MEASUREMENT OF THE TRICUSPID AND THE MITRAL VALVE IN ADULT HUMAN HEART: A CADAVERIC STUDY
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ABSTRACT
The human heart valve is an integral structure and the valvular heart disease is one of the most common disease of the heart. Such cardiac disease require repair of the valve and valve replacement surgery where the patient's diseased valve is replaced by a prosthetic valve. The aim of this study was to measure the annular circumferences and diameters of tricuspid and mitral valves in cadavers. A morphometric study was conducted in Department of Anatomy at Nepal Medical College and Teaching Hospital where total 50 cadaveric hearts were taken up for the study. The tricuspid and the mitral valves were exposed by dissection method. Measurements were taken by using a thread and a digital vernier caliper and obtained data were analysed by using SPSS-16. The mean, frequency and standard deviation of circumferences and diameters of both tricuspid and the mitral valves were analysed and were found as (a) The mean annular circumference of tricuspid valve was 11.22 ± 0.20 cm (b) The mean diameter of tricuspid valve was 2.33 ± 0.04 cm. (c) The mean annular circumference of mitral valve was 9.22 ± 1.49 cm (d) the mean diameter of mitral valve was 2.01 ± 0.27 cm. There were few literatures available on the study of cadaveric heart valves in Nepal thus this study will provide a guideline to the clinicians, radiologists and also to cardiothoracic surgeons performing various valve surgeries to assess the prosthetic valve of appropriate size and to the anthropologists also to maintain a standardized data on cardiac valves as well.
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INTRODUCTION

The human heart which lies in the middle mediastinum consists of four chambers and are provided with openings for inflow and outflow of blood. These openings in the heart are guarded by valves to maintain the unidirectional flow of blood. There are two atrioventricular valves, the tricuspid and the mitral valve. The atrioventricular valvular complex in both the left and right ventricles consist of the orifice and its associated annulus, cusps, chordae tendinae and papillary muscles. The mitral valve term was first introduced by Andreas Vesalius to describe the left atrioventricular valve due to its resemblance to the bishop’s cap. (mitre) The other two valves present in the heart are pulmonary and aortic valves. All these valves should be healthy and intact for proper functioning of the heart. Because of the improved diagnostic procedure available these days it was observed that many of the cardiac problems are due to abnormal size of these valves and the commonly affected heart valves are tricuspid and mitral valves. The size of these valves and their openings are also affected by various congenital conditions and diseases in the form of stenosis, incompetence and prolapse of the leaflets. Hence the dimensions of these valves are of interest to the clinicians and surgeons to assess the exact mechanical reason for valvular insufficiency.

The affected heart valves require repair or valve replacement surgery. The valve replacement is a complex surgical procedure where the patient’s diseased valve is replaced with a prosthetic valve.

Presently the repair is done by valves of different synthetic material or by biological tissue as follows.1. Homograft (Allograft) – The human cadaveric valves are transplanted in the diseased person. 2. Autograft –The patient’s own body’s fascia lata or pericardium is taken and transplanted. 3. Heterograft (Xenograft) – Bovine pericardial tissue is taken and transplanted in the diseased person.

The exact knowledge of anatomy and the measurement of valve rings and cusps are needed for the success of such procedure. Hence the present study was undertaken to find out the annular circumference and diameter of both the mitral and tricuspid valves which will help the cardiothoracic surgeons as well as the prosthetic valve manufacturers for the accurate estimation of valve size and can also be used as an important tool in the anthropological studies as well.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A morphometrical study of 50 cadaveric heart valves were conducted in Department of Anatomy at Nepal Medical College and Teaching Hospital (NMCTH) by dissection method. The cadaveric hearts without any apparent gross morphological abnormalities were included excluding the hearts having calcified valves with gross anomalies. To expose a tricuspid valve a vertical incision was made along the right border of the heart from the
entry point of superior vena cava till the entry of inferior vena cava into the right atrium and from the lower end of this incision another horizontal incision was made up to the entry point of coronary sinus into the right atrium. The muscular flaps were raised, blood clots were removed and thorough wash was given. The interior of the right atrium was observed for any obvious deformity and the tricuspid valve was exposed. For the exposure of mitral valve an incision was made along the left border of the heart from left auricle up to the apex. The ventricle was then opened up and the mitral valve was exposed and cavity was thoroughly washed. The circumference of the tricuspid and the mitral valve orifices were measured with the help of thread. The measured thread was further placed along the boundary of the annulus confirming to its shape and the length of the thread was measured using a ruler after making it straight. (Fig.1 and 2) Similarly, the diameter of the valves were measured using a digital vernier caliper. (Fig. 3 and 4)

