ABSTRACT

Peripheral neuropathy is a common complication of type 2 diabetes in patients with poor diabetic control where reaction time increases in comparison to normal individual. Early detection of neural dysfunction denoted by increased reaction time will be helpful for the patient, to control blood sugar in order to avoid diabetic complications. This study was conducted to find out the neural deficit in diabetes by comparing the visual reaction time using ruler drop method between non-diabetics and diabetics and assessing the relationship of reaction time with increase in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) titre. It was a case control study among 38 diabetics and 31 healthy volunteers. Among the volunteers of age groups 20-39 years and 40-59 years, all patients with poor diabetic control showed significantly high reaction time in comparison to their non-diabetic counterparts (180.66 ms vs 231.11 ms; 197.27 ms vs 224.44 ms respectively). In age groups of 60-79 years, reaction time was also more in patients with high HbA1c in comparison to their non-diabetic counterparts (224.00 ms vs 230.90 ms). In comparison to non-diabetics, diabetics with increased HbA1c (7-9%, 9-11%, 11-13%, >13%) all showed significantly higher reaction time (193.54 ms vs 219.00 ms; 193.54 ms vs 225.83 ms; 193.54 ms vs 236.66 ms and 193.54 ms vs more than 250 ms respectively). A positive Pearson Correlation (r = 0.4) was also noted between HbA1c (%) and reaction time (ms) amongst the patients with poor diabetic control. Damage of vasa nervosum and formation and accumulation of sorbitol and fructose in Schwann cells might disrupt structure and function of peripheral nerves leading to neuropathy and altered visual reaction time in diabetics.
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INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a global health problem and the incidence is increasing in an alarming rate. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy is a common complication of type 2 diabetes mellitus. The prevalence of diabetic neuropathy in Nepal is 58.70% as reported by Karki et al. The prevalence of diabetic peripheral neuropathy was noted more among the white Caucasians as compared to South Indians (54.3% vs 38.1%). The most common peripheral neuropathy in diabetes mellitus was distal polyneuropathy; the frequency of which increased with the duration of diabetes mellitus in patients with poor control. In neuropathy, reaction time increases in comparison to normal individual. Reaction time is the time taken from the application of a stimulus to the resulting reaction i.e. motor response. Early detection of neural dysfunction shown by increased reaction time will be helpful for the patient, to control blood sugar in order to avoid diabetic complications.

Previous studies have shown that people who had neuropathies as complication of diabetes, the HbA1c values were above 7. Some studies noted mostly sensory deficits in diabetics. Till date, to the best of our knowledge, the knowledge of the motor and reflex deficits in diabetics is scanty. Therefore, this study was conducted to observe the diabetic’s neural deficit, through the visual reaction time and relate it to HbA1c level, by comparing the visual reaction time between non-diabetics and diabetics and assessing the relationship of reaction time with glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) titre.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a case control study conducted between November 2017 to February 2019. Cases of diabetics who were under hypoglycemic drug therapy for at least five years (n=38) were considered. Age/sex matched normal/healthy persons served as controls (n=31). Primary screening for blood sugar and evaluation of visual reaction time were conducted in the Dept of Physiology, Nepal Medical College and HbA1c was measured in Pathology Lab, Shankharapur Hospital.

Blood sugar levels of all the volunteers were assessed. People with random blood sugar greater than 200 mg/dL were considered as diabetics. HbA1c of the volunteers were recorded that reflected average glycemic control over the period of 2-3 months. HbA1c more than 7 denoted poor diabetic control; value within 7, denoted patient with good glycemic control. If two HbA1c reading in the gap of 3 months duration is more than 7 consecutively, diabetic control is considered as poor. Visual reaction time between the diabetics and normal (non-diabetic) population, as well as patients with controlled and uncontrolled diabetes mellitus was compared. Persons who were on hypoglycemic drug but with poorly controlled diabetes (HbA1c more than 7) since diagnosis for at least 6 months was considered. Patients with history of urinary tract infection, neuropathy other than from diabetes mellitus e.g., patients with motor neuron disease (multiple sclerosis, syringomyelia) and other nervous system disease or any other infective disease, renal disease, dehydration and with impaired vision were excluded from this study.

Verbal consent of the patients were taken before the test. Research ethics was followed as per the guideline of Nepal Health Research Council and the ethical approval was taken from Nepal Medical College Institutional Review Committee before the starting of research. Participants were informed about the test, its procedure and the rationale of it. Patients willing to participate were enrolled for this study. Visual reaction time was evaluated.

Results were compared and analyzed statistically by using student’s t test. The Pearson correlation between the high HbA1c (%) and reaction time in milliseconds in patients with poor diabetic control was calculated.

Experimental protocol of visual reaction time by ruler drop method

Visual reaction time is the time taken by the brain to translate visual information (falling ruler) into voluntary (or conscious) motor commands and actions (grasping finger movements) that lead to the ruler being caught. Shorter the time, the faster is the reactions. The distance the ruler travelled from starting was recorded. Distance was converted into time by using the formulae \( t = \frac{(2d/g)^{1/2}} {\text{[where, } t = \text{reaction time, } d = \text{distance travelled by the ruler, } g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2 \text{(Gravitational constant)]}} \) Subject was made to sit with their dominant side elbow flexed at 90° with mid-pronated forearm resting on a flat horizontal table-surface with the open hand at the edge of the surface. Ruler was suspended vertically by the examiner such that 5 cm graduation of the ruler was aligned between the web space (space between the thumb and index finger) of the subject’s hand. Then he/she was asked to catch the ruler once it is released from the examiner’s hand.

