Extent	2 3.4	6 10.3%	14 24.1%	18 31%	18 31%	6	.000
No	17	26	17	23	4	1	.000
INO	19.5%	29.8%	19.5%	26.4%	4.5%	1	.000
Do you know the orbit r			1710710	2000			
Yes	3	4	5	8	8	6	.525
105	10.7%	14.2%	17.8%	28.5%	28.5%	0	.525
To Some Extent	21	6	4	12	9	6	.511
To Some Extent	40.3%	11.5%	7.6%	23%	17.3%	0	.511
No	20	20	17	22	13	1	.059
110	21.7%	21.7%	18.4%	23.9%	14.3%	1	.057
Do you know the ergono							
Yes	2	4	7	19	15	6	.002
100	4.2%	8.5%	14.8%	40.4%	31.9%	0	.002
To Some Extent	5	14	15	14	18	6	.001
	7.5%	21.2%	22.7%	21.2%	27.2%	0	1001
No	10	22	6	19	2	1	.001
	16.9%	37.2%	10.1%	32.2%	3.3%		
Do you know the ideal of	distance from the floo	or to the position?					
Yes	3	2	7	13	21	6	.001
	6.5%	4.3%	15.2%	28.2%	45.6%		
To Some Extent	10	10	8	17	15	6	.001
	16.6%	16.6%	13.3%	28.3%	25%		
No	16	18	11	17	4	1	.000
	24.2%	27.2%	16.6%	25.7%	6%		
Do you know the moving	g. exercise, and strete	h exercise betwee	en patient's appoi	ntments?			
Yes	10	0	6	5	5	6	.000
	38.4%	0.0%	23%	19.2%	19.2%		
To Some Extent	13	4	7	12	18	6	.000
	24%	7.4%	12.9%	22.2%	33.3%		
No	3	26	13	35	15	1	.000
	3.2%	28.2%	14.1%	38%	16.3%		
Do you know how to ma	aintain a comfortable	environment, lig	ht, and temperatu	re in the treatment	room?		
Yes	6	7	7	8	9	6	.021
	16.2%	18.9%	18.9%	21.6%	24.3%		
To Some Extent	10	11	11	21	22	6	.007
	13.3%	14.6%	14.6%	28%	29.3%		
N.	16	15	11	16	2	1	.008
No	10	10		10			

Table 4: Practice of Ergonomic Principles by students as of years of education.

How frequent do	How frequent do you work with your legs separated and your feet flat on the floor?								
	First Year	Second Year	Third Year	Fourth Year	Final Year	df	p-value		
	(N=41)	(N=30)	(N=26)	(N=42)	(N=30)				

Roy et al. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Ergonomics Principles among Undergraduates Studying Bachelor of Dental Surgery

Definitely Yes	8	8	8	9	9	9	.407
	19%	19%	19%	21.4%	21.4%		
Yes	10	11	8	19	24	9	.316
	13.8%	15.2%	11.1%	26.3%	33.3%		
Neutral	4	10	12	14	5	1	.338
	8.8%	22.2%	26.6%	31.1%	11.1%		
No	3	2	2	4	2		
	23%	15.3%	15.3%	30.7%	15.3%		

Table: 5 Variation of Knowledge of students on the basis of their gender

Do you know the benefits of ergonomic	Sex		Association		
application?	F (N=110)	M (N=62)	Df	P-Value	
	74	24	2	.263	
Yes	75.5%	24.4%			
To Some Extent	33	21	2	.337	
	61.1%	38.8%			
No	3	17			
Do you know the popular operating posture that r	15% nay cause musculoskeleta	85% 1 disorders?			
	36	20	2	.158	
Yes	64.2%	35.7%			
To Some Extent	37	17	2	.12	
	68.5%	31.4%			
No	38	24			
	61.2%	38.7%			
Do you know the best posture of the dentist sittin	g?				
	60	25	2	.98	
Yes	70.5%	29.4%			
To Some Extent	37	26	2	.98	
	58.7%	41.2%			
No	13	11			
	54.1%	45.8%			
Do you know the best level of the dentist shoulde	rs and site of elbow and u				
	61	30	2	.77	
Yes	67%	32.9%			
To Some Extent	37	16	2	.74	
	69.8%	30.1%			
No	13	15			
	46.4%	53.5%			
Do you know the best site for forearms and opera		?			
	34	27	2	.33	
Yes	55.7%	44.2%			
To Some Extent	54	15	2	.32	
	78.2%	21.7%			

Roy et al. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Ergonomics Principles among Undergraduates Studying Bachelor of Dental Surgery