The mean value, frequency and the standard deviation were analyzed by using SPSS version 16 and were presented in the tabular form.

RESULTS

Fifty cadaveric hearts were included in the study. The annular circumferences and diameters of the tricuspid valves measured have been illustrated in Table 1. The minimum annular circumference of tricuspid valve was 8.5 cm and the maximum annular circumference of tricuspid valve was 13.0 cm which have also been shown in Table 1. Table 2 showed the mean annular circumference of tricuspid valve as 11.22 ± 0.20 cm and the mean diameter of annulus of tricuspid valve as 2.33 ± 0.04 cm. The annular circumference of tricuspid valve in the range of 10.6 – 11.5 cm was found in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Annular circumference of tricuspid valve (cm)</th>
<th>Diameter of annulus of tricuspid valve (cm)</th>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Annular circumference of tricuspid valve (cm)</th>
<th>Diameter of annulus of tricuspid valve (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The maximum number of specimens (26%) followed by 11.6 – 12.5 cm (24%), 8.5 – 9.5 cm (20%), 12.6 – 13.0 cm (18%), 9.6 – 10.5 cm (12%) which have been shown in Fig. 5. Table 1 also shows the minimum and the maximum diameters of annulus of tricuspid valves which were found to be 1.98 cm and 2.87 cm respectively. The diameter of annulus of tricuspid valve in the range of 1.98 – 2.15 cm was noted in maximum number of specimens (36%) followed by 2.34 – 2.51 cm (24%), 2.52 – 2.69 cm (20%), 2.70 – 2.87 cm (16%), 2.52 – 2.69 cm (4%) which have been shown in Fig. 6.

**Table 2:** Mean of annular circumference and diameter of tricuspid valve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total no. of specimens (n = 50)</th>
<th>Mean annular circumference of tricuspid valve (cm) (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Mean diameter of annulus of tricuspid valve (cm) (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>11.22 ± 0.20</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The annular circumferences and diameters of the mitral valves taken have been illustrated in Table 3. The minimum circumference of mitral valve was 7.5 cm and the maximum circumference of

### Table 3: Annular circumference and diameter of mitral valves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Annular circumference of mitral valve (cm)</th>
<th>Diameter of annulus of mitral valve (cm)</th>
<th>S.N.</th>
<th>Annular circumference of mitral valve (cm)</th>
<th>Diameter of annulus of mitral valve (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mitral valve was 11.0 cm (Table 3). Table 4 shows
the mean annular circumference of mitral valve
and the mean diameter of annulus of mitral valve
as 9.22 ± 1.49 cm and 2.01 ± 0.27 cm respectively.
In maximum number of specimens the annular
circumference of mitral valve ranged from 7.5 –
8.5 cm (40%) followed by 9.6 – 10.5 cm (28%), 8.6 –
9.5 cm (20%), 10.6-11.0 cm (12%) as shown in Fig.
7. The minimum diameter of annulus of mitral
valve was 1.61 cm and the maximum diameter
of annulus of mitral valve was 2.72 cm as shown
in Table 3. Fig. 8 shows the diameter of annulus
of mitral valve which was maximum in the range
of 1.89 – 2.16 cm (48 %) followed by the range of
1.61-1.88 cm (40%), 2.45 - 2.72 cm (8%), and 2.17
- 2.44 cm (4%).

