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ABSTRACT

Ventriculographic indices are obtained by using Evan’s Index. Evan’s Index is a ratio which compares the maximum width of the frontal horns of the lateral ventricle to the maximum transverse diameter of the inner table of the skull and serves as an indirect marker of ventricular volume. Evan’s Index has been extensively used in the diagnosis of idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus, in the assessment of outcome of patients having shunt placement which is the primary mode of treatment. This study was aimed to find out the normal values of Evan’s Index on brain CT scan in individuals attending Nepal medical college teaching hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal. A total of 500 individuals CT (250 males and 250 females) were finally selected. The ages were ranged between 5 to 80 years old. The mean Evan’s Index in the studied population was 0.253 ± 0.037 in males, 0.250 ± 0.034 in the females and an overall mean of 0.251 ± 0.035. There was no significant statistical difference in the Evans ratio between male and females (P = 0.274). This study shows that Evan’s Index was higher in individuals who were 60 years and above in both males and females. The very small standard deviations appreciated in the result suggest that Evan’s index can be calculated fairly accurately.
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INTRODUCTION

The ventricular system of central nervous system is responsible for transporting the cerebrospinal fluid which is produced by the ependymal cells and choroid plexus and it also protects the brain from trauma. Ventricles constitute 2% of brain volume. Eighty-two percent of the ventricular volume is contributed by lateral ventricles. Ventricular enlargement caused due to imbalance in the production and absorption of cerebrospinal fluid is referred as hydrocephalus. Cerebral ventricular enlargement is one of the characteristic in cerebral disorders associated with neurologic and psychiatric involvements. It has been demonstrated as encephalographic abnormality both in children and adults.

Previously practiced radiographic methods (gas or contrast encephalography) were invasive and having artifacts. To overcome these limitations newer neuro imaging techniques in the form of CT and MRI has led to better attempts at evaluating ventricular measurements.

Ventriculographic indices are obtained by using Evan's Index (EI). Evan's Index is a ratio which compares the maximum width of the frontal horns of the lateral ventricle to the maximum transverse diameter of the inner table of the skull and serves as an indirect marker of ventricular volume.

The international guidelines for the diagnosis of normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) define ventricular enlargement as Evan's Index greater than 0.3.

Evan's Index has been extensively used in the diagnosis of idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus, in the assessment of outcome of patients having shunt placement which is the primary mode of treatment, and also helping to assess the visual complications on childhood hydrocephalus. This study was aimed to find out the normal values for Evan's index on brain CT scan in individuals attending Nepal Medical College Teaching Hospital, Kathmandu, Nepal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a record -base, cross-sectional, non interventional study. The study was conducted in Nepal Medical College and Teaching Hospital, Attarkhel, Gokarneshwor-8, Kathmandu, Nepal from August 2020 - September 2021. Ethical consideration does not permit that healthy individuals with no clinical symptoms be subjected to ionizing radiation. Therefore, CT scans of neurologic patients which were reported to be normal were reviewed for this study. Being a record-base study, patient consent was not required however; this research was approved by the ethics committee Nepal medical college and Teaching Hospital and permission was obtained from the hospital authorities. Based on the study of Hamidu et al. Evan's Index at 95% confidential level taking standard deviation of 3 mm and margin of error of 0.27mm, the sample size was calculated as 500 using the formula n=Z²σ²/d². Being a retrospective study, patient consent was not required however; this research was approved by the ethics committee Nepal medical college and Teaching Hospital. Scan reports of 500 individuals that were reported excluding abnormality in CT brain were reviewed independently by investigators alongside their images with taking help when needed by radiology expert. A total of 500 individual CT (250 male and 250 female) were finally selected and subjected to analysis for the study, whose ages were ranged between 5 to 80 years old. CT were performed using Toshiba Aquilion 64-slice optima CT using tube Voltage 120-140 kVp, collimation of 2mm, tube current of 125-210 mAs, slice thickness of 0.5 mm and pitch 0.65 mm. The images were viewed on the computer monitor using a meter rule with which the following measurements were made as shown in Fig. 1. Evan's Index ratio were obtained by measuring maximum frontal horn width to the maximum transverse diameter of the inner table in the same section (Fig. 1).