		10		
No	23 54.7%	19 45.2%		
Do you know the degree of the sight-line an		45.270		
Do you know the degree of the sight-line an				
	15	19	2	.367
Yes	44.1%	55.9%		
To Some Extent	37	8	2	.344
	82.3%	17.7%		
No	58	35		
	62.4%	37.6%		
Do you know the points on the body, including	ng fingertins and feet, that come i	n contact with patients an	d objects for stable co	ntrol and
sightings of the operating points?		n contact which partonic an		
	13	4	2	.381
Yes	38.2%	61.8%		
To Some Extent	47	7	2	.425
	62.6%	37.4%		
No	51	6		
	90.5%	9.5%		
Do you know, when designing and equipping	g the treatment room, what specif	ics should dentists be look	ing for?	
	15	7	2	.326
Yes	68.2%	31.8%		
To Some Extent	32	18	2	.345
	64%	36%		
No	63	37		
	63%	37%		
Do you know the ergonomic head rest and its	s benefits?			
	31	19	2	.895
Yes	62%	38%		
To Some Extent	46	23	2	.895
	66.6%	33.3%		
No	33	20		
	62.2%	37.7%		
Do you know the ideal distance from the flo	-		-	0.70
X/	28	25	2	.858
Yes	52.9%	47.1%	2	0.54
To Some Extent	40	15	2	.856
N	72.8%	27.2%		
No	42 65.7%	22 34.3%		
Do you know the moving, exercise, and stret				
bo you know the moving, exercise, and stret	14	22	2	(0)
Yes	14 38.9%	22 61.1%	2	.686
			2	(=(
To Some Extent	37	24	2	.678
	60.7%	39.3%		
No	59	16		
No Do you know how to maintain a comfortable	59 78.7%	21.3%		

Roy et al. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Ergonomics Principles among Undergraduates Studying Bachelor of Dental Surgery

Yes	16 47.1%	18 52.9%	2	.378
To Some Extent	59 73.8%	21 26.2%	2	.376
No	35 60.4%	23 39.6%		

DISCUSSION

The principles of ergonomics are found to be neglected from knowledge, attitude and practice point of view among dental students. It is a fact that musculoskeletal well-established problems are one of the major concerns among the practicing dentists globally. 6,7,8 Therefore ergonomics principles should be taught to a dental student in the early stage of their career to avoid work related musculoskeletal problems in future. ⁹⁻¹⁵This study revealed that about (69) 40% of the students had knowledge about ergonomics which had the findings similar to other studies. ^{16, 17} In the current study, 112 (65%) showed a response for positive attitudes towards ergonomics. The result is consistent with study done by Madaan V et al, where the level of attitude was 75%, which shows a good reflection of acceptability and willingness to adopt the ergonomic principles in routine dental practice by the study subjects.¹⁸ It was observed that students had sufficient knowledge, attitude regarding the importance of proper working position during providing postures, and treatment but did not result in the desired behavior. As only (34) 20% of the students gave the positive response for practice of ergonomics.

This indicates that knowledge may not motivate sufficiently to adapt ergonomic principles. These findings are closely related to other studies which showed a positive significant correlation of knowledge with attitude and negative significant correlation with practices.¹⁹ The current study did not explain the reasons behind the noncompliance of ergonomic principles among dental students in their daily practice. To recognize the gap between fair knowledge and positive attitude further studies are demanded.

In this study, there was no significant variation in genders of the students, their age, and their level of knowledge, attitude and practice. On the contrary, the study done by Kritika Vyas et al, showed males had more positive attitude and behavior than females towards ergonomics in routine dental practice.³ In the present study, there was a significant association between academic level of the students and their knowledge and attitudes towards ergonomics. This was in agreement with a study done by Kalghatgi et al, which reported a remarkable association with increase in academic level.1

The dentists who keep themselves involved in activities are less physical prone to musculoskeletal disorders. The lack of physical inactivity among dentists seems to put them at risk for the occurrence of work musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs).^{13.} Maintaining the posture and following the ergonomics principles is utmost prevent important to from musculoskeletal disorders.²⁰ In the current study, more than half of the student's i.e.,91 (53%) never work with the legs separated and the feet flat on the floor. Also, 77 (45%) reported that they worked in the upright position and the spine resting on the back of the stool. Only 26 (15%) of the students always orient the operating field to the elbow level of the working hand. Only 9 (5%) of the participants always made an effort to maintain neutral posture while working compared to 93 (54%) of them who never did that. Only 14 (8%) of the participants always orient the beam of light perpendicular to the observational direction compared to 17 (10%) of them who never did that. All students under this study never use loops for magnification during work. This was in agreement with another study done in King Abdul-Aziz University (KAU) during clinical procedures. Their results showed that 43% of the students placed their patients' chair within normal limits (almost supine), 50% of the students' backs were bent, 33% of students'

elbows were below the level of the quadrant treated, 50% of the students approached the maxillary arch directly, and only three male students used magnifiers.²¹ Drawing the conclusions from the present study and comparing it with similar studies showed that there is a lack of knowledge about ergonomics among dental students and even having knowledge about ergonomics the positive behaviour toward practicing dentistry following ergonomics principles is very less.

This study should be considered as a base line study, more studies should be done in future in larger sample size for better understanding of knowledge, attitude and practice on ergonomics.