Table 4: Mean of annular circumference and
diameter of mitral valve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total no. of specimens (n = 50)</th>
<th>Mean annular circumference of mitral valve in cm (Mean ± SD )</th>
<th>Mean diameter of annulus of mitral valve in cm (Mean ± SD )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.22 ± 1.49</td>
<td>2.01 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The circumference and diameter of the annulus
of tricuspid and the mitral valves of 50 cadavers
were studied in Department of Anatomy of Nepal
Medical College in a mixed Nepalese population.

Though many researchers have reported these
dimensions in various populations, very few
literatures are available on these dimensions
in Nepalese population. Hence the data of the
present study can be taken as an useful guide in
practice. Various observations have been made
on the cardiac valves by using different methods
like dissection, 2D or 3D – echocardiography and
image J software. The findings are found to be
variable depending upon the method used. In
the present study we first dissected in formalin
fixed cadavers and measured the circumference
by thread (Fig. 1 and 2) and diameter by a digital
vernier caliper (Fig. 3 and 4). In dissection method
some studies have also been conducted in fresh
cadaveric hearts other than the formalin fixed
hearts. The morphometric study of the tricuspid
valve has also been conducted in human fetal
valves to provide a database for the dimensions
of right atrioventricular orifice in human fetuses
of different gestational age.24

Dimensions of Tricuspid valve

According to Gray’s Anatomy the mean value
of tricuspid valve circumference is 10.8 cm in
females and 11.4 cm in males.1 In the present
study all male cadavers were included. The
tricuspid valve orifice was best seen from the
atrial aspect and the mean circumference of
tricuspid valve annulus was found to be 11.22 ±
0.20 cm in the present study (Table 2) which was
very similar to the study conducted in Poland by
Skwarek M.12 Since the size of the circumference
and diameter varies (Table 1), we observed a
annular circumference between 10.6 – 11.5 cm
in the maximum number of specimens (26%) and
similar data were also provided by Kalyani15
and Ilankathir25 among Indian population.

The 2nd most common tricuspid circumference
was between 8.5 – 9.5 cm (20 %) which was also
reported by some other observer,24 while the
values obtained by some authors were found to
be higher.11,27 The difference in these values could
be because of the different method applied by
them where they used the image J software.

The mean diameter of annulus of tricuspid valve
in present study was 2.33 ± 0.04 cm (Table 2)
which was lower than the values obtained by
Dwedi24 where they measured the diameter using
2D-echocardiography during the cardiac cycle.
Among the several morphometric studies of heart
valves by dissection method this parameter was
referred only in few literatures compared to
other dimensions of the heart valves.

Dimensions of mitral valve

According to Gray’s Anatomy the mean
circumference of mitral valve ranged from 7.2 -9
cm.1 This value was similar with the mean value
of present study (Table 4). The annulus of the valve
is not a simple fibrous ring, but is made up of
fibrocollagenous elements from which the cusps
take origin. The mean annular circumference

Fig. 7: Frequency range of annular circumference of mitral valve in cm

Fig. 8: Frequency range of annular diameter of mitral valve in cm
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of mitral valve in present study was 9.22 ± 1.49 cm (Table 4) which was similar with the values of many other observers, and and higher than the value of Andrade where the fresh cadaveric hearts were included in the study.

This difference is probably because in fresh cadavers the elasticity of the soft tissue is still maintained resulting in smaller dimension. The present value was found to be lower than the observations by Du Plessis and Ormiston where the studies were conducted among Africans and Americans. The higher range of their studies could be due to the physical attributions of those populations. In the present study a circumference between 7.5 – 8.5 cm was found in maximum number of specimens (40%) which was similar with the values reported by many other observers.

The mean diameter of mitral valve in present study was 2.01 ± 0.27cm (Table 4) which was similar with the studies by Gunnal but lower than the values of other researcher where they had used a cardiac sizer for measurement. The present mean value was also lower than the values of other observers. Though there was no direct relation between the diameter and the annular circumference of the same valve, generally with larger circumference the diameter was found to be longer. Usually the diameter and circumference of tricuspid annulus were higher than the mitral annulus and in living the diameter was marginally at the lower range.

The future implication of this study includes survey on larger samples, collection of data from female sex as well and comparison with radiological survey.
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