RESULTS

Among the volunteers of age groups 20-39 years and 40-59 years all patients with poor diabetic control showed significantly high reaction time in comparison to their non-diabetic counterparts (180.66 ms vs 231.11ms; 197.27ms vs 224.44 ms)
respectively. In age groups of 60-79 years, reaction time was insignificantly more in patients with high HbA1C compared to their non-diabetic counterparts (224.00 ms vs 230.90 ms) (Table 1).

In comparison to non-diabetics, diabetics with HbA1C 7-9%, 9-11%, 11-13% and more than 13% all showed significantly higher reaction time (193.54 ms vs 219.00 ms; 193.54 ms vs 225.83 ms; 193.54 ms vs 236.66 ms respectively). Furthermore, a positive Pearson Correlation (r = 0.4) was noted between HbA1C (%) and reaction time (ms) amongst the patients with poor diabetic control.

### Table 1: Glycated hemoglobin and reaction time in non-diabetics and diabetics (n-number of subjects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Non-diabetic</th>
<th>Diabetic</th>
<th>Level of Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HbA1C (%)</td>
<td>Gross reaction time (ms)</td>
<td>HbA1C (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-39</td>
<td>4.36±0.28</td>
<td>180.66±20.16 (n=15)</td>
<td>10.47±1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59</td>
<td>4.56±0.41</td>
<td>197.27±29.01 (n=11)</td>
<td>9.63±1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-79</td>
<td>5.44±0.32</td>
<td>224.00±5.47 (n=5)</td>
<td>10.90±2.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Reaction time in normal and diabetics with gradually increased HbA1C (n-number of subjects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction time (ms)</th>
<th>HbA1C (%)</th>
<th>Reacton time (ms)</th>
<th>HbA1C (%)</th>
<th>Level of significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>HbA1C (%)</td>
<td>Diabetic (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>HbA1C (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193.54±26.77 (n=31)</td>
<td>&lt;5.5</td>
<td>219.00±19.69 (n=10)</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193.54±26.77 (n=31)</td>
<td>&lt;5.5</td>
<td>225.83±19.75 (n=12)</td>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193.54±26.77 (n=31)</td>
<td>&lt;5.5</td>
<td>236.66±18.77 (n=15)</td>
<td>11-13</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193.54±26.77 (n=31)</td>
<td>&lt;5.5</td>
<td>More than 250 (n=01)</td>
<td>More than 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and 193.54 ms vs more than 250 ms respectively). Furthermore, a positive Pearson Correlation (r = 0.4) was noted between HbA1C (%) and reaction time (ms) amongst the patients with poor diabetic control.

**DISCUSSION**

Diabetes affects both somatic and autonomic functions. Dysregulation of autonomic function in diabetics were reported by several studies. Significant increase in touch threshold and prolongation of visual and auditory reaction time was observed in diabetic individuals. Vibrating thresholds were found to be higher in aged diabetics. Older people with diabetes faced problems with stability and related sensori-motor factors leading them to increased risk of falls. Significant differences in heart rate variability and quantitative sensory testing between diabetic patients and healthy control subjects were noted. Following a local heat stimulus of 45°C applied for 5 min, vasodilatation induced by local heating were severely impaired in diabetic subjects, compared with healthy control subjects.

Complications of diabetes are mainly due to the pathological changes in micro-vessels, macro-vessels, and neurons. Micro-vascular complications give rise to retinopathy and nephropathy, macro-vascular ones leads to atherosclerosis due to increased LDL and neuropathy affects both autonomic nervous system and peripheral nervous system. Hyperglycemia is considered as primary cause of vascular complications and is associated with oxidative stress, impaired trace elements and lipid metabolism. Damage of vasa nervosum, and 193.54 ms vs more than 250 ms respectively. Previous study denoted pronounced impairment of sensory/motor function of peripheral nervous system in chronic diabetics with HbA1C > 7 evidenced by increased auditory and visual reaction time compared to diabetics with HbA1C < 7 and stated that the severity of peripheral neuropathy in type 2 diabetics could be due to elevated HbA1C. Present study also noted same type of result. When plasma glucose is episodically elevated over time, small amount of hemoglobin are non-enzymatically glycated to form HbA1C. Normally, the level of HbA1C is less than 5.5%; 7% means adequate control and 9% means very poor control in diabetics. Non-enzymatic addition of any sugar to a protein is called glycation. When once attached, glucose is not released from hemoglobin. Therefore, it remains inside the erythrocyte, throughout the life-span of RBCs (120 days).

As mentioned earlier, neuropathy developed due to prolonged poorly controlled diabetes mellitus altered...
visual reaction time.\textsuperscript{14} Diabetes induced optic nerve diseases, might be one of the causes of alteration in visual reaction time.\textsuperscript{22} Ruler drop method test which can be performed even among the very young individuals,\textsuperscript{28} might be considered as a simple patient-friendly, non-invasive bed side test to assess deficit in reflex activity in a diabetic person with a high HbA\textsubscript{c} titer.
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