CONCLUSIONS

The dental students should be very well oriented ergonomics principles to during their undergraduate studies so that work related musculoskeletal problems can be avoided. The knowledge of ergonomics will help them to practice dentistry in a proper way in future. As per the findings of the present study only 40% of the students had fair knowledge regarding ergonomics. and only 20% of students had good practice. But 65% of students had a positive towards ergonomics. Although attitude ergonomic working principles is taught to the dental students at their dental colleges and incorporated into their curriculum. It is very

important for the oral health professionals to emphasize on practicing ergonomics in their routine dental practice to avoid major ergonomics-related health problems.

Conflict of Interest: None

REFERENCES

- 1. Kalghatgi SR, Prasad KVV, Chhabra KG, Deolia S, Chhabra C. Insights into ergonomics among dental professionals of a dental institute and private practitioners in Hubli-Dharwar twin cities, India. Elsevier Science Safety and Health at Work. 2014;5(4):181–185. [bbk=hdk=D]
- Ergonomics for Dental Students [Internet]. American Dental Association. 2011 [cited 2103 Dec22].Availablefrom:http://www.richmondinstitute.com/wpcontent/uploads/2012/03/Ergonomics-for-Dental-Students-2011.pdf.

3. Kritika V, Laveena P, Kritika R, Jatin A, Aashish P, Jagjeet S. Knowledge, attitude and behavior towards Ergonomics among oral health professionals in jodhpur city, Rajasthan, India. IJ Pre Clin Dent Res. 2014; 1(3):5–9. [Full Text]

4. Dul J, Weerdmeester B. Practical ergonomics. 2nd. edition SaoPaulo: Edgar Blücher (in Portuguese) DUL, J., & WEERDMEESTER, B. 2004.

5. El-Sallamy RM, Atlam SA, Kabbash I, El-Fatah SA, El-Flaky A. Knowledge, attitude, and practice towards ergonomics among undergraduates of Faculty of Dentistry, Tanta University, Egypt. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2018 Nov;25(31):30793-30801. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

6. Bramson J, Smith S, Romagnoli G. Evaluating dental office ergonomic risk factors and hazards. J Am Dent Assoc. 1998; 129:174e83. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

7. CDP (Council on Dental Practice). An introduction to ergonomics. Risk factors, MSDs, approaches and interventions. 2004; A report of the Ergonomics and Disability Support Advisory. American Dental Association

8. Boyd M. Performance logic in clinical dentistry, Center for Human Performance in Dentistry. The application of performance logic indental education and practice the performance simulation laboratory. DMT he University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C. Canada

9. Diaz-Caballero A, Gómez P, Díaz-Cárdenas S. Ergonomic factors that cause the presence of pain muscle in students of dentistry. Med Oral Patol Oral Cirucal.20101;15:906e11. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]

- 10. Lydia G, Ivan I, Marin I, Kalina P. Ergonomization of the working environment and building up of healthy working posture of dental students. Journal of IMAB.2012;18:243–250. [Full Text | DOI]
- 11. Yousef M, Al-Zain A. Posture evaluation of dental students. JKAUMed Sci.2009;16(2):51–68. [Full Text | DOI]
- 12. Pargali N, Jowkar N. Prevalence of musculoskeletal pain amongdentists in Shiraz, Southern Iran. Int J Occup Environ Med. 2010;1:69e74. [PubMed]

 Sartprio F, Vercelli S, Ferriero G, Angelo F, Migliario M, Franchignoni M.Work-related musculoskeletal diseases in dental professionals. Prevalence and risk factors. Ital Med Lav Ergon.2005; 27(2): 165–169. [PubMed | Full Text]

- 14. Brown J, Burke F, Macdonald E, Gilmour H, Hill K, Morris A et al. Dental practioners and ill health retirement causes, outcomesand re-employement. Br Dent J.2010; 209:E7. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 15. Talha M. S, Aisha W, Owais H K, Mohsin Khan, Farjad Z. Assessment of knowledge, practice, and work environment related to ergonomics among dental students and dental practitioners. Int J Contemp Dent Med Rev. 2016. [Full Text | DOI]
- 16. Ayma EF. Factors affecting musculoskeletal disorders among finalyear dental students in Ismailia. Egypt J Community Med. 2011; 29:49-58. [Full Text]
- 17. Garbin AJ, Garbin CA, Diniz DG, Yarid SD. Dental students' knowledge of ergonomic postural requirements and their applicationduring clinical care. European J Dent Educ.2011;15(1):31–35. [PubMed | Full Text | DOI]
- 18. Madaan V, Chaudhari A. Prevalence and risk factor associated with musculoskeletal pain among students of MGM dental college: a cross-sectional survey. J Contemp Dent Pract.2012; 2(2):22–27. [Full Text | DOI]
- 19. Sumit K, Vinay K G, Gaurav M. Ergonomics in dentistry: really a practice or just a tactics. International Journal of Contemporary Medical Research.2019;6(7). [Full Text | DOI]
- 20. Karibasappa GN, Sujatha A, Rajeshwari K. Dentists' knowledge, attitude and behavior towards the dental ergonomics. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS).2014; 13(5):86–89. [Full Text]
- 21. Mohammed KY, Al-Zain A. Posture evaluation of dental students. JKAU: Med Sci.2009;16(2):51-68 (2009 A.D. / 1430 A.H.). [Full Text | DOI]