![Illustrative axial brain CT section of one of the selected individual showing how measurements were taken. Total anterior horn width (TAHW) = a and Maximum intracranial diameter (MICD) = b](image-url)
Table 1: Gender- Specific ventricular dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>P - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TAHW (cm)</td>
<td>3.210 ± 0.495</td>
<td>3.276 ± 0.518</td>
<td>3.143 ± 0.464</td>
<td>0.133 ± 0.440</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICD (cm)</td>
<td>12.728 ± 0.682</td>
<td>12.891 ± 0.637</td>
<td>12.565 ± 0.688</td>
<td>0.326 ± 0.593</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan’s Index</td>
<td>0.251 ± 0.035</td>
<td>0.253 ± 0.037</td>
<td>0.250 ± 0.034</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Age and Sex distribution of ventricular parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group (Years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>TAHW</th>
<th>MICD</th>
<th>Evan Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.984 ± 0.774</td>
<td>12.967 ± 0.833</td>
<td>0.229 ± 0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.689 ± 0.710</td>
<td>12.377 ± 0.814</td>
<td>0.217 ± 0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OVERALL</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2.842 ± 0.753</td>
<td>12.684 ± 0.870</td>
<td>0.223 ± 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>3.281 ± 0.411</td>
<td>12.780 ± 0.675</td>
<td>0.256 ± 0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>3.173 ± 0.332</td>
<td>12.545 ± 0.648</td>
<td>0.252 ± 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OVERALL</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>3.214 ± 0.367</td>
<td>12.636 ± 0.667</td>
<td>0.253 ± 0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3.215 ± 0.435</td>
<td>12.831 ± 0.485</td>
<td>0.249 ± 0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3.225 ± 0.365</td>
<td>12.640 ± 0.570</td>
<td>0.254 ± 0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OVERALL</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>3.219 ± 0.411</td>
<td>12.765 ± 0.521</td>
<td>0.251 ± 0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.587 ± 0.394</td>
<td>13.115 ± 0.564</td>
<td>0.273 ± 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.358 ± 0.422</td>
<td>12.718 ± 0.785</td>
<td>0.267 ± 0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OVERALL</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.485 ± 0.420</td>
<td>12.939 ± 0.696</td>
<td>0.270 ± 0.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Anterior horn width (TAHW): a | Maximum intracranial diameter (MICD): b | Evan’s index (EI) derived by calculation for each patient as = TAHW/ MICD
Statistical analysis: As for Statistical analysis, data analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16. Data are presented in tables and charts, and expressed as percentage, means and standard deviation. Significance was determined using the independent sample t-test for quantitative variables used in the study. P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

LIMITATION AND DELIMITATION

1. Ethical consideration does not permit to obtain desired data by subjecting healthy individuals to have CT with no clinical symptoms. (subjecting them to ionizing radiation)

2. Hence, CT scans of individuals, who underwent this procedure and having normal reports were reviewed for this study.

   - TAHW(cm)- Total anterior horn width
   - MICD(cm)- Maximum intracranial diameter
   - SD- Standard deviation

RESULTS

These results were obtained from the analysis of 500 brain CT scans that were finally selected; their age and sex distribution is seen in Fig. 2. Table 1 describes, the mean Evan’s Index in the studied population was 0.253 ± 0.037 in males, 0.250 ± 0.034 in the females and an overall mean of 0.251 ± 0.035. There was no significant statistical difference in the Evan’s ratio between male and females (P = 0.274). Ventricular dimension was significantly lower in case of female however there were no significantly difference in ratio. while in Table 2 Evan’s Index, total anterior horn width and maximum intracranial diameters are shown with respect to age and sex of the patients and this table also shows that Evan’s Index was highest in individuals who were 60 years and above in both males and females. The distribution of Evan’s Index among the different age groups in both sexes is depicted in a grouped bar chart in Fig. 3, the bars representing the standard deviation.

DISCUSSION

Evan’s ratio was originally obtained by dividing the transverse diameter of the anterior horns of the ventricles by the maximum internal diameter of the skull on encephalographic films. The wider availability of the CT scan makes it an easy to use modality to measure the size of the ventricular system, particularly

Table 3: comparison of Evans Index between similar studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Evan’s Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sari E et al., [2] (paediatric sample)</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jehangir M et al., [5]</td>
<td>0.264 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamidu AU et al., [14]</td>
<td>0.252 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reddy U V et al., [15]</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patnaik P et al., [26]</td>
<td>0.252 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumar S A et al., [28]</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>0.251 ± 0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3: Evans index in different age groups
in resource limited settings and where the affordability is a major constraint.

