ANATOMICAL VARIATIONS OF THE PARANASAL SINUSES AND THE NASAL CAVITY
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ABSTRACT

Computed tomography (CT) of the para-nasal sinuses (PNS) has nowadays become the investigation of choice for the diagnosis of sinonasal diseases. Numerous sinonasal anatomic variants exist and are frequently seen on CT scans. A sound knowledge of these variations is important not only for diagnosis but also for planning surgery in order to avoid complications. The aim of this study is to investigate the frequency of these variations in patients with sinonasal symptoms and also to determine their relation to sinonasal disease if any. A total of 76 patients were included in the study from August 2017 to July 2018 of which 43 (56.6%) were males and while 33 (43.4%) were females with male to female ratio of 1.3:1. The ages of patients ranged from 14 to 72 years with a mean age of 33.2±14.2 years. Out of 76 patients, 68 (89.5%) had at least one type of anatomical variation while 8 (10.5%) had no variation. Only one variation was seen in 39 (51.3%) patients while 29 (38.2%) had two or more variations. The most common variant was deviated nasal septum (DNS), occurring in 49 (64.5%) patients followed by concha bullosa (CB) and agger nasi cell (AN) seen in 15 (19.7%) and 14 (18.4%) patients respectively. Genderwise, anatomical variations were seen more in males but the difference was not statistically significant. Some variations were seen more on the right side while others on the left. Some variations were present bilaterally. The difference was not statistically significant. The presence of DNS was statistically significant in the study population (p value 0.012 in nonparametric chi square test). The age group 14 to 30 years showed maximum variations though not significant statistically. Therefore, during management of patients with sinonasal symptoms, these variations need to be addressed, if required, surgically. Proper knowledge of both common and uncommon sinonasal variations in our community could help in better surgical planning and overall management of sinonasal disorders.
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INTRODUCTION

Computed tomography (CT) of the para-nasal sinuses (PNS) has nowadays become the investigation of choice for the diagnosis of nasal and sinus diseases. A detailed radiological description of the anatomy of nose and PNS is also required for meticulous assessment of patient before planning endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS).

Numerous sinonasal anatomic variants exist and are frequently seen on sinus CT scans. The most common ones are Agger nasi cells (AN), infraorbital ethmoidal Haller cells (HC), sphenethmoidal Onodi cells (OC), deviated nasal septum (DNS) and concha bullosa (CB).

A sound knowledge of these variations is important not only for diagnosis but also for planning surgery in order to avoid damage to surrounding vital structures like the orbit and the brain. The literature reveals limited data on anatomical variations of nose and PNS in our population. The aim of this study is to investigate the frequency of these variations in patients with sinonasal symptoms who undergo CT scan in our hospital and also to determine their relation to sinonasal disease if any and their clinical relevance in general.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at Nepal Medical College and Teaching Hospital (NMCTH), Attarkhel, Kathmandu, Nepal from August 2017 to July 2018. Ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Review Committee (IRC) of NMCTH. Prior informed written consent was taken from all the subjects participating in the study. All patients aged 14 years or above undergoing NCCT (Nose/PNS/Orbit) at NMCTH for various sinonasal problems and willing to give a consent were included in this study. Patients aged less than 14 years, those with history of previous sinonasal surgeries or nasal trauma and those with invasive/extensive sinonasal diseases or sinonasal malignancies were excluded.

CT scans were collected, studied and discussed among the researchers. A unanimous consensus was reached regarding the presence of common anatomical variants like AN, HC, OC, DNS, CB, paradoxically curved middle turbinate (PCMT), septal pneumatisation (SP) and uncinate process pneumatisation (UPP). The data obtained was entered in an excel sheet and analysed using SPSS 16 software.

RESULTS

A total of 76 patients were included in the study of which 43 (56.6%) were males and 33 (43.4%) were females with male to female ratio of 1.3:1. The age of patients ranged from 14 to 72 years with a mean age of 33.2±14.2 years.

Out of 76 patients, 68 (89.5%) had at least one type of anatomical variation while 8 (10.5%) had no variation. Only one variation was seen in 39 (51.3%) patients while 29 (38.2%) had two or more variations. The most common variant was DNS, occurring in 49 (64.5%) patients followed by CB and AN seen in 15 (19.7%) and 14 (18.4%) patients respectively. We found SP in 5 (6.5%) patients, OC in 3 (3.9%), HC and PCMT in 2 (2.6%) each and UPP in none of the patients.

Table-1: Genderwise distribution of anatomical variations (n=76)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of anatomical variation</th>
<th>Male n (%)</th>
<th>Female n (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>30 (39.5%)</td>
<td>19 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concha bullosa</td>
<td>10 (13.1%)</td>
<td>5 (6.6%)</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agger nasi</td>
<td>9 (11.8%)</td>
<td>5 (6.6%)</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal pneumatisation</td>
<td>3 (3.9%)</td>
<td>2 (2.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onodi cell</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haller cell</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradoxical MT</td>
<td>2 (2.6%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncinate process pneumatisation</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2: Laterality of sinonasal anatomical variations (n=76)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of anatomical variation</th>
<th>Right n (%)</th>
<th>Left n (%)</th>
<th>Both n (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>26 (34.2%)</td>
<td>20 (26.3%)</td>
<td>3 (3.9%)</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concha bullosa</td>
<td>3 (3.9%)</td>
<td>5 (6.5%)</td>
<td>7 (9.2%)</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agger nasi</td>
<td>6 (7.9%)</td>
<td>2 (2.6%)</td>
<td>6 (7.9%)</td>
<td>0.892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onodi cell</td>
<td>2 (2.6%)</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haller cell</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradoxical MT</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>1 (1.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncinate process pneumatisation</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal pneumatisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (6.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Genderwise, anatomical variations were seen more in males but the difference was not statistically significant (Table-1). Some variations were seen more on the right side while others on the left. Some variations were present bilaterally. The difference was not statistically significant (Table-2).