Ventricular enlargement in adults could be as a result of aging, neurodegenerative diseases, cerebro-vascular conditions, tumors and trauma.\textsuperscript{13,14,17,18} EI is a quantitative criterion which has been used extensively in assessing ventriculomegaly and the mean value in this study is consistent with those of previous Caucasian studies. We also found that EI increased with advancing age as reported by other authors.\textsuperscript{19,20} This is due to the fact that the brain substance shrinks with age, while the cerebrospinal fluid spaces which include the ventricles increase in size in order to compensate for the atrophying brain parenchyma. With this physiologic ventricular enlargement, the Evans ratio does not exceed 0.3. Haug\textsuperscript{21} EI as measured by CT or MRI on the other hand quantitatively assesses the degree of ventricular enlargement, with an international guideline diagnostic cut off value of >0.3.\textsuperscript{12} Evan’s suggested that in a “normal” group the ratio between 0.20 and 0.25 was most common and it was shown statistically that this ratio was not influenced by the age or by the size of the skull.\textsuperscript{15} Values between 0.25 and 0.30 were considered borderline enlargement and values above 0.30 were suggested as a pathological dilatation of the ventricles.\textsuperscript{15,22}

These observations have been confirmed by many authors in the past\textsuperscript{11,23,24} Kosourova et al.\textsuperscript{25,26} in 2002, in their study observed a mean Evan’s Index ranging from 0.22 to 0.28 in adults. Our data also are in agreement with the literature that the normal value of mean Evan’s Index in adults ranges from 0.22 to 0.28.\textsuperscript{1,15,25} According to Reddy V\textsuperscript{15} Although they had 14 person with Evan’s index >0.30, none of them had symptoms related to ventriculomegaly\textsuperscript{1} and mean Evan Index was 0.25±0.02, we also had 24 persons with Evan’s index >0.30, none of them also had symptoms related to ventriculomegaly and mean Evan Index was 0.25±0.03. Hamidu et al.\textsuperscript{14}, in 488 subjects of adult Nigerian population observed an increase of Evan’s Index with advancing age with mean EI of 0.252 ± 0.04.\textsuperscript{14} Similar increase of EI with advancing age is observed in our study too (Table 2; Fig-3) and with similar mean EI 0.251 ± 0.035. In the Indian study by Patnaik et al.,\textsuperscript{26} in 120 patients however mean EI was 0.27 ± 0.035 and statistically significant difference was noted in the EI value between males and females in study by Patnaik et al.\textsuperscript{26} with EI value of 0.28 ± 0.04 in males and 0.26 ± 0.03 in females,\textsuperscript{26} but in our study, no statistically significant difference was observed in EI value between males and females ,with EI value 0.25 ± 0.037 in males, 0.25 ± 0.034 in the females which is similar to Nigerians study\textsuperscript{14} 0.25 ± 0.04 in males, 0.25 ± 0.04 in the females. Women have smaller brain size, around 110-115 gm lesser than males. As compared to males, they also have smaller lateral ventricles with proportionately smaller cerebral hemispheric size.\textsuperscript{27} The absence of statistically significant difference in EI value between males and females in our study could probably be attributed to proportionately smaller size of lateral ventricles and cerebral hemispheric size in females. The very small standard deviations appreciated in the result suggest that Evan’s index can be calculated fairly accurately.

Another South Indian study by Arun et al.\textsuperscript{28} in 100 patients shows mean EI as 0.27 ± 0.035 and another Indian study by Patnaik et al.\textsuperscript{29} in 60 patients also shows mean EI as 0.27 ± 0.035. Evan index of > 0.30 is the cut-off value for the diagnosis of hydrocephalus as per international guidelines.\textsuperscript{12,30} According to the study by Synek et al\textsuperscript{31} and Gwaler et al.,\textsuperscript{3} normal EI should be 0.29 or lower. Kosourova et al.\textsuperscript{25} in 2002, in their study observed a mean Evan’s Index ranging from 0.22 to 0.28 in adults.

Although Evan’s index is known as an objective measurement tool for the ventricular volume to diagnose ventriculomegaly, now with the advancements in imaging techniques, many other better measurement systems to describe the size of the ventricular have been discussed (to name a few, Huckman Number, Bicaudate-Frontal (ventricular) Index, Schiersmann’s Index, Bicaudate Index).\textsuperscript{32} Many of these tools (volumetry, which measures the intraventricular volume) may need advanced imaging modalities (i.e. MRI), are time consuming, and partially operator dependent.\textsuperscript{33,34}

In conclusion, our study has shown the normal range of Evan’s index in individuals attending Nepal Medical College Teaching Hospital with respect to age and sex. Mean Evan Index of 0.251 ± 0.035 in our study supports the adaptation of international guideline cut-off value of Evan Index > 0.30 in the diagnosis of hydrocephalus among individuals attending Nepal Medical College Teaching Hospital as well. Evan Index is less technical, easily reproducible, less time consuming and can be used in routine practice.
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