The presence of DNS was statistically significant in the study population (p value 0.012). For other variations which were less common, their absence in the study population was statistically significant with p values less than 0.001 in nonparametric chi square test (Table-3). The age group 14 to 30 showed maximum variations though not significant statistically (Table-4).

### Table-4: Sinonasal anatomical variations in different age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>Presence of at least one anatomical variation n (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 to 30</td>
<td>34 (44.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 to 45</td>
<td>24 (31.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 to 60</td>
<td>8 (10.5%)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>2 (2.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>68 (89.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

In our study, out of 76 patients, 68 (89.5%) had at least one type of anatomical variation while 8 (10.5%) had no variation. This was similar to studies by Kaygusuz et al and Sarika et al where they found anatomical variations in 89.4% and 81.1% respectively.13,14 Other studies, however, report lower incidences (70% by Kanagaraj et al, 56.7% by Swain et al and 51.9% by Adeel et al).15,16 This difference in prevalence of anatomical variations of nose and PNS could be due to the result of discrepancies in analyzing and studying methods, definitions, racial varieties and the accuracy of study.17,18

The most common anatomical variant in our study was DNS, occurring in 49 (64.5%) patients. This was comparable to the absolute frequencies of DNS in other studies done by Sharma et al (68%) and Yadav et al (68%) in Nepal but was quite high as compared to those done by Maru et al and Dua et al in India.20,21 However, in the study by Yadav et al, DNS was only the second most common variation after AN. Geographic variation might be the cause for high prevalence in our study. In yet another study from Nepal, Badhu et al have found DNS in 55% of patients with associated nasal diseases.22 This also hints that DNS might be an important factor in causing nasal diseases and symptoms.

The second most common variation in our study was CB, seen in 15 (19.7%) patients. Other studies from Nepal have reported the incidences as 27% and 35.9%.20,21 CB is referred to as pneumatization of the middle turbinate. The reported prevalence of CB in literature varies widely from 14-80%.23 Presence of CB is believed to block the ostiomeatal complex (OMC); thereby causing sinus disease. It also limits the exposure of surgical field during ESS. However, the relationship of concha bullosa to paranasal sinus disease continues to be debated.

The third most common variation in our study was AN, seen in 14 (18.4%) patients. This was in contrary to a Nepalese study done by Yadav et al where they found AN in 75.8% of their patients making it the most common sinonasal anatomic variation.21 The international literature has reported the prevalence of CB across a wide range; from 5 to 8% in a study by Kayalioğlu et al to as high as 98.5% in a study by Bolger.24,25 CB is referred to as pneumatisation of the middle turbinate. The reported prevalence varies widely from 14-80%.

In our study, SP was seen in 5 (6.5%) patients. The prevalence of septal pneumatization varied from 0% to 36.4% in studies done by Mohammad et al and Swain et al.16,17 Like DNS, prominent SP may block the ventilation and drainage of the middle meatus thereby causing sinus pathology. Therefore, it becomes important to identify and if necessary to be cleared through frontal sinus surgery.
occur if the cells become infected. Therefore, HC could be involved in pathology of maxillary sinuses and might need to be addressed during planned ESS.

In our study, we found PCMT in 2 (2.6%) patients. If the convexity of the middle concha is on the lateral side, it is referred as PCMT. The rates of PCMT are ranging from 15 to 26% in the literature.26 The major consequence of this anatomical variation is narrowing of the middle meatus which can lead to obstruction of infundibular drainage. The size and degree of convexity of the middle concha might be an important factor to cause the obstruction which will lead to sinonasal diseases.

In our study, we did not find UPP in any of our patients. Pneumatization of uncinate process refers to an aeration of air cells into the uncinate process. The uncinate projects from the ethmoid bone to the ethmoid process of inferior nasal concha. Pneumatized uncinate process can impair sinus ventilation especially in the anterior ethmoid, frontal recess and infundibulum regions and can cause a variety of sinonasal symptoms. This entity is observed relatively rare, when compared to the other sinonasal anatomical variations. The rate of UP pneumatization in previous studies has been reported to be about 0.4–9%.7,28

Genderwise, anatomical variations were seen more in males in our study but the difference was not statistically significant. This is in contrast to other studies which show females affected more.21,26,29 While they argue that the hormonal changes in females during the various stages of life might influence the occurrence of sinonasal symptoms, our study suggests that there might not be gender predilection of any statistical significance.

As far as laterality was concerned, in our study, some variations were seen more on the right side while others on the left. Some variations were present bilaterally. The difference was not statistically significant. This hints that sinonasal anatomical variations do not have any predilection to right or left side.

Among all the variations studied, the presence of DNS was statistically significant in the study population (p value 0.012). For other variations which were less common, their absence in the study population was statistically significant with p values less than 0.001 in nonparametric chi square test. This not only hints to the widespread prevalence of DNS in our community but also associates DNS as an important factor for causing sinonasal symptoms. This also warrants proper addressing of DNS in patients with sinonasal symptoms.

The age group 14 to 30 years showed maximum variations though not significant statistically followed by age group 31 to 45 years. Similar age groups have been reported in other studies.21,29 This is the most active age group and comprises the most productive age group of our society.

The most common sinonasal anatomic variation seen in our patients with sinonasal symptoms was DNS followed by CB and AN. Therefore, during management of such patients, these variations need to be addressed, if required, surgically. Proper knowledge of both common and uncommon sinonasal variations in our community could help in better surgical planning and overall management of sinonasal disorders.
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